Thesis 1210

Amelioration of Aluminium Toxicity in Atlantic Salmon, <u>Salmo salar</u> L., with Particular Reference to Aluminium/ Silicon Interactions.

By

Christopher Exley, BSc.

Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Stirling, Scotland.

8 14

January 1989.

For Michelle, whenever I may find her

| CONTENTS |   | Page |
|----------|---|------|
| ACKNOWLE | DGEMENTS  | 1    |
| ABSTRACT |   | 11   |
| CHAPTER  | ONE GENERAL INTRODUCTION                            | 1    |
| 1.1      | Aluminium Toxicity to Fish                          | 1    |
| 1.2      | Aluminium Chemistry                                 | 4    |
| 1.3      | Aluminium Toxicity Amelioration                     | 14   |
| CHAPTER  | TWO THE DETERMINATION OF ALUMINIUM                  | 16   |
| 2.1      | A Review of the Accepted Methodologies              | 16   |
| 2.1.1    | Colourimetric Spectrophotometry                     | 16   |
| 2.1.2    | Instrumental Neutron Activation<br>Analysis, (INAA) | 17   |
| 2.1.3    | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, (NMR)                   | 17   |
| 2.1.4    | Inductively Coupled Plasma Emission<br>Spectrometry | 18   |
| 2.1.5    | Atomic Absorption Spectrometry, (AAS)               | 19   |
| 2.2      | Electrothermal Atomic Absorption<br>Spectroscopy    | 20   |
| 2.2.1    | General Principles                                  | 20   |
| 2.2.2    | The Aluminium Program                               | 21   |
| 2.2.2.1  | Introduction  | 21   |
| 2.2.2.2  | Spectrophotometer Instrumental<br>Parameters        | 24   |
| 2.2.2.3  | The Graphite Furnace and Automatic                  |      |

| 2.2.3     | Interferences  | 40 |
|-----------|--|----|
| 2.2.4     | Matrices for Aluminium Determinations  | 41 |
| 2.2.5     | Conclusion   | 50 |
| 2.3       | Simple Aluminium Speciation  | 50 |
| 2.3.1     | Introduction   | 50 |
| 2.3.2     | Total Aluminium  | 52 |
| 2.3.3     | Soluble or Filtered Aluminium  | 53 |
| 2.3.4     | Exchangeable Aluminium   | 56 |
| 2.4       | General Discussion   | 61 |
| 2.5       | Summary  | 61 |
| CHAPTER T | HREE AMELIORATION STRATEGIES FOR<br>Aluminium in Acid Waters   | 63 |
| 3.1       | Introduction   | 63 |
| 3.2       | Kenmure Rainbow Trout Farm: A Study<br>of the Use of Calcium Hydroxide in<br>Acid Water Amelioration | 67 |
| 3.2.1     | Introduction   | 67 |
| 3.2.2     | Naterials and Nethods  | 68 |
| 3.2.3     | Results  | 71 |
| 3.2.3.1   | General Weather Conditions   | 71 |
| 3.2.3.2   | Water Temperature  | 73 |
| 3.2.3.3   | Calcium Hydroxide Dosage   | 73 |
| 3.2.3.4   | pH Control   | 73 |
| 3 2 3 5   | Calcium  | 79 |

| 3.2.3.6   | Magnesium   | 82  |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 3.2.3.7   | Aluminium   | 82  |
| 3.2.3.8   | Tissue Aluminium  | 87  |
| 3.2.3.9   | Manganese   | 88  |
| 3.2.3.10  | Copper  | 91  |
| 3.2.3.11  | Fish Health   | 91  |
| 3.2.4     | Discussion  | 94  |
| 3.3       | A Comparison of Three Basic Calcium<br>Salts as Amelioration Agents for<br>Aluminium Toxicity | 98  |
| 3.3.1     | Solubility  | 99  |
| 3.3.1.1   | Materials and Methods   | 99  |
| 3.3.1.2   | Results and Discussion  | 102 |
| 3.3.2     | Neutralisation Efficacy   | 108 |
| 3.3.2.1   | Naterials and Methods   | 108 |
| 3.3.2.2   | Results and Discussion  | 110 |
| 3.3.3     | Aluminium Insolubilisation  | 116 |
| 3.3.3.1   | Materials and Methods   | 116 |
| 3.3.3.2   | Results and Discussion  | 116 |
| 3.4       | General Discussion  | 120 |
| 3.5       | Summary   | 122 |
| CHAPTER F | OUR THE DETERMINATION OF SILICON  | 123 |
| 4.1       | Review of the Analytical Methodology  | 123 |
| 4.1.1     | Spectrophotometric Determination of Silicon   | 123 |

Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy, (AAS) 124 4.1.2 The Determination of Silicon by 4.2 Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption 125 Spectroscopy 125 Introduction 4.2.1 125 The Silicon Program 4.2.2 126 Comparison with Colourimetric Method 4.2.3 131 Summary 4.3 THE EFFECTS OF pH, TOTAL SILICON CONCENTRATION AND EQUILIBRATION CHAPTER FIVE PERIOD ON SIMPLE ALUMINIUM 132 SPECIATION 132 Introduction 5.1 139 5.2 Materials and Methods 141 5.3 Results Aluminium Solubility with respect to 5.3.1 143 pH and Equilibration 150 Effect of Excess Si (ag) Addition 5.3.2 Aluminium/Si(ag) Interactions in Dilute Milieu 5.3.3 150 164 5.4 Discussion 169 The Experimental Method 5.4.1 170 Aluminium Hydroxide Solubility 5.4.2 172 5.4.3 Al/Si Interactions 179 5.5 Summary

.

| CHAPTER S | IX THE AMELIORATION OF ALUMINIUM<br>Toxicity by Silicic Acid  | 180                              |
|-----------|---|----------------------------------|
| 6.1       | Introduction  | 180                              |
| 6.2       | Naterials and Hethods   | 180                              |
| 6.2.1     | Preliminary Investigations into the<br>of Silicic Acid to Ameliorate Alumi<br>Toxicity to Atlantic Salmon, <u>Salmo</u> | use<br>inium<br><u>salar</u> 181 |
| 6.2.2     | The Through-Flow System   | 181                              |
| 6.2.2.1   | The Synthetic Water   | 183                              |
| 6.2.2.2   | The Treatments  | 186                              |
| 6.2.3     | Experimental and Sampling Procedures  | 187                              |
| 6.2.3.1   | The Experimental Fish   | 189                              |
| 6.2.3.2   | Sampling  | 189                              |
| 6.2.3.3   | Sample Treatment and Analysis   | 190                              |
| 6.2.3.4   | Tissue Digestion and Analysis   | 192                              |
| 6.2.3.5   | Histology and Hicrophotography  | 193                              |
| 6.2.3.6   | Biometrical Analysis  | 194                              |
| 6.3       | Results   | 196                              |
| 6.3.1     | Static Toxicity Trial   | 196                              |
| 6.3.2     | The Flow-Through Toxicity Study   | 196                              |
| 6.3.2.1   | The Experimental Conditions   | 196                              |
| 6.3.2.2   | Toxicity  | 202                              |
| 6.3.2.3   | Tissue Digestion Analyses   | 211                              |
| 6.3.2.4   | Histological Analyses   | 215                              |
| 6.4       | Discussion  | 224                              |
| 6.4.1     | Acute Aluminium Toxicity  | 224                              |
|           |   |                                  |
|           |   |                                  |
|           |   |                                  |
|           |   |                                  |

1.1

| 6.4.2   | Acute Aluminium Toxicity Amelioration<br>with Silicic Acid | 231 |
|---------|--|-----|
| 6.4.3   | Tissue Digests   | 234 |
| 6.4.4   | Histological Analyses                                      | 237 |
| 6.5     | Summery  | 239 |
| CHAPTER | SEVEN GENERAL DISCUSSION                                   | 241 |

REFERENCES

256

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I remain indebted to Imperial Chemical Industries P.L.C. for supporting this research throughout its entirety. Thanks are overdue to Roy, Mike, Norma, Doreen and Gary at Brixham, Chris at Buxton, John, David and Martin at Runcorn and special thanks to Barry-Williams, who made this research possible and Derek Birchall and John Chappell for providing the all important inspiration and encouragement that gave this research its true meaning.

Thank you to George at Kenmure for his patient assistance.

Many thanks are due to my good friends at Stirling and elsewhere for believing in me and of course to my parents for their continued support and unerring encouragement.

Congratulations to Irene for typing the manuscript and finally many thanks to Mike Phillips, my supervisor, for never allowing my feet to leave the ground!

### ABSTRACT

The aim of the research was to examine amelioration strategies for aluminium and in particular the potential of silicon to ameliorate the acute toxicity of Al in Atlantic salmon, <u>Salmo salar</u>, fry in a dilute acid water.

Methods were developed for the analysis of Al and Si using graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. This technique was then combined with carefully defined pre-treatment procedures to investigate simple Al speciation and solubility in dilute aqueous milieu.

Research then examined the potential of  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$ ,  $CaCO_{3(s)}$  and  $CaO/SiO_{2(s)}$  to ameliorate acute Al toxicity. A field study highlighted the inadequacies of  $Ca(OH)_{(2)(s)}$ as an effective agent to ameliorate Al toxicity and laboratory studies, whilst substantiating these inadequacies for both  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$  and  $CaCO_{3(s)}$ , highlighted the potential of  $CaO/SiO_{2(s)}$ , and in particular silicic acid, as a potential detoxification agent for Al in acid waters.

Detailed laboratory studies of the interactions between Al and silicic acid in dilute aqueous environments

demonstrated the formation of aluminosilicates, the stabilities of which were dependent on the pH and the silicic acid concentration. Stable, occasionally charged aluminosilicate species were formed at silicic acid concentrations above 1000  $\mu$ gl<sup>-1</sup>, probably through the interaction of silicic acid with the aluminate anion,  $Al(OH)_4^-$  (ag). These species were slow to come out of solution and increased Al solubility with respect to amorphous  $Al(OH)_{3(s)}$  in the short-term, (168h).

CHAPTER ONE: GENERAL INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Aluminium Toxicity to Fish

The acute toxicity of aluminium to fish has been known for many years, (Thomas 1915, Ellis 1937, Jones 1939), however, the environmental significance of aluminium toxicity has only recently been compounded through evidence of the close association of aluminium with environmental pollution and in particular, "Acid Rain", (<u>e.g.</u> Dickson 1978, Baker and Schofield 1980).

Aluminium has been shown to be both acutely and chronically toxic to fish (Table 1.1), and the nature of the toxicity is dependent on the solution chemistry, (Exley and Phillips 1988). Suggested causes of aluminium-induced mortalities in fish include: mucous clogging of the gills and resulting anoxia (Munix and Leivestad 1980), impaired ion exchange across the gill membrane (McWilliams 1982), leading to a rapid loss of body sodium (Potts 1986) and death resulting from the concomitant effects of plasma ionodilution (Wood 1986). Sublethal effects are often manifested as gill damage (Schofield and Trojnar 1980, Muniz and Leivestad 1980, Exley 1985, Chevalier et al 1985, Karlsson-Norrgren et al 1986) and aluminium accumulation in the tissues (Hunter et al 1980, Stoner et al 1984, Exley 1985, Karlsson-Norrgren et al 1986). Effects on locomotion, appetite, ventilation and colouration have

also been recorded, (Ogilvie and Stechey 1983, Exley 1985, Kramer <u>et al</u> 1986, Malte 1986).

The present study is concerned with the acute toxicity of aluminium to fish. Recent field and/or laboratory studies on the acute toxicity of aluminium have been carried out on a wide range of different fish species including: Tilapia mosambica, (Murungi and Robinson 1987), Oreochromis aureus (Phillips and Saleh 1987), blueback herring, Alosa aestivalis, (Klauda et al 1987), striped bass, Morone saxatilis, (Buckler et al 1987), bluegill, Lepomis macrochirus, channel catfish, Ictalurus punctatus, fathead minnow, Pimephales promelas, (Palmer et al 1988), smallmouth bass, <u>Micropterus dolomieui</u>, (Kane and Rabeni 1987), sunfish, Lepomis gibbosus, lake trout, Salvelinus namaycush, (Hutchinson et al 1987), flagfish, Jordanella floridae, (Hutchinson and Sprague 1987), brook trout, Salvelinus fontinalis, (Hunn et al 1987, Wood et al 1988a, 1988b, 1988c, Booth et al 1988, Walker et al 1988), brown trout Salmo trutta, (Wood and McDonald 1987, Ormerod at al 1987, Muniz et al 1987, Segner et al 1988), rainbow trout Salmo gairdneri, (Evans et al 1988, Ramamoorthy 1988, Thomsen et al 1988, Heming and Blumhagen 1988, Witters et al 1987, Witters et al 1988, Goss and Wood 1988), and Atlantic salmon Salmo salar, (Ormerod et al 1987, Leivestad et al 1987, Jagoe et al 1987).

The sensitivity of different fish species to aluminium

varies widely, for example from mgl<sup>-1</sup> for tilapia spp, (Phillips and Saleh 1987, Murungi and Robinson 1987), to µg1<sup>-1</sup> for salmonids, (see Goss and Wood 1988), however the symptoms of acute toxicity fall into two distinct categories, osmo-/ionoregulatory stress, (e.g. Witters et al 1987, Dalziel et al 1987, Witters et al 1988, Heming and Blumhagen 1988), and respiratory/ventilatory stress, (e.g. Ormerod et al 1987, Walker et al 1988, Wood et al 1988a), the former being most pronounced in very low Ca waters, (see Booth et al 1988). Concomitant with these symptoms are often gross abnormalities of the gills, (Jagoe et al 1987, Youson and Neville 1987, Evans et al 1988, Tietge et al 1988), although gill cellular damage is not always present, particularly in younger fish, (Klauda et al 1987), where undefined physiological toxic mechanisms were postulated by these authors. The toxic mode of action associated with acute aluminium toxicity is still unknown and will vary according to the water quality and to some extent the fish species. Two general, but not mutually distinct, theories prevail at present, the solubility and the speciation theories.

The solubility theory, (Muniz and Leivestad 1980, Schofield and Trojnar 1980), relies upon aluminium hydroxide precipitation at the gill surface, acting as an irritant and inducing an inflammatory response in the gill tissue. Rapid aluminium precipitation at the gill surface would either require the interlamellar water to be heavily over-

saturated with respect to amorphous  $Al(OH)_3$ , or aluminium, at the gill surface, perhaps bound to anionic sites, to act as nucleation sites for the induced precipitation of aluminium.

The speciation theory, (see Booth <u>et al</u> 1988), relates aluminium toxicity to competition between aluminium species, hydrogen ions and other cations for binding/chelation/ adsorption at the gill surface. The positive charge on the aluminium species found in acid solution could induce the association of aluminium with anionic sites on the gill surface, anionic sites that may be integral to the gill physiology and biochemistry. The disruption of these processes by specific aluminium species may be acutely toxic to the fish.

It is likely that both of the above mechanisms are involved in aluminium toxicity and that their relative importance will be attributable to the prevailing water quality. . One of the main aims of this study was to elucidate further the acute toxic mode of action of aluminium on salmon, <u>Salmo salar</u>, fry in acid water and to relate this to the relative contributions of the aforementioned theories.

### 1.2 Aluminium Chemistry

The acute toxicity of aluminium to fish, whether by  $Al(OH)_{3(s)}$  precipitation or aluminium cation binding at the gill surface, is inextricably linked to the water

# Table 1.1 Observations of Aluminium Toxicity to Fish in Acid Waters

| 1) $3,60$ 6.35Salvelieue fontinalis90 mortality (L3_{9}) observed at recordedDeckar and<br>mendasi (1974)4,4505.05.0130130total aluminium lavois and piDeckar and<br>mendasi (1974)4,0005.05.02Salmo truttePotentiation of aluminium lavois and piDeckar and<br>mendasi (1974)211905.05.02Salmo trutteObserved at this pi, (PH5.0).Deckar and<br>mendasi (1990)211904.05Salmo trutteObserved at this pi, (PH5.0).Deckar and<br>mendasi (1990)2110-5.0-Salmo trutteObserved at this pi, (PH5.0).2104.0Salmo trutteDeckar and<br>observed at this pi, (PH5.0).2110-5.0-Salmo trutteDeckar and<br>observed at this pi, (PH5.0).2110-5.0-0.04.2 -4.4and tup to<br>to marked at a trutte in the pi2104.2-3.1Salvelinue fontinalis<br>at anos, 4.2-4.4and tup to<br>to marked at an and an up to<br>to marked at an an and an up to<br>to marked at an an and an up to<br>to marked at an an an and an up to<br>to marked at an   | Aluminium<br>Leve <u>l</u> l)<br>(ugl <sup>1</sup> ) | I                                     | Calcium<br>(mg1 <sup>-1</sup> ) | Fish and developmental stage or size   | Observation  | Reference                     |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|
| 2) 190 5.0 5.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 $\frac{50100}{1100} \frac{11011}{100}$ Detentiation of aluminium toxicity (pH4.0).<br>6.0 2. $\frac{50100}{100} \frac{11011}{100}$ Detentiation of aluminium toxicity (pH4.0).<br>6.0 2. $\frac{50100}{100} \frac{11011}{100}$ Defect, alone or synergistically of taivested (1980)<br>1) 0 → 500 4.2 → 5.6 2.2 $\frac{5010110010}{100001^{-1}}$ for <u>5</u> . $\frac{5000110000}{100001^{-1}}$ for <u>5</u> . $\frac{50000000}{10000000}$ $\frac{1}{10000000}$ $\frac{1}{10000000}$ $\frac{1}{10000000}$ $\frac{1}{10000000}$ $\frac{1}{100000000}$ $\frac{1}{100000000}$ $\frac{1}{100000000}$ $\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$   | 1) 3,600<br>4,450<br>4,000                           | ;;;;                                  | •••                             | Selvelinue Continelia<br>1309  | <b>30% mortality (LD<sub>50</sub>) observed at recorded</b><br>total aluminium levels and pH   | Decker and<br>Nenendez (1974) |
| $ 110 \rightarrow 500 4.2 \rightarrow 5.6 2.2 $ $ 2.2 Selvelinus fontinalis In the pH range, 4.3-4.6 and Al up to Bahar and Citotal (1982)  Citotacome commerceni 200ugl-1 for C. commerceni, and 500ugl-1 Schoffeld (1982)  Eggs. Jarves and post- for 3: fontinalis, egg aurvival was analoge. Larves and post- fine pH range, 4.3-5.6, 100ugl-1 Al for 5: fontinalis, mortality of larves and post-larves was enhanced in the presence of Al.  Potentiation of Al toxicity was at ph other and to the presence of Al.  Potentiation of Al toxicity was at ph other and to the presence of Al.  Potentiation of Al toxicity was at ph other and to the presence of Al.  Potentiation of Al toxicity was at ph other and to the presence of Al.  Potentiation of Al toxicity was at ph other and to the presence of Al.  Potentiation of Al toxicity was at ph other and to the presence of Al.  Potentiation of Al toxicity was at ph other and to the presence of Al.  Potentiation of Al toxicity was at ph other and the presence of Al.  Potentiation of Al toxicity was at ph other and to the ph other and to the presence of Al.  Potentiation of Al toxicity was at ph other and the presence of Al.  Potentiation of Al toxicity was at ph other and the ph other and to the ph other and t$ | 2) 196   | o. •<br>• • •                         | • • •                           | Selmo trutte<br>Selmo trutte<br>Selmo trutte                                       | Potentiation of aluminium toxicity<br>observed at this pM.(pH2.0).<br>Aluminium ameliorated acid toxicity.(pH4.0).<br>No affect, along or supergiatically of<br>aluminium or acidity.(rMA.a)   | Muniz and<br>Leivestad (1980  |
|   | °<br>↑<br>°  | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |                                 | Selvelinus Continelis<br>Catostomus commercani<br>Eggs. Larves and post-<br>Larves | In the pH range, 4.2-4.8 and Al up to<br>200wg1 <sup>-1</sup> for <u>C</u> . <u>commerceni</u> , and 50kwg1 <sup>-1</sup><br>for <u>2</u> . <u>fontinalia</u> , egg aurvival wes<br>anhanced through to the eyed stage in<br>the presence of the aluminium.<br>In the pH range, 4.2-3.6, 10kwg1 <sup>-1</sup> Al<br>for <u>C</u> . <u>commerceni</u> and 20kwg1 <sup>-1</sup> Al for<br><u>2</u> . <u>fontinalia</u> , mortality of larvee and<br>post-larvee was enhanced in the presence<br>of Al. | Babar and<br>Schoffald (1982  |

|                                      | . 1  | 18 1  | 1   | 1  |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Reference                            | ogilvie en<br>Stechey (1   | (141)   | (1995a)   | (10001)  |
| Obearvat ion                         | After 36 h expoeurs, the cough rate<br>had increased significantly and<br>locamotor activity uss reduced. Changes<br>in the cough rate usrs proportional to<br>the simulatum lavel, a coughing threshold | of 100-g1 was recorded.<br>Exposure to the maid conditions reduced<br>the activity of carbonic anhydrams and<br>$Ma^{+}k^{+}$ .Affesse in the gills by 23-404 of<br>control lavels. | The presence of aluminium significently<br>reduced Ne <sub>ieq</sub> ) influx across the gills<br>at low pH. Aluminium showed no effect<br>on Me <sub>ieq</sub> ) efflux. | Aluminium induced a significent decrease<br>in Me <sub>(aq)</sub> influx across the gill at pH<br>4.0 and 4.5, but hed no additional<br>affect on acidity at pH 5.4. |
| fish and developmental stage of size | salan gairdeori<br>S-Ban Jong  | <u>Balano salar</u> 289<br>Balano gelgdearii 579  | Sejas trutte 159  | et a lan trutte the  |
| Calcium<br>(mg1                      | 8  | •   | ••  |  |
| 1                                    | :  | 2   | 33  | :::  |
| 1.                                   | x <u>z z z</u> z   | 50  |   | 2  |
| Ter.                                 | Ξ  | 8   | 8   | 5  |

cont 'd....

•

6

•

| 12   | L1,   | ĩ   | Calcium<br>(mg1 <sup>-1</sup> ) | Fish and developmental<br>stage or size                             | Observation  | Reference                    |
|------|-------|-----|---------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|
|      |       | ::  | 2:0                             | <del>Saimo salar</del> parr 14g<br>Saimo gairdneri<br>Juvenilaa 37g | 501 mortality $L_{20}^{-}$ , wes recorded for both fish at the recorded total Al levels and pH.  | Exley (1985)                 |
| 1200 | *     | ••• | •                               | <mark>Salmo gairdneri</mark><br>Juvenilee 197-469g                  | After 6-11 days exposure, physiological<br>responses to toxicity ware most severe<br>at pH 6-1, 4.5 and 4.0, 40-90 of fish<br>dying within a few days. Effects ware<br>moderate to slight at pH 5.3 and 5.0 and<br>no effect was observed at pH 6.3<br>Mortfillty at pH 6.1 was attributed to<br>hypoxia whereas electrolyte loss was the<br>suggested cause at pH 4.0 | 1961) elliven                |
| -    | 00.00 | ••  |                                 | Notemigenous<br><u>crys (olekces</u><br>( Colden Bhinere )          | All fish died within a few hours on<br>the addition of Al. Mortality was<br>due to aluminium gill interactiona.<br>Suffocation was ruled out since fish<br>survived happily in suspended Al(ON) <sub>3(a)</sub> et<br>pH 7.0.  | Robinson and<br>Deano (1983) |

7 X

.

•

•

| I                                       | 1.1   | 8  |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| la la rance                             | Cloveland <u>of al</u><br>(1986)  | Franc <u>et</u> el<br>(1986)   | 1986) - 1188)   |
| Observet Lon                            | Increased mortality of fry in the<br>presents of aluminium at pH 4.5 and<br>5.2. Petentiation of effect at pH<br>5.2. | Mortality was over 904 within 7<br>bours of exposure, no mortality in<br>, the absence of Al. Larger fish<br>were more susceptible to aluminium<br>toxicity. | We observed champes in the major<br>plasma ione. Fish become hypotic<br>due to a fail in the dormal $p_{Q_1}$<br>from 100mmUp-40mmUp and an increase<br>in the dormal $p_{Q_2}$ .<br>A 151 increase in the standard $Q_2$<br>uptake wis recorded as well as<br>doubling of the vertilation frequency<br>and swelling of erythrouptes. |
| Fish and developmental<br>stage of size | <u>selvelinus fontinelle</u><br>fry   | Motropie cornutue<br>(Common shiners)<br>fork length 4.9 -<br>11.8 cm.   | Selmo selicineri<br>Joo-400g  |
| Calety<br>(11-1)                        | ?   | •  | 3   |
| 1                                       | 322   | :  | :   |
| 1-                                      |   | 5  | 2,000   |
| Alumi<br>Level<br>Level                 | 8   | 8  | 8   |

8

cont '4....

|            | ł         | Calcium<br>(mgl <sup>-1</sup> ) | Fish and developmental stage or size   | Observat ion   | Reference                          |
|------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| <b>,</b> a | 1.8 - 1.8 | 2                               | Selmo geirdneri<br>1.5g                | Improved tolerance to subsequent Al approved tolerance to subsequent A 1-2 week "ecclimation" increased their $L_{50}$ to 2 X their control $L_{50}$ of 173ug1 <sup>-1</sup> total aluminium at pH5.0.   | 857 et el<br>(1996)<br>(1996)      |
| 8          | 3.06      | 81                              | <mark>Seimo eelar</mark> amoita<br>Jag | 50% mortality of smolta occurred<br>vithin 100 h. There was no<br>mortality in the absence of labile<br>siuminium.   | Bhogheim and<br>Roseiand<br>(1986) |
| 200-1200   | ••••      | ~                               | Selvelinus Continalis<br>Juvanijas     | Mepetitive intermittant exposure<br>to Al/acid stress produced greater<br>cumulative mortality and lower<br>growth rates than continuous<br>exposures to the same water quality.<br>The effect was exacerbated at pH<br>4.9 for intermittant exposure and<br>pH 4.4 for continuous exposure. | Siddens<br><u>et el</u> (1966)     |
|            |           |                                 |  |  | cont'd                             |

·

.

| luminium<br>eve <u>l</u> ı<br>ugi <sup>1</sup> ı | ĩ    | Calcium<br>(mg1 <sup>-1</sup> ) | Fish and developmental<br>stage or size  | Observet ion  | heference                   |
|--|------|---------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|
| 960 .  | 3    | 2                               | Selmo geirdhari<br>1 yr. old<br>180-190g | Aluminium promoted a massive whole<br>body loss of Ne, CI, and K, twice<br>the effort due to stid alone. The<br>loss was vis the branchial rather than<br>wrinary route. Increasing the<br>ambient C2 <sup>(ag)</sup> lavel to 7.5mg1 <sup>-1</sup><br>had no moderating effort on ion<br>loss. | Mitters (1986)              |
| · · · ·  | s. s | ~                               | Selvelinus Continelle<br>yolk and fry    | Aluminium enhibited a clear<br>protective effect over acid<br>effects alone. Whole body Ma, Cl,<br>K and Ca were raised by 800 (Ma<br>and Cl) and 300 (K and Ca).<br>Potentiation of effect was at pH<br>5.2.   | 1004 <u>at al</u><br>(1986) |

quality of the milieu and the interlamellar water of the gill in particular. The water quality will largely dictate both aluminium speciation and aluminium solubility control and is, in turn, a direct consequence of both geo- and physico-chemical environmental constraints (Bache 1986, Nordstrom and Ball 1986, Lawrence et al 1986, Miller and Andelman 1987, Tipping et al 1988a, Exley and Phillips 1988). For example, storm flow due to heavy rain and/or snowmelt, may rapidly raise aluminium solubility, through a change in aluminium solubility control from gibbsite to amorphous A1(OH) 3(e), (Goenaga and Williams 1988). Similarly, low water temperatures, particularly characteristic of snowmelt events (Elgmork et al 1973, Johanessen et al 1980, Hendershot et al 1986) will move complexation equilibria of soluble aluminium species from predominantly Al-fluoride to predominantly Al-hydroxy species, even in the presence of an excess of fluoride, (Plankey et al 1986, Plankey and Patterson 1987). Both of the aforementioned events are often associated with a low water pH and are acutely toxic to salmonids, (Driscoll et al 1980, Johnson et al 1981). Oversaturation of aluminium with respect to both amorphous and crystalline phases of Al(OH) $_{3(a)}$  may occur in natural waters rich in organics, in particular humic and fulvic acids, (Lovgren et al 1987, Backes and Tipping 1987, Tipping and Backes 1988, Tipping et al 1988b, Tipping et al 1988c), however the organo-aluminium complexes that predominate in such waters are generally accepted as not acutely toxic,

(Neville 1985, Gunn <u>et al</u> 1986, Kramer <u>et al</u> 1986, Robinson and Deano 1986, Hutchinson and Sprague 1987).

The undersaturation of aluminium with respect to known solubility controls is also noted in natural waters and has been attributed to aluminium adsorption by particulates, (Goenaga et al 1987, Bruggenwert et al 1987, Walker et al 1988, Goenaga and Williams 1988), adsorption to a solid humic phase (Cronan et al 1986)and aluminosilicate formation (Paces 1978, Parmer et al 1983, Farmer 1986).

The nature of the soluble fraction of aluminium will be governed by the different organic and inorganic ligands available for complexation. The nature of aquatic organics remains somewhat vague and generally all fall under the distinction of humic substances. Binding to aluminium is via carboxylate, phenolic and salicyclic acid-like groups, (see Plankey and Patterson 1987, Tipping <u>et al</u> 1988b), and is dependent on pH, temperature, and fluoride levels, though is largely independent of the calcium level.

Important inorganic complexing ligands include fluoride, sulphate, hydroxide and silicic acid, (Exley and Phillips 1988). In the absence of other complexing ligands soluble aluminium will be found as  $Al_{(aq)}^{3+}$  and its hydrolysis products,  $AlOH_{(aq)}^{2+}$ ,  $Al(OH)_{2^{+}(aq)}^{-}$ ,  $Al(OH)_{3^{+}(aq)}^{-}$ and  $Al(OH)_{4^{+}(aq)}^{-}$ , and the relative proportions of each of these species will be dependent on the solution pH and ionic strength, (Chapter Five). Both of the anions, fluoride  $(F_{(aq)})$  and sulphate  $(SO_4^{2-}_{(aq)})$  will complex aluminium and will affect both the absolute and relative proportions of the Al-hydroxy species at any one pH. In acidified natural waters of low aluminium,  $(\angle 270 \text{ Mgl}^{-1})$ and in the absence of organics, the concentrations of sulphate and fluoride are typically high enough,  $(\sim 200 \text{Mgl}^{-1})$  $F^-$  and  $\sim 9000 \text{Mgl}^{-1}$   $SO_6^{2-}$ ) to complex most of the available aluminium, (Courtijn et al 1987).

Silicic acid, Si(OH)<sub>4(aq)</sub>, is the predominant form of aqueous silica in natural waters and is generally low in acidified waters, (Parmer 1986). To date, the interactions between silicic acid and aluminium in natural waters have largely been ignored and nothing is known about the influence of these reactions on aluminium toxicity to aquatic fauna and flora. It was therefore an aim of this study to investigate aluminium/silicic acid reactions in dilute solutions, and to assess the acute toxicities of the resultant Al/Si species to salmon and compare the toxicities to that of uncomplexed aluminium. It was hoped that Al/Si interactions would provide a basis for the amelioration of acute aluminium toxicity in acidified natural waters.

# 1.3 Aluminium Toxicity Amelioration

Many past and current acid water mitigation programmes have or are monitoring the effect of neutralisation on surface water aluminium concentrations (Schreiber and Hartman 1987, Brocksen <u>et al</u> 1987, Davis and Goldstein 1987, Dalziel <u>et al</u> 1987, Tervet and Harriman 1988).

Greatest success in reducing the labile, monomeric aluminium fraction has been achieved from catchment liming with fine-grain calcite, (Davis and Goldstein 1987, Dalxiel et al 1987, Tervet and Harriman 1988), whereas the direct addition of calcite to surface waters could initially result in an increase in the labile, monomeric aluminium fraction (Brocksen et al 1987), reduced mitigatory efficacy during snowmelt episodes (Davis and Goldstein 1987), and reacidification on the cessation of liming, (Porcella 1987).

The use of pH control alone to ameliorate aluminium toxicity requires that the pH remains above pH6.0, (Skogheim et al 1986), to reduce toxicity due to cationic aluminium species, and below pH7.0, (Skogheim et al 1987), to prevent toxicity due to the aluminate anion,  $Al(OH)_{4(aq)}^{-}$ . This fine balance will be very difficult to achieve and maintain in natural waters. A closer look at aluminium toxicology in acidified natural waters could suggest alternative methods of aluminium solubility

control and hence aluminium toxicity amelioration.

To reduce the biological availability of aluminium, and hence effect its amelioration, the soluble aluminium fraction has to be reduced and/or rendered non-toxic. A reduction in the soluble level, particularly in acid milieu, would require a change in solubility control and an increased rate of achievement of the equilibrium state. The detoxification of the soluble aluminium fraction, whilst not necessarily reducing its absolute value, would require a rapid and stable complexation of one (or more) of the monomeric aluminium species, equilibrium shifts would ensure that complete amelioration was achieved. It was proposed that silicic acid might effect one or both of the aforementioned effects. This proposition was tested in the present study and the results compared with more traditional methods of acid/aluminium amelioration.

It was hoped that the results would help characterise a novel and economically acceptable amelioration agent for acidified waters, particularly those rich in aluminium.

# CHAPTER TWO: THE DETERMINATION OF ALUMINIUM

# 2.1 A Review of the Accepted Methodologies

Analytical methods for the measurement of aluminium in appropriate solvents are numerous and diverse. Brief synopses of the principles governing the more commonly employed methods are detailed below.

# 2.1.1 Colourimetric Spectrophotometry

These techniques are based upon the spectrophotometric measurement at a specified wavelength of a characteristically coloured complex formed by the reaction between aluminium and a specific chromogene. The most widely used colourimetric methods are based on the reaction of aluminium with ferron, (8-hydroxy-7-iodoquinoline-5-sulphonic acid; Davenport 1949, Rainwater and Thatcher 1960, Smith 1971), or pyrocatechol violet (3,3', 4'-trihydroxyfuxone-2"sulphonic acid; Anton 1960, Wilson and Sergeant 1963, Dougan and Wilson 1974, HMSO 1979).

Both of these methods can be used to discriminate total and monomeric aluminium fractions with only limited sample pre-treatment (Driscoll 1980, Müller 1983, Seip et al 1984) and lend themselves to automation for both field and laboratory use (Henriksen and Bergmann-Poulsen 1975, Régeberg and Henriksen 1985). Disadvantages of these

16

.

techniques include their susceptibility to interference effects, requiring the addition of masking agents, and the time intensive nature of the analytical procedure. Detection limits are usually of the order of  $10-20\mu gl^{-1}$  and precision  $\pm 3-5\mu gl^{-1}$ .

# 2.1.2 Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis (INAA)

This comparatively new method of aluminium determination in biological samples involves the bombardment of a sample with neutrons and the measurement of the radioactivity induced by nuclear reactions. In this process, for which no chemical processing is required, <sup>27</sup>Al forms the radioactive <sup>28</sup>Al nuclide. Advantages of this technique include excellent sensitivity, relative independence from matrix effects and interference and reduced sample pre-treatment. A problem of INAA is the need to correct for fast neutron reactions on P which also produce <sup>28</sup>Al (Ehman et al 1983, Savory and Wills 1986, Courtijn et al 1987). The facilities required for this method of aluminium determination, one of which is a nuclear reactor, often render this technique prohibitive.

# 2.1.3 <u>Nuclear Magnetic Resonance</u> (NMR)

Though not universal in its application <sup>27</sup>Al NMR spectroscopy is used selectively in the determination of aluminium. In particular when aluminium is involved with

metal chelation agents such as deferriferrioxamine, (Garrison and Crumbliss 1987) and organic acids such as oxalic acid (Sjoberg and Ohman 1985).  $^{27}$ Al NMR has also been used to determine free aluminium ions, (Al(H<sub>2</sub>0) $_{6}^{3+}$ ) in natural soil solutions (Schierl 1985). Whilst selectively it is a proven method of aluminium measurement the high detection limits (~1000µgl<sup>-1</sup>) preclude its general use.

# 2.1.4 Inductively Coupled Plasma Emission Spectrometry

Atomic emission spectrometry using a nitrous oxide acetylene flame is widely used for gross aluminium determination. However, it is unsuitable for the measurement of trace quantities <u>i.e.</u>  $Agl^{-1}/Agkg^{-1}$ . The flame is not hot enough to provide the energy requirement for total aluminium ionisation and this energy deficit is overcome through the use of inductively coupled argon plasma as an excitation source. In this technique ionised argon can reach temperatures of 4000°C. The high temperature eliminates matrix problems and reduces most chemical interferences. A significant interference however is caused by calcium which increases the aluminium background, raising the detection limits. Precision is generally good, ranging from  $2ugl^{-1}$ , (Lichte et al 1980) to  $10ugl^{-1}$  (Schramel et al 1980).

As with INAA, cost of instrumentation can prove prohibitive (Savory and Wills 1986).

2.1.5 Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS)

As with atomic emission spectrometry, flameless AAS is better equipped for trace aluminium determinations. Flameless AAS or electrothermal atomic absorption spectroscopy is currently the most widely chosen method of measuring total aluminium in biological samples (Gitelman and Alderman 1986). The advantages of this method include: (i) little, if any, requirement for sample pre-treatment; (ii) only small sample volumes are required (2-100 Al); (iii) very low detection limits (0.5-5.0ugl<sup>-1</sup>) with very high precision, and (iv) very few interferences and/or matrix effects. A disadvantage of this method is that only total aluminium can be reliably measured.

The use of GFAAS in studies of aluminium solubility and speciation requires carefully defined pre-treatment of the sample. Electrothermal atomic absorption spectroscopy was used to determine aluminium in this study.

### 2.2 Electrothermal Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy

### 2.2.1 General Principles

An in-depth study of graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy (GPAAS), with particular reference to clinical requirements, is provided by Gitelman and Alderman (1986). The present study was directed towards the use of GPAAS to determine aluminium in dilute, aqueous environments.

The basis of the technique is that a known volume of sample is placed in a graphite tube and atomised electrothermally in the light path of a hollow cathode lamp. Aluminium is then measured as a function of the light absorbed. Aluminium was measured using a Perkin-Elmer 2280 AAS incorporating a Perkin-Elmer HGA-400 furnace.

Several analytical programmes for aluminium determination are published (Craney <u>et al</u> 1986, Sullivan <u>et al</u> 1987, Woolfson and Gracey 1987). However, rarely can identical programs be successfully applied to different spectrometers and laboratory conditions (Perkin-Elmer, pers. comm.). A specific analytical program based on known standards had, therefore, to be developed for the present study.

# 2.2.2 The Aluminium Program

### 2.2.2.1 Introduction

A known volume of sample is injected automatically, as a flat bead, onto the wall of a graphite tube. This tube is positioned in the optical beam of an atomic absorption spectrometer (Figure 2.1). Water-cooled electrical contacts at either end of the tube allow the controlled heating of the tube. Heating is initiated by passing an electric current through the tube, the degree of heating being a function of the amount of current provided. Four distinct heating phases are recognised.

- Drying the sample is dried carefully without spattering. Spattering of the sample bead may result in a reduced aluminium signal.
- (ii) Pre-treatment or Char stage the temperature of the tube is raised so as to remove as much volatile material as is possible without losing any of the aluminium to be analysed.
- (iii) Atomisation the temperature is raised rapidly to the atomisation temperature range of aluminium. The aluminium is reduced to its ground state and the atoms are held in the light path of the spectrometer. The





•

•

.

atoms are maintained in the light path for up to 5 seconds, longer periods enhancing sensitivity.

Aluminium atoms are detected by their selective absorption of light of a pre-set wavelength, emitted by a hollow cathode lamp containing an aluminium filament.

(iv) Cleaning Stage - the tube is rapidly heated to a high temperature to remove any residues in the tube that could interfere with the next sample.

Throughout the heating stages, except during the reading of the aluminium absorption, oxidation of the tube is prevented by the through-flow of an inert gas, usually argon. This gas also helps in the removal of volatiles during the charring stage.

Every cycle of the analytical program has a number of variables, each will individually and synergistically affect the aluminium signal. The following series of experiments methodically outlines the optimisation of the analytical conditions.

Table 2.1 outlines typical instrumental parameters for aluminium determination. Those associated with the spectrophotometer are standard and widely accepted, those describing the furnace operation are variable and require optimising. Table 2.1 Recommended HGA Analytical Conditions for Aluminium

| Atomic Absorption<br>Spectrophotometer | Graphite Furnace           |
|--|----------------------------|
| Aluminium Hollow                       | Argon Purge with Interrupt |
| Cathode Lamp                           | Drying Stage: 100-400°C    |
| Wavelength: 309.3nm                    | Char Stage : 1400-1700°C   |
| Slit Width: 0.7A nm                    | Atomisation                |
| Tube Type : Pyro.Coated                | Temperature : 2400-2700°C  |
| Background Correction                  |                            |

# 2.2.2.2 Spectrophotometer Instrumental Parameters

# Aluminium Hollow Cathode Lamp

A single element lamp operating at 20mA current provides the narrow line spectrum required for measuring aluminium close to the detection limits.

### Wavelength

The cathode lamp is used at a wavelength of 309.3 nanometers, the most sensitive line for aluminium.

### Slit Width

A slit width of 0.7A nanometers will give optimum analytical sensitivity, the best signal to noise ratio and good linearity for working curves. Wider slit widths will reduce noise, though at the expense of sensitivity and linearity.

### Background. Correction

High levels of background absorbance can be reduced using background correction. Spectral interferences of this type are very common and the use of background correction is recommended.

### Peak Height Absorbance

The absorbance produced during aluminium atomisation could be read directly as absorbance or as peak height in mm, the peaks being produced on a Perkin-Elmer R100A rapid response undamped recorder. The validity of each method was tested, (Table 2.2 and Figure 2.2), and both methods shown to be equally satisfactory. The convenience of peak height analysis made it the chosen method of interpreting aluminium absorbance.

# 2.2.2.3 The Graphite Furnace and Automatic Sampler

### The Graphite Tube

Interferences due to the graphite tube occur either because of vapour-graphite reactions, often resulting in thermally stable metal carbides (Matsusaki 1987), or vapour absorption by the porous graphite (Perkin-Elmer 1977). Careful
Table 2.2 Comparison of absolute absorbance and peak height (mm) as indices of aluminium concentration with respect to the linearity of response.  $\bar{X}$ -mean, SD-standard deviation, n=9.  $r^2 x 100$ %-correlation coefficient.

|                                   |       | Alumini | um Signal |         |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Al.Signal<br>Index                | Abso  | rbance  | Peak He   | ight mm |
| [Aluminium]<br>Aug1 <sup>-1</sup> | x     | SD      | ž         | SD      |
| 0                                 | 0.0   | 0.0     | 0.0       | 0.0     |
| 10                                | 0.050 | 0.000   | 20.7      | 0.5     |
| 20                                | 0.091 | 0.001   | 38.3      | 0.9     |
| 30                                | 0.141 | 0.001   | 58.0      | 1.6     |
| 40                                | 0.219 | 0.001   | 83.7      | 0.5     |
| 50                                | 0.255 | 0.000   | 100.0     | 0.0     |
| 60                                | 0.316 | 0.001   | 125.7     | 0.5     |
| 70                                | 0.352 | 0.003   | 145.7     | 0.5     |
| 80                                | 0.397 | 0.000   | 167.0     | 0.8     |
| 90                                | 0.444 | 0.001   | 188.0     | 0.0     |
| 100                               | 0.484 | 0.001   | 211.7     | 0.5     |
| r <sup>2</sup> x 100%             | 99    | .6      | 99        | .9      |

Table Footnote: The absorbances of a range of known aluminium standards,  $(Al(NO_3)_3)$ . Spectrosol. BDH Ltd)., were measured as both a digital absorbancy readout and peak height on a recorder. The linearity of the absorbance response to increasing aluminium concentrations as measured by both methods were compared.



Line plotted by regression: Y=0.0049x+0.003, r = 0.998. (P $\angle$  0.01).



Line plotted by regression: Y=2.12x - 2.44. r = 0.999. (P $\angle$  0.01).

deposition of a pyrolitic layer on the inner surface of a graphite tube isolates the vapour phase from the graphite and hence reduces tube interference effects. Pyrolytically coated graphite tubes (Perkin-Elmer Ltd.) were used for all aluminium determinations.

### The Purge Gas

The purge gas prevents oxidation of the tube during heating. It should be completely inert with respect to the determinant. L'vov (1978) reported that aluminium should be determined in an argon atmosphere, not in nitrogen, because aluminium forms strong monocyanides in the presence of N<sub>2</sub>. Aluminium sensitivity is improved two-fold in Ar as compared to N<sub>2</sub> (Fernandez and Hanning 1971, Sturgeon <u>et al</u> 1976). High purity argon (99.98% minimum) (BOC Ltd.) was used for all aluminium determinations.

# The Heating Cycle

(1) Drying Stage: Drying the injected sample is achieved without any spattering through two distinct stages. The tube is first warmed for 5 seconds at 80°C, before ramping the temperature up to 130°C, where it is held for 30 seconds to achieve complete drying. Initial warming of the tube reduces the potential of sample spattering, thereby reducing the potential for loss of aluminium signal. (ii) Char Stage: The removal of impurities, with or without associated interferences, is achieved during this stage. The critical temperature is defined as the highest temperature possible without subsequent loss of sample. Table 2.3 and Figure 2.3 show the result of a study to define the optimal charring temperature. A charring temperature of 1500°C was used for all aluminium determinations. Prior to charring, the tube is heated to 500°C to improve the reproducability of the aluminium signal within replicates. A final ramp up to 1500°C for just 5 seconds ensures complete impurity removal and prepares the recorder for the absorbance signal reading stage. By the end of the char stage the aluminium in the sample will probably have been converted to the oxide, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Styris and Redfield 1987).

(iii) Atomisation Stage: The conversion of all the aluminium present in the sample to aluminium atoms in the ground state occurs during atomisation. The optimal temperature produces the maximum aluminium signal, (Table 2.4, Figure 2.4). The rapid achievement of this critical temperature, less than 1 second, ensures congruent atomisation of the aluminium and maximum absorbance. Thermal dissociation of condensed phase aluminium oxide is responsible for atomisation (Styris and Redfield 1987). During atomisation the purge gas flow is interrupted whilst the absorbance is read. An atomisation temperature of 2650°C was used for all aluminium analyses.

Table 2.3 The effect of char temperature, °C, on the aluminium signal at two aluminium concentrations.  $\bar{x}$  - mean, SD - standard deviation, n = 9. Atom. temp.= 2650°C, SV = 30µl, PF = 300ml. Ar min.<sup>-1</sup>

Aluminium absorbancy of two known standards was compared over a range of char temperatures.

|       | Alum  | inium Sig  | nal mm  |
|-------|---|--|---|
|       | 20  | z.   | 40  |
| x     | SD  | x  | SD  |
| 93.7  | 1.3   | 178.0  | 1.6   |
| 100.3 | 1.3   | 182.3  | 0.9   |
| 107.0 | 1.4   | 185.3  | 0.5   |
| 108.3 | 0.5   | 186.7  | 0.5   |
| 109.3 | 1.3   | 188.3  | 1.3   |
| 109.7 | 0.5   | 189.7  | 0.9   |
| 110.7 | 0.5   | 191.0  | 0.0   |
| 108.3 | 0.9   | 187.3  | 0.5   |
| 105.0 | 1.4   | 184.3  | 0.5   |
| 97.0  | 1.6   | 179.7  | 0.9   |
| 72.7  | 1.7   | 163.7  | 2.6   |
|       | x<br>93.7<br>100.3<br>107.0<br>108.3<br>109.3<br>109.7<br>110.7<br>108.3<br>105.0<br>97.0<br>72.7 | x SD   x SD   93.7 1.3   100.3 1.3   107.0 1.4   108.3 0.5   109.7 0.5   110.7 0.5   108.3 0.9   105.0 1.4   97.0 1.6   72.7 1.7 | Aluminium   Sign     20   x     x   SD   x     93.7   1.3   178.0     100.3   1.3   182.3     107.0   1.4   185.3     108.3   0.5   186.7     109.3   1.3   188.3     109.7   0.5   191.0     108.3   0.9   187.3     105.0   1.4   184.3     97.0   1.6   179.7     72.7   1.7   163.7 |





Figures 2.3a-b. The optimisation of the char temperature at the optimum atomisation temperature, 2650°C, for two known aluminium standards. Sample volume, (SV)=30ul, purge gas flow, (PF)=300ml. AR min.<sup>-1</sup>. Mean values are plotted, bars indicate the range, n = 9.

Table 2.4 The effect of different atomisation temperatures, °C, on the aluminium signal produced by the atomisation of two known aluminium standards. x-mean, SD-standard deviation, n=9. Char temp.(CT)=  $1500^{\circ}$ C, SV=30ul, PF=300ml Ar min-1.

| 0.5.00                                     |       | Aluminius | s Signal | R.R. |
|--|-------|-----------|----------|------|
| Al.Standard<br>Atom-<br>isation<br>Temp °C |       | 20        |          | 10   |
|  | ž     | SD        | ž        | SD   |
| 2300                                       | 92.3  | 0.5       | 148.7    | 1.9  |
| 2350                                       | 94.7  | 1.7       | 158.7    | 0.5  |
| 2400                                       | 98.7  | 0.5       | 162.7    | 0.5  |
| 2450                                       | 102.3 | 0.9       | 169.0    | 0.8  |
| 2500                                       | 104.7 | 1.3       | 171.7    | 0.5  |
| 2550                                       | 106.7 | 0.9       | 175.3    | 0.9  |
| 2600                                       | 109.7 | 0.5       | 180.3    | 0.5  |
| 2650                                       | 111.3 | 0.9       | 185.0    | 0.0  |
| 2700                                       | 106.0 | 0.8       | 173.0    | 1.6  |

Aluminium absorbancy of two known standards was compared over a range of atomisation temperatures.





Figures 2.4a-b. The optimisation of the atomisation temperature at the optimum char temperature, 1500°C, for two known aluminium standards. SV = 3Qul, PF= 300ml Ar min.-1 Mean values are plotted, bars indicate the range, n = 9.

(iv) Cleaning Stage: The maximum temperature to which pyrolitically coated graphite tubes can be heated without damage to the tube and coating is 2700°C. To ensure that all sample has been removed from the tube and hence reduce contamination of future samples by carry-over, the graphite tube is rapidly heated to 2700°C at the end of the heating cycle.

### The Purge Gas Flow and the Sample Volume

The purge gas flow (measured in ml Ar min.<sup>-1</sup>) is a critical component of the aluminium program. Optimal flow is defined by both high absorbances and a linear response to increasing aluminium concentrations.

Sample volume affects many different steps in the analytical program. For example, large volumes require a comparatively longer drying period. Large volumes improve precision though at the expense of replication in an unbalanced program. Optimal values for purge gas flow, (ml Ar min.<sup>-1</sup>) and sample volume (al) were determined (Tables 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 and Figures 2.5, 2.6). A purge gas flow of 300ml Ar min.<sup>-1</sup> combined with a sample volume of 30ul produced maximum absorbance signals and an excellent linear response to increasing aluminium concentration.

Table 2.5 The effect of increasing purge gas flow on the linear response between aluminium concentration in a standard and the resultant signal. X-mean, 8D-standard deviation, n=9. AT=2650°C, CT=1500°C, SV=20ul.

|          |   | T   | T   |      |      |      | -    |       | -     | -     | -     |       |       |
|----------|---|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|          | 00  | 8   | 0.0 | 0.0  | 0.5  | 0.5  | 0.5  |       | 0.0   |       |       |       |       |
|          |   | 130 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 18.7 | 31.7 | 50.7 | 69.0  | 86.0  | 101.0 | 119.7 | 136.7 | 146.3 |
| ignal mm | 8   | SD  | 0.0 | 0.5  | 0.9  | 0.5  | 0.5  | 1.3   | 0.5   | 0.5   | 1.3   | 0.0   | 6.0   |
| minium S | ~   | 135 | 0.0 | 9.7  | 21.7 | 35.7 | 51.7 | E.ET  | 85.7  | 110.7 | 119.7 | 137.0 | 149.3 |
| Alu      | 00  | SD  | 0.0 | 0.5  | 0.9  | 0.5  | 0.8  | 0.5   | 0.5   | 1.3   | 1.7   | 2.6   | 1.3   |
|          | Ĩ   | RI  | 0.0 | 8.7  | 29.3 | 35.7 | 81.0 | 102.7 | 120.7 | 148.3 | 149.3 | 166.3 | 168.3 |
|          | Purge Plow<br>mlAr Min <sup>-</sup> 1<br>[Aluminium]<br>Agl <sup>-1</sup> |     | 0   | 10   | 20   | 30   | 40   | 50    | 60    | 70    | 08    | 90    | 100   |



Figures 2.5a-c. The effect of increasing purge gas flow on the linearity and absolute value of the aluminium signal for known aluminium standards. AT=2650°C, CT=1500°C, SV=2Qul. Hean values are plotted, bars show the range, n = 9.

Table 2.6 The effect of increasing purge gas flow on the linear response between aluminum concentration in a standard and the resultant signal. X-mean, SD-standard deviation, n=9. AT=2650°C, CT=1500°C, SV=30ul.

|   |       | Alu | minium S | ignal mm |       |     |   |
|---|-------|-----|----------|----------|-------|-----|---|
| Purge Flow<br>mlar Min<br>Aluminium]<br>Ag1 <sup>-1</sup> | 10    | •   | 50       |          |       | 000 |   |
|   | 132   | SD  | 1.2      | SD       | ix    | SD  |   |
| 0   | 0.0   | 0.0 | 0.0      | 0.0      | 0.0   | 0.0 |   |
| 10  | 8.3   | 1.3 | 11.7     | 0.5      | 20.7  | 0.5 |   |
| 20  | 29.0  | 2.9 | 31.7     | 0.5      | 38.3  | 6.0 |   |
| 30  | 43.0  | 1.6 | 51.3     | 1.3      | 58.0  | 1.6 |   |
| ••  | 78.0  | 1.6 | 75.7     | 0.5      | 83.7  | 0.5 |   |
| 50  | 78.3  | 0.9 | 90.06    | 1.6      | 100.0 | 0.0 |   |
| 60  | 7.97  | 3.4 | 89.7     | 0.5      | 125.7 | 0.5 |   |
| 70  | 6.111 | 0.9 | 112.0    | 2.2      | 145.7 | 0.5 |   |
| 80  | 106.3 | 0.9 | 109.3    | 6.0      | 167.0 | 9.8 | - |
| 06  | 111.7 | 1.9 | 115.7    | 0.5      | 188.0 | 0.0 |   |
| 100   | 121.0 | 1.6 | 121.7    | 0.5      | 211.7 | 0.5 | - |



Table 2.7 Correlation coefficients,  $r^2 x100$ , describing the synergistic effects of sample volume and purge gas flow on the response of the aluminium signal to aluminium concentration. High coefficients describe the most linear response.

| Purge Gas Flow1<br>mlAr min.<br>Sample<br>Volume Aul | 100   | 200   | 300   |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| 20   | 96.63 | 99.40 | 99.40 |
| 30   | 93.70 | 93.51 | 99.92 |

### 2.2.3 Interferences

Interferences with the determination of aluminium by electrothermal atomic absorption spectroscopy are well documented (Personn et al 1977, Hatususaki et al 1979, Manning et al 1982). Chloride is known to produce a negative interference attributed to the formation of aluminium chloride. Aluminium chloride is volatile, vapourisation occurring at ~300°C, thus aluminium is lost during the drying and char stages of the heating cycle. Similarly if chloride is present during the atomisation stage, the aluminium signal may be diminished because of the high association energy of aluminium chloride, which will reduce the number of aluminium atoms entering the ground state (Personn et al 1977). Perchloric acid will also interfere with the aluminium signal, precluding its use as a digestant for biological material (Julshamn et al 1978). A reduction in the aluminium

signal was reported on the addition of  $216 \text{ } \text{ugl}^{-1}$ sulphuric acid to an aluminium sample (Craney <u>et al</u> 1986), this effect being exacerbated by the tube age. Tube interference effects were also noted by Carrondo <u>et al</u> 1979 and Slavin <u>et al</u> 1981, necessitating the re-running of standards periodically.

In this study, standard curves were prepared from chloride, sulphate and nitrate salts of aluminium. The results are shown in Table 2.8 and Figure 2.7. The  $Al(NO_3)_3(aq)$ standard solution gave the best linear response, as expressed by the correlation coefficient,  $r^2x100$ , and at an aluminium concentration of 60  $aqgl^{-1}$  had a significantly higher signal (t-test: P4 0.05), than the chloride standard and a higher signal, though not significantly so, (t-test: P $\pm$ 0.05) than the sulphate standard. Consequently  $Al(NO_3)_3(aq)$ was used both to prepare standards used in aluminium analyses and in the subsequent aluminium speciation and toxicity studies, (Chapters 5 and 6).

### 2.2.4 <u>Matrices for Aluminium Determinations</u>

A matrix defines the sample conditions under which aluminium analysis takes place. Generally the function of a matrix is to maximise the aluminium signal without loss of linearity or within-sample replication. Commonly employed matrices are: (1) magnesium nitrate, this retards the vapourisation of aluminium, thus permitting a higher char temperature without loss of signal (Slavin <u>et al</u> 1981), Slavin <u>et al</u> 1982, Leung and Henderson 1983).

Table 2.8 The effect of the nature of the standard aluminium sait on the nature of the standard curve.  $\ddot{x}$ -mean, SD-standard deviation, n=9, r2x1008-correlation coefficient.

|                             |      | Alumi | nium | Signal | 1                  |        |
|-----------------------------|------|-------|------|--------|--------------------|--------|
| Aluminium<br>Standard       | AIG  | 1,3   | ) [V | 803)3  | A1 <sub>2</sub> (5 | 804) 3 |
| [Aluminium] -1 Salt<br>Augl | IX   | SD    | X    | as     | X                  | SD     |
| •                           | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0    | 0.0                | 0.0    |
| 10                          | 7.9  | 0.1   | 13.6 | 6.0    | 10.4               | 0.6    |
| 20                          | 29.8 | 1.1   | 36.1 | 0.1    | 31.1               | 3.1    |
| 30                          | 46.9 | 1.4   | 54.9 | 0.4    | 58.6               | 1.6    |
| 40                          | 62.2 | 0.8   | 69.2 | 0.2    | 62.2               | 2.2    |
| 50                          | 71.3 | 0.5   | 83.3 | 0.7    | 76.4               | 1.2    |
| 60                          | 82.1 | 2.2   | 1.66 | 0.4    | 89.7               | 1.9    |
| r <sup>2</sup> x1001        | 6    |       | •    | 0.6    | .79                | -      |

Table Footnote: Identical aluminium standards were prepared from three distinctly different aluminium salts. The calibration curves for each salt vere compared.

•



Figures 2.7a-c. The effect of the nature of the standard aluminium salt on the linearity of the corresponding calibration curve. Mean values are plotted, bars indicate the range, n = 9. (11) phosphoric acid (Craney et al 1986), again this allows the use of a higher char temperature (Nay and Brumbaugh 1982), and delays the onset of atomisation in the high temperature stage (Eaton and Holcombe 1983); (111) ammonium hydroxide, which assists in the removal of chloride by the formation of volatile ammonium chloride (Pegon 1978); (iv) sample dilution with high purity water, to reduce the sample aluminium concentration to fall within the linear section of a standard curve, and (v) nitric acid to improve the homogeneity of aluminium within a sample.

The application of both magnesium nitrate and phosphoric acid was studied, however, both of these additions, used as high purity reagents (Aristar:BDH Ltd.), had an enhancement effect on blank and low aluminium solutions. The preferred matrix was HNO2 (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) a prerequisite for analysis of total aluminium (see later). The criteria used to determine the proportion of acid to sample required were the smallest volume that would give the maximum signal that was stable with time. The results outlined in Table 2.9. suggested a matrix modifier of 1 or 2% (V/V) HNO2 (Aristar: BDH Ltd.). Both proportions of acids showed insignificant (t-test:PA 0.05) effects of time on the aluminium signal. To help enhance the life of the graphite tubes a matrix of 1% (V/V)  $HNO_3$  (Aristar: BDH Ltd.) was chosen.

Table 2.9 The use of different concentrations of concentrated nitric acid (Aristar, BDH Ltd.) as a matrix modifier over a vide pH, on the measured aluminium concentration of a  $50 \ \mu gl^{-1}Al$  standard solution. X-mean, SD-standard deviation, n=9.

|       |       | leasured | Alumi | nium o | Concentra | tion Ag | 1-1        |     |
|-------|-------|----------|-------|--------|-----------|---------|------------|-----|
| *ONHA |       |          | 0.9   |        | 1.        | 0       | 3          | 0.  |
| Hd    | 18    | SD       | ×     | SD     | 13        | SD      | <b>(</b> ) | SD  |
| 3.0   | 49.0  | 2.2      | 48.7  | 0.5    | 51.0      | 0.0     | 51.0       | 0.0 |
| 4.0   | 45 fo | 3.3      | 46.7  | 0.5    | 48.7      | 0.5     | 48.7       | 1.3 |
| 5.0   | 42.0  | 2.5      | 45.3  | 1.3    | 47.0      | 0.0     | 47.7       | 0.5 |
| 6.0   | 34.7  | 2.9      | 43.0  | 1.4    | 6.74      | 0.5     | 47.0       | 0.0 |
| 7.0   | 38.0  | 3.7      | 43.7  | 0.5    | 47.7      | 0.5     | 48.3       | 0.9 |
| 8.0   | 37.7  | 1.9      | 44.7  | 0.5    | 50.7      | 0.5     | 50.0       | 0.0 |
| 9.0   | 42.7  | 2.6      | 46.0  | 0.0    | 52.3      | 6.0     | 52.0       | 0.8 |

•

At low concentrations of aluminium,  $\leq 20 \text{ ugl}^{-1}$ , the use of a matrix of 1% (V/V)HNO<sub>3</sub> (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) was found to significantly increase the aluminium signal above the level expected from contamination alone (Table 2.10). The results suggest that precision may be reduced at low aluminium concentrations.

Table 2.10 Interference effects of a matrix of 1% concentrated nitric acid (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) on the measurement of low concentrations of aluminium.  $\bar{x}$  - mean, SD - standard deviation, n = 9.

Transformed data (Arcsine).

|                   | {Aluminit | um jugi <sup>-1</sup> | t S<br>Enhan | ignal<br>cement |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| A1 SHNO3          | 1.        | . 0                   | 1            | .0              |
| Standard<br>Agl-1 | ž         | SD                    | x            | SD              |
| 5.0               | 8.7       | 0.9                   | 73.3         | 18.9            |
| 10.0              | 12.3      | 0.9                   | 23.3         | 9.4             |
| 20.0              | 24.3      | 0.9                   | 21.7         | 4.7             |
| 30.0              | 31.7      | 0.9                   | 5.3          | 3.3             |
| 40.0              | 40.3      | 0.5                   | 0.8          | 1.2             |
| 50.0              | 50.0      | 0.0                   | 0.0          | 0.0             |

Sample dilution was necessary to confidently measure high,  $(\Delta 100 \text{ Agl}^{-1})$ , concentrations of aluminium. The standard curve was non-linear above aluminium concentrations of 100 Agl<sup>-1</sup>, (Table 2.11, Figure 2.8).

| Table 2.11 The effect<br>on the linearity of the<br>$\overline{x}$ - mean, SD - standard<br>n = 9. | of increasing aluminium standards<br>signal/concentration relationship.<br>deviation, r - correlation coefficient, |
|--|--|
|--|--|

| Aluminium Standard<br>Agl <sup>-1</sup> |       | luminium Sig<br>mm | gnal  |
|---|-------|--------------------|-------|
|   | ž     | SD                 | r     |
| 0                                       | 0.0   | 0.0                | -     |
| 10                                      | 10.3  | 0.5                | -     |
| 20                                      | 19.0  | 0.0                | -     |
| 30                                      | 30.0  | 0.0                | -     |
| 40                                      | 41.7  | 0.5                | -     |
| 50                                      | 50.7  | 0.5                | -     |
| 60                                      | 63.0  | 0.0                | -     |
| 70                                      | 72.0  | 0.0                | -     |
| 80                                      | 83.7  | 0.5                | -     |
| 90                                      | 89.7  | 0.5                | -     |
| 100                                     | 103.7 | 0.9                | 0.999 |
| 150                                     | 123.7 | 0.9                | 0.985 |
| 200                                     | 139.3 | 1.7                | 0.968 |
| 250                                     | 151.3 | 1.3                | 0.956 |
| 300                                     | 160.7 | 2.1                | 0.948 |
| 350                                     | 168.7 | 1.3                | 0.943 |
| 400                                     | 173.7 | 1.7                | 0.937 |
| 450                                     | 177.0 | 2.5                | 0.931 |
| 500                                     | 182.3 | 2.1                | 0.926 |



Figure 2.8 Aluminium calibration curve at increasingly high aluminium standard concentrations. The response is curvilinear. The plotted slopes indicate the effect of assuming a linear response above 1004 gl<sup>-1</sup>. Mean values are plotted, n = 9. Lines fitted by regression, (Table 2.10).

The loss of linearity is not adequately conveyed by the correlation coefficient alone. Lines of "best fit" elegantly quantify the result of assuming a linear response for aluminium levels above  $100 \text{ Agl}^{-1}$ (Fig.2.8). Linearity in this aluminium range (0-100 Agl<sup>-1</sup>) has been noted by other workers for the electrothermal determination of aluminium, (Gardiner <u>et al</u> 1981, Bettinelli <u>et al</u> 1985, Woolfson and Gracey 1987).

Additional error was found when samples were diluted more than five-fold. The general effect of high sample dilutions was an underestimation of the aluminium content of the sample (Table 2.12). Some of this loss of aluminium could be attributed to experimental error associated with preparing the diluents.

| Dilution Factor | Heasured | [A1]ug1 <sup>-1</sup> | \$ E1 | ror |
|-----------------|----------|-----------------------|-------|-----|
|                 | x        | SD                    | ž     | SD  |
| 1.0             | 100.0    | 0.0                   | 0.0   | 0.0 |
| 2.0             | 99.7     | 0.5                   | - 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 5.0             | 99.3     | 0.5                   | - 0.7 | 0.5 |
| 10.0            | 85.7     | 0.9                   | -14.3 | 0.9 |
| 20.0            | 79.0     | 1.6                   | -21.0 | 1.6 |
| 50.0            | 72.3     | 2.5                   | -27.7 | 2.5 |

Table 2.12 Interference effects of various dilution factors on the measured aluminium concentration of a 100  $Augl^{-1}$  standard. x-mean, SD-standard deviation, n=9. Transformed data (Arcsine).

# 2.2.5 Conclusion

The application of electrothermal graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy to the determination of aluminium in dilute aqueous environments requires careful consideration of many operational and non-operational criteria. It is essential that the analytical methodology is critically defined and is thereafter used as standard in replicate analyses of aluminium. The analytical program designed for the determination of aluminium in the experiments in this research is outlined in Table 2.13.

# 2.3 Simple Aluminium Speciation

# 2.3.1 Introduction

Electrothermal atomic absorption spectroscopy does not differentiate between aluminium species in aqueous solution. Studies on aluminium solubility and speciation therefore require the selective pre-treatment of samples before GFAAS.

Studies of aluminium speciation in natural waters are very numerous (for example: Campbell <u>et al</u> 1983, Lazerte 1984, Driscoll 1984, Seip <u>et al</u> 1984, Miller and Andelman 1986, Litaor 1987). Suggested schemes are often multi-factorial and time-intensive, and are so designed to deal with the heterogeneity of natural waters.

Table 2.13 Analytical Program for the GPAAS Determination of Aluminium.

# Element: Aluminium Al

# Matrix 1% HNO, (20% HNO, Digest)

Instrumental Parameters Wavelength: 309.3 nm Spectral Bandwidth: 0.7A nm Light Source: Cathode lamp Current: 20mA Background Correction?: Yes

Readout Parameters Inst. Model: Perkin-Elmer 2280 AAS Linear Response Range:0-100ug1-1 Integration Time: 3 seconds Signal Index: Peak Height mm Recorder: R100A (P-E) Speed: 20mmMin. Range 5-10mV.

### HGA Parameters

HGA Model: HGA 400 (P-E) Graphite Tube: Pyro. coated Purge Gas: Argon; 300mlAr min-1 Autosampler Model: AS40 (P-E) Sample Aliquot: 30 All Sample Introduction Automated: Yes

Replicates: 3 (Min.)

Standard Soln. Spectrosol Al(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.

# Keyboard Entries

| Step       | 1  | 2   | 3   | 4    | 5    | 6            | 7    |
|------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|--------------|------|
| Temp.*C    | 80 | 130 | 500 | 1500 | 1500 | 2650         | 2700 |
| Ramp(s)    | 5  | 10  | 10  | 10   | 1    | 0            | 1    |
| Hold(s)    | 5  | 30  | 10  | 15   | 5    | 5            | 3    |
| Read.      |    |     |     |      |      | ~            |      |
| Record     |    |     |     |      |      | $\checkmark$ |      |
| Baseline   |    |     |     |      |      |              | 1    |
| Purge Flow |    |     |     |      |      | Stop         |      |

Such schemes are largely impractical when large numbers of aluminium determinations are to be carried out. For the purpose of the present experiments, a simple scheme for discriminating aluminium fractions was determined. The scheme concentrated on three main fractions:

- (i) Total aluminium, <u>i.e</u>. acid-digestible aluminium in an untreated sample;
- (ii) Soluble or filtered aluminium, <u>i.e</u>. all aluminium not retained by vacuum filtration through a 0.04 µm membrane (Ultipor N66:Pall); and
- (iii) Exchangeable aluminium, <u>i.e</u>. all aluminium retained by a strongly acid cation exchange resin (Amberlite IR 120 Na<sup>+</sup> BDH Ltd.).

The application and characteristics of this scheme were tested with respect to pH effects on aluminium hydroxide chemistry at room temperature.

# 2.3.2 Total Aluminium

The total aluminium fraction equates to the aluminium measured by GPAAS in a sample matrix of 1% (V/V)  $HNO_3$  (Aristar: BDH Ltd.), that has been allowed to digest for a minimum of 5 minutes. Experiments showed that increasing the period of digestion had no significant effect (t-test:  $P \ge 0.05$ ) on the aluminium recovery from dilute solutions. Other authors are not in general agreement about the length of the digestion period. Driscoll (1984) recommends acidification of the sample to pH 1.0 and 1 hour digestion, whilst Seip <u>et al</u> (1984) acidify to pH ca 1.4 and leave samples to digest for 24 hours. Different waters will require specific treatment according to the prevalent forms of aluminium. It is important that the treatment is defined as part of the speciation scheme.

### 2.3.3 Soluble or Filtered Aluminium

The use of membrane filtration to determine the soluble aluminium fraction was examined in detail as described below.

Identical solutions of aluminium, prepared from  $A1(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O$ (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) salt and double-distilled water were filtered under vacuum, through membranes of different pore diameters, in a closed poly-carbonate filter apparatus, (Sterifil: 47mm Hillipore). Hembrane filters of pore diameters, 0.45, 0.20, 0.10 and 0.04um, (100% nylon; Ultipor N66, (47mm): Pall), were washed in 5% (V/V) HNO<sub>3</sub> (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) and then double-distilled water, prior to use.

Samples of total, (pre-filtered solutions) and soluble, (not retained by membrane filtration) aluminium were determined for the different pore sizes by GPAAS. Results were expressed as the proportion of aluminium not retained

by the respective membrane.

The results (Table 2.14, Figure 2.9), clearly show that the amount of aluminium in the soluble fraction was a function of membrane characteristics. A larger proportion of the total aluminium was retained by progressively smaller membrane pore diameters. This effect was most obvious at extremes of pH on either side of the theoretical point of minimum aluminium solubility (ca pH6.0, Roberson and Hem 1969), and in particular towards pH9.0.

The method by which aluminium is retained by a membrane is often a balance between stearic effects, where the membrane acts solely as a sieve, and adsorption, whereby particles are held on the membrane surfaces. Thus smaller particles than the membrane pore diameter may be trapped within the labyrinth of the membrane depth by adsorption. Stearic effects are easily accountable, whilst adsorption is largely impossible to quantify.

The membrane filters used in this study were absolute rated, and thus the spread of pore diameters was very narrow. It is possible, therefore, that stearic effects were responsible for the differences in retention. The membrane filters used are characterised as having a slight electronegative charge at neutral pH. If it is assumed that this charge will become progressively more positive under acid conditions and more negative under alkaline

conditions, then this effect and the additional consideration of increased material surface area for adsorption of the lower pore diameter membranes will explain some of the differences in retention of the membrane filters tested. Research into the adsorptive effects of membrane filters has revealed significant reductions in filterable aluminium fractions, however, these effects are independent of pore diameter for synthetic solutions (Goenaga et al 1987). Increasing the solution pH exacerbated these effects and it has been established that increasing the pH will increase the extent of adsorption of hydrolysable metal ions (James 1971).

This experiment has shown that whilst a thorough explanation of the differences in aluminium retention from synthetic solutions by membranes of different pore diameters, cannot be assumed, the use of this method of aluminium fractionation should be clearly defined in terms of the type and size of membrane filter used. For the purpose of these studies, soluble or filtered aluminium is defined as all aluminium not retained by a 0.04 Aum membrane filter, (Ultipor N66: Pall).

Table 2.14 The effect of membrane pore diameter on the percentage solubility of aluminium in a sample with change in pH.  $\bar{x}$ -mean, SD-standard deviation, n=9. (Initial [A1] = 200µgl<sup>-1</sup>) Transformed Data (Arcsine).

|    |              |            | He           | mbrane     | <b>Filt</b>  | er R        | ating | ALIR |
|----|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-------------|-------|------|
|    | 0.0          | 14         | 0.1          | 0          | 0.2          | 0           |       | 0.45 |
|    | ×            | SD         | x            | SD         | x            | SD          | ž     | SD   |
| .0 | 79.7         | 0.5        | 100.0        | 0.0        | 100.0        | 0.0         | 100.0 | 0.0  |
| .0 | 48.7         | 0.9        | 90.7         | 1.3        | 91.3         | 1.7         | 96.0  | 1.4  |
| .5 | 38.7         | 0.5        | 55.0         | 1.6        | 74.0         | 2.2         | 76.3  | 0.9  |
| .0 | 30.7         | 0.9        | 47.3         | 1.3        | 54.3         | 2.5         | 58.0  | 2.2  |
| .5 | 17.3         | 0.5        | 33.3         | 0.5        | 39.7         | 0. <b>9</b> | 42.3  | 1.3  |
| 0  | 6.7          | 0.5        | 15.3         | 1.7        | 16.3         | 0.5         | 16.7  | 1.7  |
| .5 | 8.3          | 0.5        | 9.0          | 0.0        | 10.0         | 0.8         | 14.7  | 0.5  |
| 0  | 22.3         | 0.9        | 25.7         | 0.5        | 27.3         | 1.7         | 32.3  | 1.9  |
| 0  | 35.3         | 0.9        | 38.7         | 0.5        | 51.3         | 0.9         | 74.7  | 1.3  |
| .0 | 43.3         | 1.3        | 58.0         | 1.4        | 80.3         | 0.5         | 99.0  | 1.4  |
| 0  | 35.3<br>43.3 | 0.9<br>1.3 | 38.7<br>58.0 | 0.5<br>1.4 | 51.3<br>80.3 | 0.9<br>0.5  | 74.7  |      |

#### 2.3.4 Exchangeable Aluminium

Exchangeable aluminium was defined as that fraction of aluminium retained by a cation-exchange resin, (Amberlite IR120 Na<sup>+</sup> BDH Ltd.) after the filtration of a known aluminium solution through the resin. It was measured as the difference between the total and the non-exchanged fraction.





A determining factor in what exchange took place was the residence time i.e. the time available for exchange to Where resin columns are used, residence time can occur. be a function of the length and diameter of the column. In this study a column diameter of 5mm was used and an optimal dry weight of resin was determined experimentally. A range of dry weights, 0.50 to 4.00g, were tested such that the residence time would increase in proportion with the increased resin weights. The resin weights were tested with undersaturated solutions of known aluminium content and pH. The criteria describing the optimum weight of resin were maximum exchange at pH3.0 and minimum exchange at pH6.0 and 9.0. Pre-treatment of the exchange column was important.

The resin was slurry-packed using double distilled water. The column was then flushed with a solution of sodium chlorite (5% W/V), adjusted to the pH of the sample, until the influent and effluent pH values were similar,  $(\pm 0.2 \text{ units})$ . The column was then flushed again with double-distilled water before use. The above procedure using sodium chlorite and double-distilled water was carried out after every sample. Each individual volume of resin was replaced after 3 replicate samples.

The results obtained and outlined in Table 2.15 indicated that 2.00g was the optimal dry weight of resin. This

Table 2.15 The effect of different dry weights of cation exchange resin (Amberlite IR-120 Na<sup>+</sup> BDH Ltd.) and pH on the exchangeable aluminium fraction.  $\ddot{x} - mean$ , SD - standard deviation, n = 9.

(Arcsine transformation). The original aluminium solution was 100  ${\rm Mgl}^{-1}$ , and was undersaturated with respect to amorphous A1(0H) at each pH level tested. The results were expressed as § of total exchanged. (Arcsine transfo

| Hd  |      |     | Weight | of  | Cation | Exch | ange R | esin ( | (grannes) |     |
|-----|------|-----|--------|-----|--------|------|--------|--------|-----------|-----|
|     | .0   | 50  | 1.0    | 0   | 2.0    | 0    | 3.     | 00     | •         | .00 |
|     | ĸ    | SD  | ×      | SD  | iX     | SD   | I X    | SD     | IX        | SD  |
| 3.0 | 64.7 | 3.9 | 78.3   | 1.7 | 84.3   | 6.0  | 64.3   | 2.5    | 58.3      | 2.5 |
| 6.0 | 24.7 | 2.1 | 21.3   | 6.0 | 6.7    | 6.0  | 22.0   | 2.2    | 33.7      | 2.5 |
| 9.0 | 2.7  | 6.0 | 0.3    | 0.5 | 0.0    | 0.0  | 0.7    | 6.0    | 5.7       | 1-7 |
|     |      |     |        |     |        |      |        |        |           |     |

gave a column height, on generation, of 50mm and a residence time of 30 seconds.

In all exchangeable aluminium determinations carried out in this thesis the volume of solution used was a constant  $(35cm^3)$  and the methodology was as described above.

There are many reports on the use of cation exchange resins in aluminium speciation. The resin can be used in columns, (e.g. Driscoll 1984, Goenaga et al 1987) or in stirred suspensions, (e.g. Campbell et al 1983, Liteor 1987). The residence time can be increased to look at the rate of exchange of aluminium and this may be used, providing a constant pH is maintained, to define both rapidly and slowly exchangeable species. These will include the free hexa-aqua trivalent species, the di- and monovalent hydroxy-aluminium species and aluminium bound in kinetically labile complexes where the binding is weaker than the aluminium-Amberlite complex. Exchangeable aluminium as defined by the columns used .throughout these experiments will be of the rapidly exchangeable type, mainly cationic monomers and weakly bound aluminium complexes.

The term, exchangeable aluminium, should be adequately defined in respect of the type of resin used and the procedural details that accompany its use.

### 2.4 General Discussion

The analytical methods described were developed specifically to address the conditions under which the research was carried out, for example the facility of GFAAS was applied directly to the measurement of low concentrations of aluminium in dilute, aqueous and mainly synthetic waters. Similarly, the scheme developed to study simple aluminium speciation took into account both the practicalities of a large number of samples and the security of a "known quantity" in respect of the various solvents employed. The use of synthetic solutions, derived from stock salt solutions, precluded the additional speciation effects of other aluminium complexing ligands such as fluorides and organics thereby improving the possibility of the development of cause and effect relationships with the remaining chemistry.

The intention was to clearly define the basic experimental methodology and thus facilitate the interpretation of results derived from its use.

#### 2.5 Summary

The use of graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy was optimised for aluminium determinations in artificially controlled synthetic milieu. In conjunction with welldefined sample pre-treatment methodologies, GFAAS could be used to determine three distinct aluminium fractions; total-Al, filtered-Al and exchangeable-Al (Figure 2.10).


Figure 2.10. Simple flow diagram describing the procedures involved in defining the 3 distinct aluminium fractions.

CHAPTER THREE: ANELIORATION STRATEGIES FOR ALUMINIUM IN ACID WATERS

#### 3.1 Introduction

The term amelioration describes the improvement of the water quality of acid waters. It represents a fuller description of acid water treatment than the often used term neutralisation. This term describes the formation of water and thus a change in pH to 7.0 and is an inadequate description of the physical and chemical processes involved in the detoxification of acid water.

The treatment of acid waters to improve their quality for aquaculture is not a new concept and was practised in Norway as early as the 1920s. Limestone filters were used to neutralise acid waters in hatcheries (Dahl, 1926, 1927; Sunde 1926) and were generally successful in raising pH by a unit and improving the survival of salmon eggs and fry. By the mid 1930s 80 per cent of all Norwegian hatcheries employed limestone filters (Bakke 1939). The amelioration of acid waters has continued (Torgersen 1934, Somme 1942) and over the past twenty years has become increasingly important in alleviating the many problems associated with acid rain.

However, amelioration strategies to date, the great majority of which have involved the large-scale additions

of limestone to surface waters and catchments, have been developed solely on the basis of the reduction in acidity of surface waters and with no specific attempt to address the major toxicant of acid waters, aluminium (Exley and Phillips 1988).

The mitigation of aluminium toxicity in acid waters can be achieved by reducing the biological availability of the aluminium. In the past this has been achieved through pH-induced reductions in the soluble aluminium fraction, (e.g. Rosseland et al 1986, Skogheim et al 1987). The primary control of aluminium solubility in acid waters during neutralisation will be the crystalline aluminium hydroxide, gibbsite, the least soluble common hydroxide phase (Hem 1968a, May et al 1979). For aluminium levels to approach the solubility defined by gibbsite, a period of equilibration is required and this may represent a substantial period of time (for example up to a month in certain water conditions (May et al 1979)), particularly if a degree of aluminium immigration into the water is sustained. Thus the soluble aluminium fraction, under aluminium hydroxide control could remain high for a long period during neutralisation and is prome to increase should the water pH fall slightly or rise above neutral. The nature of the soluble aluminium fraction is defined by aluminium hydrolysis equilibria, (equations 1-4), and the proportion of each species present is a function of the pH (Martin 1986);

(1) 
$$A1^{3+} + H_2^{0} = A10H^{2+} + H^{+}$$

(2) 
$$h = h^{2+} + h^{20} = h = h^{20} + h^{40}$$

- (3)  $A1(OH)_{2}^{+} + H_{2}O = A1(OH)_{3}^{+} + H^{+}$
- (4)  $A1(OH)_3 + H_2 O \longrightarrow A1(OH)_4^- + H^+$

The octahedral, hexahydrate,  $Al(H_2O)_6^{3+}$  will dominate at  $pH \angle 5.0$  and the tetrahedral  $Al(OH)_4^-$  at  $pH \ge 6.2$ , a mixture of species will exist from  $5.0 \angle pH \angle 6.2$ .

These soluble monomeric species are responsible for acute aluminium toxicity in natural waters, (the nature of this toxicity is discussed in Chapter 6). The amelioration of aluminium toxicity could be more effectively achieved by changing the solubility control rather than changing the pH per se.

The longer term success of an ameliorative strategy will depend on the stability of the biologically unavailable aluminium form with respect to water quality changes, and in particular pH fluctuations.

The ideal amelioration compound would:

- Effect the rapid removal of biologically available aluminium from the water column such that the resultant insolubles and corresponding solid phase were non-toxic and stable with respect to fluctuations in the water quality.
- 2) Increase the water pH towards the range 6.0 to 7.0 and donate sufficient buffering capacity to sustain this pH level, <sup>±</sup> 0.5 pH units. This pH regime is both suitable for salmonid populations, (Alabaster and Lloyd 1980), and will ensure minimal concentrations of soluble aluminium species, (Hem 1968a).

In seawater, aqueous silica controls the solubility of aluminium (Willey 1975a, 1975b), which rarely exceeds lQugl<sup>-1</sup>, (Sackett and Arrhenius 1962, Goldberg 1965). The geology of catchments draining into acidified waters will dictate that these waters will be low in Si<sub>{aq}</sub> (Farmer 1986).

This thesis postulates that the replenishment of the aqueous silica concentration of acidified natural waters will reduce aluminium toxicity to salmonids through a change in solubility control from that of a hydroxide phase to that of an aluminosilicate phase.

This theory was first tested through the comparison of two existing, calcium carbonate and calcium hydroxide,

and one potential, calcium silicate, amelioration compounds.

All of these compounds are readily available, relatively inexpensive and share the same major cation, Ca<sup>2+</sup> (aq)' thereby reducing potential cationic effects on their comparative amelioration efficacy, (see Davison and House 1988),). In addition, calcium carbonate is historically the foremost compound used in acid water amelioration, (Exley and Phillips 1988), calcium hydroxide is a compound in general and increasing use today, (Slavin 1986), and calcium silicate is a novel and as yet untested alternative to the carbonate and hydroxide salts.

This chapter will cover the application of the ameliorating agents, both in a field study,  $(Ca(OH)_{2(s)}only)$ , and in the laboratory and will aim to characterise the ideal compound for the amelioration of aluminium in acid waters.

# 3.2 Kenmure Rainbow Trout Farm: A Study of the Use of Calcium Hydroxide in Acid Water Amelioration

# 3.2.1 Introduction

Kenmure is a rainbow trout farm located close to Castle Douglas in south-west Scotland. The farm pumps water from Loch Ken, (ca 115,200m<sup>3</sup>/day), and this water supply is consistently acidic and high in metals,

in particular aluminium, manganese and copper. To reduce the potential toxicities of these conditions, (see Exley and Phillips 1988), to the farmed fish, the influent supply to the farm is dosed continuously with calcium hydroxide,  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$ . Kenmure Fishery offered an excellent opportunity to investigate the effectiveness of this dosing strategy in a practical field situation. The aim of the present study was to assess the strategy in terms of the buffering of acidity, the reduction of the concentration of biologically available metals and the maintenance of adequate calcium and magnesium levels.

#### 3.2.2 <u>Materials and Methods</u>

Sampling was carried out over a 14 month period from April 1987 to Hay 1988.  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$  was dosed, dry, from a hopper to a mixing chamber served by the influent farm supply. A propellor ensured good mixing and the resultant slurry fed the farm supply. The rate at which the hopper served the mixing chamber was manually adjusted according to the pH of both the pre- and post-lime water. The weight of  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$  used was recorded each week and mean weekly doses determined for each month.

Water samples were taken in acid-washed polyethylene bottles twice weekly, (Monday p.m., Friday a.m.) from each of three sample points (Figure 3.2.0):



Figure 3.2.0. Schematic representation of the layout of the ponds and sampling points,  $(SP1 \rightarrow 3)$ , at Kenmure Fishery.

- 1) Pre-lime; untreated Loch Ken water prior to entering the farm.
- Post-lime, directly after liming and prior to entering the culture ponds.
- 3) Outflow waste water leaving the farm complex.

Samples were stored at 4°C in the dark and sent to Stirling for analysis. The delay between sampling and analysis was variable, usually of the order of 2-7 days. The significance of the delay was that it precluded representative fractionation studies on the metals in the sample. Thus all metal analyses were recorded as total content in each sample.

In addition, pH and water temperature were recorded on the farm at each sample point and at the time of sampling qualitative observations on the weather and on the health of the fish were also recorded and generalised states determined for each month. Fish health was recorded as a fish health index, (Table 3.2.1), and the index was derived from both the stressed state of the fish and the frequency which stressed fish were observed each month.

In the laboratory, sample pH was recorded, (P.W.9409 Digital Recorder: Philips), and the sample was then acidified, 1% V/V  $HNO_3$  (Aristar:BDH Ltd.), to reduce adsorptive effects with the sample bottle and prepare the sample for metal analysis. Aluminium, manganese and copper were analysed by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy as total metal only. Aluminium was determined using the program described in Chapter Two and programs for copper and manganese were derived from literature figures (Perkin-Elmer Ltd.).

Total calcium and magnesium were measured by flame atomic absorption spectroscopy after methods described by Golterman et al (1978).

During October 1987, 2 year old harvest trout were analysed for tissue aluminium. The analytical technique is described elsewhere, (section 6.2.3.4).

3.2.3 Results

#### 3.2.3.1 General Weather Conditions

Precipitation, and hence the wet deposition of air-borne pollutants, was heaviest during the autumn and winter months, September to March (Table 3.2.1). Significant snowfall was evident during January and February, whilst the spring and early summer of 1987 were exceptionally dry (Table 3.2.1).

| Nonth        | General Weather | Fish Health |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| April 1987   | Dry             | 3           |
| May          | Dry             | 2           |
| June         | Dry             | 2           |
| July         | Dry and Stormy  | 4           |
| August       | Dry and Stormy  | 1           |
| September    | Dry/Wet         | 4           |
| October      | Wet and Stormy  | ··· 4       |
| November     | Dry and Stormy  | 3           |
| December     | Dry/Wet         | 1           |
| January 1988 | Wet and Snow    | 3           |
| February     | Dry and Snow    | 5           |
| March        | Dry             | 2           |
| April        | Wet             | 2           |
| Hay          | Dry             | 1           |
|              |                 |             |

Table 3.2.1 The monthly weather conditions and general health status of the fish during the 14 month study at Kenmure Fishery.

Table Footnote: Qualitative Fish Health Scale:

1. - no apparent signs of stress.

- 2. occasional signs of stress.
- 3. many signs of stress.
- 4. stress and occasional deaths.
- 5. stress and many deaths.

## 3.2.3.2 Water Temperature

The water temperature varied seasonally with maximum, (ca 17.0°C) and minimum, (ca 3.5°C), peaks in July and December respectively, (Table 3.2.2, Pigure 3.2.1). There were also considerable fluctuations in water temperatures during certain months, particularly during spring and summer, (Figure 3.2.1).

## 3.2.3.3 Calcium Hydroxide Dosage

 $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$  dosage was highest during the summer months and most variable during the spring and summer, (Table 3.2.3). Hean additions of  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$  throughout the year were equivalent to the addition of between 0.9 and 1.8 mg of calcium per litre of inflow water.

#### 3.2.3.4 <u>pH Control</u>

Correlations between the water pH and the seasons/weather were not obvious though the influent pH was noticeably consistently lower during the summer and early autumn of 1987 (Table 3.2.4, Figure 3.2.2), and this may be related to the weather conditions earlier in the same year where a dry spring and early summer were followed by stormy, wet weather in the late summer and early autumn, (Table 3.2.1).

|              | Temperature °C |           |  |  |
|--------------|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Month        | Nean           | Range     |  |  |
| April 1987   | 8.0            | 4.5-13.0  |  |  |
| May          | 11.5           | 10.0-13.0 |  |  |
| June         | 13.5           | 12.0-15.5 |  |  |
| July         | 17.0           | 15.0-19.5 |  |  |
| August       | 15.0           | 14.0-16.0 |  |  |
| September    | 13.5           | 11.0-16.0 |  |  |
| October      | 9.0            | 8.0-11.0  |  |  |
| November     | 6.5            | 4.0-8.0   |  |  |
| December     | 3.5            | 2.0-5.0   |  |  |
| January 1988 | 4.0            | 3.0-5.0   |  |  |
| February     | 4.0            | 2.5-5.0   |  |  |
| March        | 5.5            | 4.0-7.5   |  |  |
| April        | 8.5            | 8.0-10.0  |  |  |
| May          | 13.0           | 10.5-15.0 |  |  |
|              |                |           |  |  |

Table 3.2.2 The mean monthly vater temperatures and temperature range for Kenmure Fishery between April 1987 and May 1988. n=8.

.





| Lime Dosage  | Hean: KgWk <sup>-1</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> | Range: KgWk <sup>-1</sup> x10 <sup>3</sup> | Nean: KgM <sup>-3</sup> |
|--------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| Nonth        |   |  |                         |
| April 1987   | 1.0                                       | 0.9-1.3                                    | 1.24x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| Hay          | 0.7                                       | 0.4-0.9                                    | 0.87x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| June         | 1.2                                       | 0.6-1.8                                    | 1.49x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| July         | 1.2                                       | 0.9-1.4                                    | 1.49x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| August       | 1.2                                       | 0.9-1.4                                    | 1.49x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| September    | 1.4                                       | 1.2-1.8                                    | $1.74 \times 10^{-3}$   |
| October      | 1.3                                       | 1.2-1.4                                    | 1.61x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| November     | 1.3                                       | 1.2-1.4                                    | 1.61x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| December     | 1.1                                       | 1.0-1.2                                    | 1.36x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| January 1988 | 0.9                                       | 0.9-1.2                                    | 1.12x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| February     | 0.9                                       | 0.8-1.0                                    | 1.12x10-3               |
| Narch        | 0.7                                       | 0.6-0.9                                    | 0.87x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| April        | 0.7                                       | 0.6-0.8                                    | 0.87x10 <sup>-3</sup>   |
| May          | 0.0                                       | 0.0  | 0.0                     |

Table 3.2.3 Nean weekly dose and range of Ca(OH) used per month between April 1987 and May 1988 at 2(s) Kenmure Fishery. n = 4.

Table 3.2.4 Heasured pH levels, mean and range, at each sample point for each month between April 1987 and May 1988, at Kenmure Fishery. n = 8.

| Sample<br>Point<br>Month | Inflow/Pre-Lime |           | iflow/Pre-Lime Inflow/Post-Lime |           | Outflow |           |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
|                          | Mean            | Range     | Mean                            | Range     | Mean    | Range     |
| April 1987               | 6.07            | 5.65-6.45 | 6.71                            | 6.43-7.10 | 6.30    | 6.16-6.50 |
| Nay                      | 5.74            | 5.46-6.05 | 6.56                            | 6.27-7.16 | 6.14    | 5.91-6.33 |
| June                     | 5.83            | 5.65-6.29 | 6.40                            | 6.10-6.74 | 5.87    | 5.42-6.32 |
| July                     | 6.00            | 5.85-6.38 | 6.33                            | 5.97-6.92 | 6.20    | 6.10-6.53 |
| August                   | 5.84            | 5.56-6.30 | 6.77                            | 6.46-7.16 | 6.08    | 5.70-6.40 |
| September                | 5.75            | 5.28-6.33 | 6.89                            | 6.73-7.29 | 6.47    | 6.34-6.50 |
| October                  | 5.71            | 5.09-6.34 | 6.92                            | 6.45-7.67 | 6.40    | 6.15-6.52 |
| November                 | 6.10            | 5.86-6.50 | 7.04                            | 6.75-7.40 | 6.40    | 6.30-6.57 |
| December                 | 6.22            | 5.92-6.50 | 6.99                            | 6.75-7.51 | 6.46    | 6.30-6.70 |
| January 1988             | 5.98            | 5.56-6.28 | 6.61                            | 5.95-7.40 | 6.47    | 6.38-6.53 |
| February                 | 6.18            | 5.98-6.50 | 6.93                            | 6.64-7.22 | 6.46    | 6.36-7.20 |
| Harch                    | 6.08            | 5.88-6.25 | 7.01                            | 6.80-7.40 | 6.39    | 6.25-6.56 |
| April                    | 6.22            | 6.01-6.40 | 6.53                            | 6.03-7.09 | 6.34    | 6.22-6.40 |
| May (No Lime)            | 6.57            | 6.38-6.73 | n.a.                            | n.a.      | 6.39    | 6.19-6.78 |



Figure 3.2.2. The water pH at the pre-lime ...., post-lime, ...., and outflow, ...., sample points, during the 14 month study at Kenmure Fishery. Nonthly means are plotted, n = 8. Bars indicate the full range, n = 24.

The pH of the inflow, pre-lime pH, was generally pH5.5 to pH6.5, though was not as low as might have been expected for the area, (Table 3.2.4, Figure 3.2.2). The immediate effect of  $Ca(OH)_{2(m)}$  addition was to raise the pH by between 0.7 to 0.9 pH units. The pH of the water would then fall to about pH 6.4 at the outflow sampling point. Whilst both the pre- and post-lime pH were consistently variable, the outflow pH remained fairly constant, particularly during the autumn and winter months. This correlates quite closely with the low and less variable water temperatures during these months and suggests that while the addition of  $Ca(OH)_{2(a)}$  was seen to successfully control the pH of the farm water, the control may be derived more from the water temperature than the pH of the influent supply.

## 3.2.3.5 Calcium

The calcium concentration in the farm inflow was ca  $2.5 \text{mgl}^{-1}$ , and would not be expected to be limiting with respect to fish growth, (Rodgers 1984).The calcium level was supplemented by the addition of Ca(OH)<sub>2(S)</sub> and the difference between the pre- and post-lime levels were equivalent to the actual dosage, (Table 3.2.3). The post-lime calcium level was equivalent to 70-90% of the calcium added above the pre-lime level whilst the calcium level recorded in the outflow was generally equivalent to more than the calcium added on Ca(OH)<sub>2(S)</sub> addition (Table

Table 3.2.5 Heasured calcium levels, mean and range, each month at each sample point during the 14 month study at Kenmure Fishery. n = 8, units g mgl<sup>-1</sup>.

| Sample<br>Point<br>Month  | Inflow | flow/Pre-Lime Inflow/Post-Lime |       | flow/Pre-Lime Inflow/ |      | Inflow/Post-Lime |  | tflow |
|---------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|------|------------------|--|-------|
| Calcium mgl <sup>-1</sup> | Mean   | Range                          | Mean  | Range                 | Mean | Range            |  |       |
| April 1987                | 2.4    | 1.7-3.3                        | 3.4   | 2.9-4.8               | 3.8  | 3.3-4.7          |  |       |
| May                       | 2.6    | 1.9-3.9                        | 3.3 - | 2.8-4.3               | 3.7  | 2.8-4.4          |  |       |
| June                      | 2.3    | 1.7-2.8                        | 3.3   | 2.6-4.1               | 3.6  | 2.1-5.2          |  |       |
| July                      | 2.4    | 1.1-3.0                        | 3.7   | 2.9 <del>.</del> 4.3  | 4.0  | 3.3-4.2          |  |       |
| August                    | 2.6    | 0.8-3.3                        | 3.9   | 1.6-5.9               | 4.4  | 3.4-6.3          |  |       |
| September                 | 2.5    | 2.3-2.7                        | 3.4   | 3.1-3.7               | 3.7  | 3.2-4.1          |  |       |
| October                   | 2.7    | 2.1-3.0                        | 4.2   | 3.5-5.2               | 6.8  | 5.9-7.5          |  |       |
| November                  | 2.7    | 2.3-3.3                        | 4.3   | 3.8-5.3               | 5.7  | 3.1-7.5          |  |       |
| December                  | 4.9    | 2.5-6.1                        | 6.2   | 4.7-9.1               | 6.9  | 5.5-8.1          |  |       |
| January 1988              | 4.5    | 3.7-4.9                        | 5.3   | 3.7-5.7               | 9.1  | 7.7-9.9          |  |       |
| February                  | 3.2    | 1.1-4.3                        | 4.2   | 5.5-6.2               | 6.0  | 3.2-7.5          |  |       |
| March                     | 2.9    | 1.7-4.1                        | 3.9   | 3.1-5.2               | 3.9  | 3.1-4.3          |  |       |
| April                     | 2.5    | 0.8-3.1                        | 3.5   | 2.9-6.9               | 7.0  | 5.6-8.2          |  |       |
| May (No Lime)             | 2.2    | 1.1-2.5                        | n.a.  | n.a.                  | 2.4  | 1.6-3.0          |  |       |

80

.





3.2.5, Figure 3.2.3). This was particularly true during the autumn and winter months and suggested that sedimented calcium resulting either from undissolved  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$ or precipitated calcium products was dissolving in the water column, perhaps during conditions when calcium dissolution would be enhanced such as cold, acidic water.

## 3.2.3.6 Magnesium

The magnesium level in the inflow to the farm was ca 1.0mgl<sup>-1</sup> and was adequate with respect to both salmonid growth and survival, (Alabaster and Lloyd 1980). Magnesium levels within the farm showed fluctuations of  $\pm$  0.6mgl<sup>-1</sup> and were largely independent of Ca(OH)<sub>2(s)</sub> addition, (Table 3.2.6, Figure 3.2.4), though were generally lower during the winter months. Wide intra-month variations in magnesium were evident during August and March and these correlate quite closely to periods of heavy rain and snowmelt respectively, (Table 3.2.1).

## 3.2.3.7 Aluminium

Aluminium levels in the influent supply to the farm were both high and variable, (Table 3.2.7, Figure 3.2.5). In the absence of high concentrations of aluminium complexing agents, the measured levels of total aluminium, 150-300ugl<sup>-1</sup>, would be expected to be acutely toxic to rainbow trout, (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>), at pH less than 6.0 (Exley and Phillips 1988). Table 3.2.6 Heasured magnesium levels, mean and range, each month at each sample point during the 14 month study at Kenmure Fishery. n = 8, units a mgl-1.

| Sample<br>Point<br>Month    | Inflow | /Pre-Lime | Inflow/Post-Lime |         | st-Lime Outflow |         |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| Magnesium mgl <sup>-1</sup> | Mean   | Range     | Mean             | Range   | Mean            | Range   |
| April 1987                  | 1.2    | 0.9-1.6   | 1.2              | 1.1-1.6 | 1.2             | 1.0-1.5 |
| Hay                         | 1.1    | 1.1-1.2   | 1.2              | 1.1-1.6 | 1.2             | 1.1-1.6 |
| June                        | 1.1    | 1.0-1.3   | 1.0              | 1.0-1.1 | 1.1             | 0.8-1.2 |
| July                        | 1.7    | 1.4-1.8   | 1.6              | 1.3-1.8 | 1.6             | 1.5-1.9 |
| August                      | 1.5    | 1.0-2.0   | 1.4              | 0.9-1.8 | 1.4             | 0.9-1.9 |
| September                   | 1.2    | 1.1-1.5   | 1.2              | 1.0-1.4 | 1.2             | 1.0-1.4 |
| October                     | 1.2    | 0.9-1.3   | 1.2              | 1.0-1.4 | 1.0             | 0.8-1.1 |
| November                    | 0.9    | 0.8-1.1   | 0.8              | 0.7-0.8 | 0.8             | 0.7-0.8 |
| December                    | 1.0    | 0.9-1.1   | 1.0              | 0.9-1.1 | 1.0             | 0.8-1.1 |
| January 1988                | 1.1    | 1.0-1.2   | 1.1              | 1.0-1.1 | 0.8             | 0.6-1.0 |
| February                    | 0.9    | 0.9-1.0   | 0.9              | 0.7-1.1 | 1.0             | 0.8-1.1 |
| March                       | 0.7    | 0.6-0.9   | 2.0              | 1.8-2.2 | 0.9             | 0.7-1.1 |
| April                       | 1.1    | 1.0-1.3   | 1.1              | 0.9-1.2 | 1.1             | 1.0-1.5 |
| May (No Lime)               | 0.9    | 0.6-1.1   | n.a.             | n.a.    | 0.9             | 0.8-1.0 |





Table 3.2.7 Heasured aluminium levels, mean and range, each month at each sample point during the 14 month study at Kenmure Fishery. n = 8, units  $\equiv 4 \text{ sgl}^{-1}$ .

| Sample<br>Point<br>Month     | Inflow | low/Pre-Lime Inflow/Post-Lime Outflow |      | Inflow/Post-Lime |      | itflow   |
|------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|------|------------------|------|----------|
| Aluminium Augl <sup>-1</sup> | Mean   | Range                                 | Mean | Range            | Mean | Range    |
| April 1987                   | 165    | 130-190                               | 200  | 150-240          | 198  | 120-250  |
| May                          | 195    | 130-230                               | 201  | 150-235          | 208  | 120-250  |
| June                         | 163    | 130-230                               | 174  | 120-240          | 194  | 135-235  |
| July                         | 207    | 140-300                               | 216  | 150-300          | 264  | 200-300  |
| August                       | 233    | 160-300                               | 257  | 180-302          | 246  | .140-310 |
| September                    | 303    | 277-313                               | 311  | 291-322          | 319  | 290-341  |
| October                      | 256    | 160-300                               | 258  | 150-270          | 258  | 180-300  |
| November                     | 253    | 201-305                               | 263  | 200-310          | 328  | 270-511  |
| December                     | 239    | 208-252                               | 251  | 211-263          | 255  | 231-263  |
| January 1988                 | 247    | 200-299                               | 258  | 233-301          | 279  | 231-311  |
| February                     | 291    | 188-327                               | 299  | 192-343          | 319  | 200-341  |
| March                        | 251    | 201-259                               | 262  | 209-283          | 281  | 233-295  |
| April                        | 201    | 137-247                               | 210  | 150-247          | 200  | 139-212  |
| May (No Lime)                | 171    | 123-185                               | n.a. | п.а.             | 191  | 124-211  |





The addition of  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$  increased the total concentration of aluminium, the post-lime aluminium level consistently exceeding the pre-lime level by 2-30µgl<sup>-1</sup>, (Figure 3.2.5). In addition the outflow aluminium levels generally exceeded the post-lime levels by up to  $65µgl^{-1}$ , an indication perhaps that further dissolution of aluminium from lime particles was occurring and/or aluminium was both deposited and subsequently released from the pond sediments. Aluminium levels peaked during the autumn and winter months and in particular during September and February, months corresponding to periods of heavy rain and snowmelt respectively, (Table 3.2.1).

#### 3.2.3.8 Tissue Aluminium

The analysis of the tissues of apparently, <u>i.e</u>. visibly unstressed, healthy rainbow trout (2 years old ca 1Kg weight), revealed significant accumulations of aluminium (Table 3.2.8). The largest accumulations were located in brain, (range: 123-191  $\mu$ gg<sup>-1</sup>), and gill tissue (range 100-159  $\mu$ gg<sup>-1</sup>), though aluminium was measured in all tissues sampled.

The tissue digestion technique, (Chapter Six), determined only total aluminium in a known mass of tissue and was therefore unable to predict the form of the accumulated aluminium or the nature of the association of the aluminium with the tissue.

| Tissue | Nean | Range   |
|--------|------|---------|
| Brain  | 160  | 123-191 |
| Liver  | 83   | 42-91   |
| Gonad  | 21   | 16-25   |
| Gills  | 114  | 100-159 |
| Muscle | 48   | 12-75   |

Table 3.2.8 Aluminium accumulations  $(\mu gg^{-1} dry weight)$  in the tissues of live rainbow trout from Kenmure Fishery. n = 8.

#### 3.2.3.9 Manganese

The manganese concentrations found in the inflow to the farm, ca 50-100 $\mu$ gl<sup>-1</sup>, would not be acutely toxic to rainbow trout, though might confer some sub-lethal gill damage at pH ca 5.0 (Exley and Phillips 1988). Ca(OH)<sub>2(8)</sub> addition removed manganese from the water column (Table 3.2.9 and Figure 3.2.6) presumably through the precipitation of the  $\text{Hn}_{(\text{ag})}^{2+}$  ion (see Howells 1984). Manganese levels did show a seasonal trend, generally being higher during the autumn and winter months. The manganese level peaked during October and February and during the latter the precipitation of manganese was greatly reduced, a suggestion, (though not vindicated by the pH measurements taken), perhaps of more acid water during this period. Table 3.2.9 Measured manganese levels, mean and range, each month, at each sample point during the 14 month study at Kenmure Pishery. n = 8, units m Agg1-1

| Sample<br>Point<br>Month     | Inflow/Pre-Lime |        | Sample<br>Point Inflow/Pre-Lime Inflow/Post-Lime |        | Outflow |        |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------|--|--------|---------|--------|
| Manganese Augl <sup>-1</sup> | Mean            | Range  | Mean   | Range  | Hean    | Range  |
| April 1987                   | 45              | 27-62  | 53   | 40-77  | 58      | 42-72  |
| Мау                          | 82              | 65-125 | 57   | 47-67  | 72      | 52-145 |
| June                         | 73              | 62-82  | 62   | 54-70  | 56      | 47-66  |
| July                         | 69              | 30-101 | 61   | 23-92  | 58      | 24-92  |
| August                       | 74              | 17-110 | 69   | 22-114 | 53      | 11-74  |
| September                    | 91              | 76-130 | 72   | 54-102 | 64      | 53-82  |
| October                      | 103             | 59-121 | 85   | 61-101 | 79      | 61-95  |
| November                     | 93              | 69-99  | 81   | 59-102 | 80      | 61-92  |
| December                     | 84              | 73-89  | 75   | 42-90  | 71      | 44-78  |
| January 1988                 | 78              | 69-103 | 77   | 52-84  | 76      | 47-83  |
| February                     | 102             | 97-153 | 100  | 75-121 | 93      | 64-125 |
| March                        | 63              | 27-69  | 51   | 36-65  | 49      | 42-55  |
| April                        | 42              | 12-79  | 41   | 32-55  | 37      | 29-45  |
| May                          | 31              | 10-41  |  |        | 19      | 15-26  |



Figure 3.2.6. Manganese concentrations at the pre-lime,...., post-lime, ..., and outflow,..., sample points during the 14 month study at Kenmure Fishery. Monthly means are plotted, n=8. Bars indicate the full range, n=24.

# 3.2.3.10 Copper

The level of copper in the influent supply to the farm was high, range 0-20 $ugl^{-1}$  (Table 3.2.10), and was, on occasion, (June and August), above the suggested toxicity threshold of 7 $ugl^{-1}$ , (Pagenkopf 1983, Lauren and HcDonald 1985). Whilst copper levels throughout the farm, (Table 3.2.10) were unlikely to be acutely toxic, they were likely to contribute to the overall stressed state of the fish (Exley and Phillips 1988). Ca(OH)<sub>2(8)</sub> addition had no consistent effect on the total copper levels, (Table 3.2.10, Figure 3.2.7). No seasonal trend for copper was evident though prominent peak levels were recorded during August and February.

## 3.2.3.11 Fish Health

Mortalities were lower than would have been predicted by water quality conditions <u>per se</u>, (Table 3.2.1). The only deaths directly attributable to the water chemistry were during February 1988, and, as is discussed later, were correlated with increased levels of metals and in particular aluminium. Mortality during July, September and October 1987 were attributed to the bacterial disease, enteric redmouth, (ERM).

Fish showed visible signs of stress for up to 80% of the year. Most typical were inappetance and general lethargy. Stressed fish showed little if any fright response and

Table 3.2.10 Measured copper levels, mean and range, each month at each sample point during the 14 month study at Kenmure Fishery. n = 8, units = Augl-1.

| Sample<br>Point<br>Month | Inflow/Pre-Lime |          | Inflow/Post-Lime |          | Outflow |         |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| Copper Agl <sup>-1</sup> | Mean            | Range    | Mean             | Range    | Mean    | Range   |
| April 1987               | 2.5             | 1.0-6.0  | 2.5              | 1.5-4.5  | 3.0     | 1.5-4.5 |
| May                      | 2.5             | 1.0-5.5  | 1.5              | 1.0-3.0  | 2.0     | 1.5-3.0 |
| June                     | 3.0             | 1.0-8.0  | 3.0              | 0.0-8.0  | 2.0     | 0.0-9.0 |
| July                     | 2.5             | 1.0-3.0  | 2.0              | 0.0-4.0  | 2.5     | 0.0-6.0 |
| August                   | 5.5             | 1.5-20.0 | 4.5              | 1.5-14.0 | 3.0     | 1.5-6.0 |
| September                | 3.0             | 2.0-3.5  | 2.5              | 1.5-3.5  | 4.0     | 2.0-7.0 |
| October                  | 2.5             | 0.0-3.5  | 2.5              | 1.5-3.0  | 3.0     | 1.0-5.0 |
| November                 | 4.5             | 1.5-6.0  | 3.0              | 0.0-4.0  | 3.5     | 1.0-5.0 |
| December                 | 1.3             | 0.0-2.0  | 1.3              | 1.0-2.5  | 1.3     | 0.0-2.5 |
| January 1988             | 1.0             | 0.0-1.5  | 0.0              | 0.0-0.5  | 1.5     | 0.0-5.0 |
| February                 | 4.0             | 2.5-5.0  | 3.5              | 1.0-4.0  | 4.5     | 2.0-5.0 |
| March                    | 1.5             | 0.0-1.5  | 2.0              | 0.0-2.5  | 1.5     | 1.0-2.0 |
| April                    | 2.5             | 1.0-4.0  | 3.5              | 2.5-5.0  | 3.5     | 1.5-6.0 |
| May (No Lime)            | 1.0             | 0.0-1.0  | n.a.             | n.a.     | 2.5     | 0.0-3.0 |

•





tended to swim high up in the water column, often at the surface. A spasmodic swimming action was observed in fish close to death. These stress criteria were used to construct a fish health index (Table 3.2.1). Increased levels of stress could generally be correlated with adverse monthly weather conditions.

## 3.2.4 Discussion

Calcium hydroxide addition appeared to successfully control the pH of the influent supply to Kenmure Fishery, (Table 3.2.4, Figure 3.2.2), though did not abolish acidrelated stress, (Table 3.2.1). Water temperature was found to be a significant influence on  $Ca(OH)_{2(m)}$  dosage and necessitated higher doses during the warmer spring and summer months to effect the required increase in the influent supply pH. This can be attributed to the reduced solubility, and hence dissolution, of Ca(OH)2(s) at higher water temperatures. The increased levels of calcium recorded in the outflow water with respect to <sup>+</sup>both pre- and post-lime calcium levels suggested that calcium was deposited in the culture ponds sediments and may then be available as an alternative source of alkalinity, to that already dissolved in the water column, for the buffering of acidity, (Table 3.2.5, Figure 3.2.3).

Although the pH was controlled and may have reduced fish mortalities, the fish remained stressed for much of the

year, (Table 3.2.1).

From all the water quality information available it can be surmised that the aluminium level was the most likely precursor of both sub-lethal and lethal responses. The rainbow trout Salmo gairdneri, is the most sensitive salmonid to acid/aluminium stress, (Exley and Phillips 1988). Assuming that strong aluminium complexing ligands such as  $P_{(a\sigma)}$  and dissolved organic carbon, (DOC), were negligible, as found at Kenmure by D'Anjou (1988), the predominant monomeric aluminium species present at the recorded pH levels of the farm water could be represented by an equilibrium between the dihydroxy cation,  $Al(OH)^+_{2(ag)}$ , and the aluminate anion, A1(OH) 4(ag). These species are unlikely to be acutely toxic however sufficient acidic aluminium ions, e.g.  $Al_{(ag)}^{3+}$ , and  $AlOH_{(ag)}^{2+}$ , would be present to confer a degree of physiological acclimation to aluminium exposure on the culture fish, (Wood et al 1988b, 1988c). Physiological adaptation will reduce the sensitivity of the fish to subsequent aluminium exposure and might explain the lower than predicted mortalities on the farm.

Evidence in favour of a degree of physiological adaptation to aluminium was provided by the tissue digestion analyses, (Table 3.2.8). The occurrence of aluminium in all tissues analysed and in particular the brain and gill suggested biologically available aluminium was present in the farm supply though rarely at sufficient levels to be acutely toxic.

Comparative studies on tissue aluminium levels are rare, however, similarities exist between this study and that of Buergel and Soltero (1983), where the gill was shown to be the principal site of aluminium accumulation in live rainbow trout and also Berg and Burns (1984), where the brain was shown to be the major tissue for aluminium accumulation in a wide range of live fish. The significance of the aluminium accumulations with respect to toxicity is unknown, however aluminium associations at the gill surface are correlated with acute aluminium toxicity in salmon fry (Chapter Six).

Acute toxicity would have occurred at Kenmure if the high total aluminium levels had coincided with a fall in the farm water pH. Such an event might help explain the mortalities suffered during the month of February 1988. During this month snowfall during January and early February began to melt, (Table 3.2.1) and the water temperature fluctuated about a low monthly mean, ca 4.0 °C (Figure 3.2.1). Wide variations of calcium, unrelated to the Ca(OH)<sub>2(S)</sub> dosage, were recorded and suggested calcium dissolution from the sediments (Figure 3.2.3). Hanganese concentrations peaked and were not reduced on Ca(OH)<sub>2(S)</sub> addition, an indication perhaps of increased water acidity (Figure 3.2.6). The copper levels also peaked for only the second time during the study period (Figure 3.2.7). Aluminium levels rose to a winter peak during

February and the greatly enhanced levels recorded in the outflow (Figure 3.2.5), were evidence of aluminium dissolution from the pond sediments.

Measured pH levels at this time were not low (Figure 3.2.2), however, the extensive mortalities suffered during this period (Table 3.2.1) combined with the aforementioned water quality observations would suggest that the sampling procedure may have missed the fall in pH, the duration of which need not have extended beyond several hours.

It was likely that the events described were associated with the mechanics of snowmelt (Elgmork <u>et al</u> 1973, Johanessen <u>et al</u> 1980), whereby a large pulse of acid water had passed through the farm (the  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$  dosing system having undercompensated) disrupting chemical equilibria and irreversibly intoxicating the fish population through a rapid increase in the biologically available aluminium fraction.

This event suggests that the manual dosing of  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$ was not a sufficiently flexible amelioration strategy to cope with such events as snowmelt and perhaps stormflow.

Calcium hydroxide has been used elsewhere in acid/ aluminium amelioration. Dickson (1983) succeeded in reducing the total aluminium levels in a fish farm by
dosing 100m above the farm complex. As in the present study, Dickson (1983) also recorded seasonal variations in metal concentrations, (Al, Cu, Hn), with maxima occurring during the winter and in connection with snowmelt, and found that  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$  failed to ameliorate acidrelated mortalities particularly in the late winter.

# 3.3. <u>A Comparison of Three Basic Calcium Salts as</u> <u>Amelioration Agents for Aluminium Toxicity</u>

The criteria used to compare the three compounds, calcium hydroxide,  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$ , calcium carbonate,  $CaCO_{3(s)}$ , and calcium silicate,  $CaO/SiO_{2(s)}$ , were:

(i) Neutralisation Value - their ability to buffer acidity,

(ii) Aluminium Insolubilisation Capacity - their ability to insolubilise aluminium over a wide and environmentally significant pH range.

Each compound was of an industrial grade, (ICI plc), and had an estimated particle size of  $\leq 100$ um. Laboratory studies investigated the saturation solubility, <u>i.e</u>. the maximum weight of compound that will dissolve in a known volume of solvent, the neutralisation efficacy, <u>i.e</u>. the rate at which and extent to which the compound will reduce the acidity of a solvent, and the effect of the addition of a saturating weight of each compound on the solubility of aluminium in a solvent.

# 3.3.1 Solubility

# 3.3.1.1 <u>Materials and Methods</u>

The solubility of each test compound was determined between pH3.0 and 7.0 and at 5°C (pH3.0 only), and 25°C, in three water-based solvents.

(i) Double-distilled water, (D.D.),

(ii) 500µgl<sup>-1</sup> Al(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.9H<sub>2</sub>O(Aristar:BDH Ltd.) in doubledistilled water, (AR),

(iii) Organic-rich (Humic and Fulvic acids), streamwater, (OR) $_e$ 

One gram of test compound was added to a litre volume of each treatment, contained in an acid-washed polyethylene bottle. The solution was then adjusted to the required pH using  $NaOH_{(aq)}$  or  $HNO_{3(aq)}$  (Aristar:BDH Ltd.). Solutions were stirred continuously for 24 hours prior to vacuum filtration through 0.45 $\mu$ m membrane filters, (Ultipor N66, Pall Inc.). The residue was dried to a constant weight and subtracted from the original weight of compound added, (ca 1g), to give the quantity of agent lost to solution.

Table 3.3.1.The solubility in mgl<sup>-1</sup> of each amelioration compound in three solvent types and over the pH range of 3.0 to 7.0 at 25°C.  $\ddot{x}$  - mean, SD - standard deviation. n = 3.

| Bolubility mgl <sup>-1</sup> Solvent Type         R         SD         R         SD           Calcium Hydroxide         Double Distilled         00.1         9.4         670.0         14.1           Calcium Hydroxide         Double Distilled         001.1         9.4         670.0         14.1           Calcium Hydroxide         Double Distilled         001.1         9.4         696.7         4.7           Calcium Hydroxide         Double Distilled         00.1         14.1         691.3         4.7           Calcium Carbonate         Double Distilled         60.1         1.7         14.7         2.1           CaCO <sub>3(a)</sub> Atuminium Rich         30.7         0.9         14.0         0.0           CaCO <sub>3(a)</sub> Organic Rich         61.0         1.4         21.7         1.9           Calcium Siliceta         Double Distilled         06.7         0.9         30.7         0.9         31.4 |                            |       | 4.0  | -     | •    | •     | •    |       | 1.0 |
|--|----------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-----|
| Calcium Hydroxide         Double Distilled         00.1.3         9.4         670.0         14.1           Ca(0H) <sub>2(s)</sub> Aluminium Rich         791.3         9.4         696.7         4.7           Ca(0H) <sub>2(s)</sub> Organic Rich         790.0         14.1         691.3         4.7           Calcium Carbonate         Double Distilled         60.3         1.7         14.7         2.1           Calcium Carbonate         Double Distilled         60.3         1.7         14.7         2.1           CaC0 <sub>3(s)</sub> Atuminium Rich         50.7         0.3         14.0         0.0           Calcium Silicate         Double Distilled         86.7         61.0         1.4         23.7         1.9   | Souvent Type x 80          |       | gg   |       | SD   |       | SD   | • *   | 8   |
| CalOHI         Z(a)         Aluminium Rich         791.3         9.4         696.7         4.7           Organic Rich         740.0         14.1         681.3         4.7           Calcium Carbonate         Double Distilled         60.3         1.7         14.7         2.1           Calcium Carbonate         Double Distilled         60.3         1.7         14.7         2.1           Calcium Carbonate         Double Distilled         60.3         1.7         14.0         0.0           Calcium Silicate         Double Distilled         80.7         0.5         14.0         0.0           Calcium Silicate         Double Distilled         81.0         1.4         23.7         1.0  | Double Distilled 803.3 9.4 | 670.0 | 14.1 | E.EI3 | 4.7  | 526.7 | 16.9 | 416.7 | ••• |
| Organic Rich         740.0         14.1         681.3         4.7           Calcium Carbonate         Double Distilled         60.3         1.7         14.7         2.1           Calcium Carbonate         Double Distilled         60.3         1.7         14.7         2.1           Calcold(a)         Aluminium Rich         50.7         0.5         14.0         0.0           Calcium Silicate         Double Distilled         80.7         0.9         1.4         21.7         1.9           Calcium Silicate         Double Distilled         80.7         0.9         30.3         1.9         1.9  | Aluminium Rich 793.3 9.4   | 1.969 | 4.7  | 1.1.1 | 10.9 | 9.962 | 0.0  | 1.1.1 | 4.7 |
| Calcium Carbonate Double Diatilled 60.3 1.7 14.7 2.1<br>CaCO <sub>3(a)</sub> Aluminum Rich 50.7 0.5 14.0 0.0<br>Organic Rich 61.0 1.4 21.7 1.9<br>Calcium Silicate Double Diatilled 06.7 0.9 39.3 3.3  | Organic Rich 740.0 14.     |       | 4.7  | 600.0 | 24.5 | E.LI2 | •    | 416.7 | 1.1 |
| CecCo <sub>j(a)</sub> Aluminium Rich 90.7 0.5 14.0 0.0<br>Organic Rich 61.0 1.4 21.7 1.9<br>Calcium Silicate Double Distilled 00.7 0.9 39.1 3.1  | Double Distilled 60.3 1.1  | 14.7  | 2.1  | 1.1   | 0.5  | 0.0   | 1.1  | 0.6   |     |
| Organic Rich         61.0         1.4         21.7         1.9           Calcium Silicate         Double Distilled         06.7         0.9         39.1         3.3   | Aluminium Rich 50.7 0.1    | 14.0  | 0.0  | 14.0  | 3.6  | 1.4   | 1.2  |       | 0.5 |
| Calcium Silicate Double Distilled 08.7 0.9 39.3 3.3<br>Conveto   | Organic Rich 61.0 1.4      | 1.02  | •.1  | 19.3  | 0.5  | 1.3   | 6.9  |       | 1.1 |
|  | Double Distilled 00.7 0.1  | 1.0   | 1.1  | 9.26  | 2.0  | 29.0  |      | 26.0  | 1.1 |
|  | Aluminium Rich 49.7 0.1    | 39.0  | 2.5  | 29.7  | 1.9  | 28.7  | 0.5  | 26.7  | •.• |
| Organic Rich 72.3 2.5 34.0 1.4   | Organic Rich 72.3 2.9      | 34.0  | 1.1  | 9.66  | 1.1  | 20.7  | 1.2  | 28.7  | 0.5 |

| Compound                     | Solvent Temp. °C |        | 5    | 2     | 5    |
|------------------------------|------------------|--------|------|-------|------|
| Solubility mgl <sup>-1</sup> | Solvent Type     | x      | \$D  | x     | SD   |
| Calcium Hydroxide            | Double Distilled | 1610.0 | 14.1 | 803.3 | 9.4  |
| Ca(OH)2(s)                   | Aluminium Rich   | 1696.7 | 4.7  | 793.3 | 9.4  |
|                              | Organic Rich     | 1580.0 | 0.0  | 740.0 | 14.1 |
| Calcium Carbonate            | Double Distilled | 129.3  | 0.5  | 60.3  | 1.7  |
| CaCO <sub>3(s)</sub>         | Aluminium Rich   | 162.7  | 2.4  | 50.7  | 0.5  |
|                              | Organic Rich     | 180.0  | 2.2  | 61.0  | 1.4  |
| Calcium Silicate             | Double Distilled | 190.0  | 1.4  | 88.7  | 0.9  |
| CaO/SiO <sub>2(s)</sub>      | Aluminium Rich   | 229.0  | 1.6  | 49.7  | 0.9  |
|                              | Organic Rich     | 209.7  | 0.9  | 72.3  | 2.5  |

Table 3.3.2. The influence of a lower solvent temperature on the solubility of each compound, in each solvent type at pH3.0.  $\bar{x}$ -mean, SD - standard deviation. n =3.

Table 3.3.3.Solubility ratios for  $CaCO_3:CaO/SiO_2:Ca(OH)_2$ over the pH range of 3.0-7.0.

| pH<br>Temp.*C | 3.0    | 4.0    | 5.0    | 6.0    | 7.0    |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 25*           | 1:1:14 | 1:3:41 | 1:3:45 | 1:4:64 | 1:5:72 |
| 5*            | 1:1:11 | -      | _      | -      | -      |

The solubilities were expressed as weight <u>i.e</u>.  $mgl^{-1}$ rather than molar indices. Molar solubility is a better comparative index, (specifically when chemical reactions are to be balanced), however, assuming the molar weight of each compound was as per the molecular formula, <u>i.e</u>.  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$  ca 74g,  $CaCO_{3(s)}$  ca 100g,  $CaO/8iO_{2(s)}$  ca 116g, the weight solubility could be adequately used on a comparative basis. On an applied basis, <u>i.e</u>. dosing programs for use in the field, weight is an appropriate measure of solubility.

# 3.3.1.2 Results and Discussion

#### (1) Effect of Solvent Type

 $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$  was universally more soluble, (ca 10-fold), than either  $CaCO_{3(S)}$  or  $CaO/SiO_{2(S)}$ , (Tables 3.3.1, 3.3.2, and 3.3.3, Pigures 3.3.1a-c and 3.3.2). Solubility was potentiated for each agent (Ca(OH)<sub>2(S)</sub> ca 1.6-1.7g1<sup>-1</sup>,  $CaCO_{3(S)}$  ca 0.13-0.18g1<sup>-1</sup> and CaO/SiO<sub>2(S)</sub> ca 0.19-0.23g1<sup>-1</sup>), at a solution pH of 3.0 and solution temperature of 5°C.

Generally the solvent type had little influence on the saturation solubility of each compound. Solvent type had no significant, (t-test:  $P \perp 0.05$ ), influence on  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$  solubility, regardless of solution pH and temperature (Tables 3.3.1,3.3.2).CaCO<sub>3(s)</sub> solubility was significantly, (t-test:  $P \perp 0.05$ ), lower in AR than DD or OR at pH3.0 and



Figure 3.3.1a-c. The effect of increasing  $p_H$  on the saturation solubility of each amelioration compound. This effect was largely independent of the solvent type and the mean values and ranges from all three solvent types are shown, n = 9.



Figure 3.3.2. The relationship between the [H<sup>+</sup>] and the solubility of  $Ca(OH)_{21} \bigoplus CaO/SiO_{21} \bigoplus CaO/SiO_$ 

As the pH becomes less acid the solubility\_actually increases with respect to the available [H<sup>+</sup>].

pH7.0 (Tables 3.3.2,3.3.3).  $CaCO_{3(S)}$  solubility was significantly higher, (t-test:  $P \angle 0.05$ ), in OR than DD and AR at pH4.0 and pH5.0 (Table 3.3.1).  $CaO/SiO_{2(S)}$ solubility was significantly reduced, (t-test:  $P \angle 0.05$ ) in AR as compared to both DD and OR at pH3.0 (Table 3.3.2). The solvent effects on compound solubility are explained in terms of solute/solvent interactions.

Solubility in DD was a close reflection of true solubility as all solutes were derived from the dissolving amelioration agent. For AR and OR the additional solvent-associated solutes could give rise to anomalous results. For example, the solubility of  $Omo/Sio_{2(\pi)}$  in DD was determined by the dissolution of the component species of CaO/SiO2(s) in equilibrium with an insoluble phase. CaO/SiO<sub>2(g)</sub> solubility in AR was, however, a product of several equilibria since dissolution products were free to react with solventassociated solutes, i.e. aluminium. If the products of these interactions were less soluble than the amelioration compound, precipitation would occur. The methodology used in this study to measure solubility may not discriminate between the potential insoluble fractions and this would explain the reduced solubility of  $CaO/SiO_{2(g)}$  in AR at pH3.0 with respect to both DD and OR (Table 3.3.2). Under certain conditions, (see below), OR would be expected to increase solubility above predicted levels. Increased solubility in OR was found for calcium carbonate at pH4.0 and pH5.0. Humic substances will bind and adsorb dissolving species,

for example,  $\operatorname{Ca}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ , thereby removing them from their respective equilibria and promoting the further dissolution of the insoluble phase to restore equilibrium. The organic removal of cations will exhibit saturation kinetics, (Pott <u>et al</u> 1985), and will increase solubility when conditions are favourable for binding/adsorptive processes.

The nature of the solvent could be important with respect to amelioration compound solubility and should be considered in a dosing model.

#### (ii) Effect of Solution pH

The solubility of each compound was a function of the solution pH and was potentiated at acid pH, (Tables 3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.3.3).Ca(OH)<sub>2(S)</sub> solubility was linearly related to pH in the range 3.0-7.0 (Figure 3.3.1a), whereas  $CaCO_{3(S)}$  and  $CaO/SiO_{2(S)}$  solubility was exponentially related, the solubility fell more rapidly at lower pH, (Figures 3.3.1b and 3.3.1c.) The solubility of each compound, with respect to [H<sup>+</sup>] increased at more alkaline pH (Figure 3.3.2). The solubilising efficacy of [H<sup>+</sup>] or ability of [H<sup>+</sup>] to enhance dissolution on each compound was linearly related to pH, (r:  $P \angle 0.01$ ), and not significantly different (b:  $P \triangle 0.05$ ), between compounds. Solubility was exponentially related to [H<sup>+</sup>] particularly with respect to  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$ .

The relative solubilities of  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$ ,  $CaCO_{3(S)}$  and

 $CaO/SiO_{2(s)}$  were pH dependent, (Table 3.3,3). The higher solubility of  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$  was exacerbated at more alkaline pH relative to  $CaCO_{3(s)}$ , but remained unchanged relative to  $CaO/SiO_{2(s)}$ . The relative solubilities of  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$ and  $CaO/SiO_{2(s)}$  were not significantly different, (t-test:  $P \ge 0.05$ ) in the pH range of 3.0 to 7.0.

The saturation solubility of each compound was reduced at more alkaline pH, however, the reduction was not attributable to  $[H^+]$  per se. The rate of change of solubility with pH was similar for Ca(OH)<sub>2(S)</sub> and CaO/SiO<sub>2(S)</sub> and much reduced for CaCO<sub>3(S)</sub>, (Figure 3.3.2). Thus the efficacy of CaCO<sub>3(S)</sub> as an amelioration agent, (or more specifically, a supplier of alkalinity) was reduced more rapidly at increasing pH than either Ca(OH)<sub>2(S)</sub> or CaO/SiO<sub>2(S)</sub>. The influence of  $[H^+]$  on compound solubility was critical and should be an important criterion in the development of a dosing strategy.

#### (111) Effect of Solution Temperature

The solubility of each amelioration compound was increased at a solution temperature of 5°C (Tables 3.3.2, 3.3.3). The increase for  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$ , (ca 100%) was independent of solvent type however  $CaCO_{3(S)}$  solubility was significantly higher (t-test: P $\angle$ 0.05) in OR and AR (both ca 200%) than in DD (ca 100%), and solubility increases for CaO/SiO<sub>2(S)</sub> in DD, OR, and AR were ca 100%, ca 200% and ca 360% respectively.

The true solubility, (<u>i.e.</u> DD only), for each compound at pH3.0 and  $5^{\circ}$ C was increased by ca 100% and was probably a reflection of the exothermic nature of the dissolution process.

Additional increases in solubility of CaCO<sub>3(S)</sub> and CaO/SiO<sub>2(S)</sub> in OR and AR were probably due to temperature effects on each of the competing equilibria and hence solubility controls. The relative solubilities of the three compounds were unchanged at 5°C with respect to 25°C and this suggested that temperature effects on solubility (particularly in DD), were not compound specific. The solution temperature was an important factor in determining the solubility of each compound and should be considered when evaluating dosing agents and strategies. Ignorance of temperature effects could result in problems of under- or over-dosing.

#### 3.3.2 Neutralisation Efficacy

## 3.3.2.1 Materials and Nethods

The efficiency of neutralisation of each compound in each solvent type was studied at a solution temperature of 25°C and initial solution pH of 3.0. Efficiency was measured in terms of the dry weight of compound required to effect a known pH change over a known time interval and the rate of change of pH induced by a known weight of amelioration compound.

## (1) Weight-Induced pH Change

Litre volumes of each solvent, <u>i.e.</u> DD, OR, and AR, were adjusted to pH3.0 using  $\text{HNO}_{3(aq)}$  (Aristar:BDH Ltd.), and stirred gently using magnetic stirrers. The solution pH was raised to pH7.5 during a 1 hour period through the periodic additions of dry amelioration agent. The minimum addition of agent required to effect the pH change 3.0 to 7.5, in a maximum period of 1 hour, was recorded.

#### (ii) Rate of Change of pH

A known weight of amelioration agent, standardised to account for solubility differences between the compounds at pH3.0 and 25°C, and sufficient to give a practical reaction rate,  $(Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$  ca 28mg,  $CaCO_{3(S)}$  ca 363mg,  $CaO/SiO_{2(S)}$  ca 303mg), was added to a litre volume of slowly-stirring double-distilled water, previously adjusted to pH3.0 with HNO<sub>3(aq)</sub> (Aristar:BDH Ltd.). Standardisation was achieved by using compound weights in the proportions outlined in the solubility ratios of the three compounds at pH3.0 and 25°C (Table 3.3.3). The pH was monitored continuously and the time required for the known weight of amelioration agent to increase the pH in 0.5 unit increments was recorded.

## 3.3.2.2 Results and Discussion

## (1) Weight-Induced pH Change

The solvent type had a significant (t-test:  $P \ge 0.05$ ) influence on the weight of compound added to effect the required pH change (Table 3.3.4). Generally:

# OR \_ \_ AR \_ DD

where  $\underline{\nabla}$  implies: required a greater weight of compound per unit change in pH than. An exception was  $CaO/SiO_{2(a)}$ addition to DD and AR, where the weights added were not significantly, (t-test: PL 0.05), different from one another. The differences evident in all other treatments were a reflection of the relative buffering capacities of the solvents. Aluminium and aquatic organics are buffers in natural acidified waters, (Exley and Phillips 1988), and whilst mole per mole aluminium is the stronger buffer, the estimated greater concentration of humic substances in OR relative to available aluminium in AR would confer a higher buffering capacity on OR. This greater buffering potential was reflected in the high quantities of each agent used to bring about the neutralisation reaction in OR. The insignificant, (t-test: P\_0.05), effect of AR relative to DD, for Ca0/SiO<sub>2(s)</sub> addition was indicative of the abolition of the buffering capacity of AR, perhaps due to complex solute interactions.

| Compound<br>Solvent Type | Ca(   | OH)2(s) | CaC   | <sup>20</sup> 3(s) | Ca0/S | 10 <sub>2(s)</sub> |
|--------------------------|-------|---------|-------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|
|                          | x     | \$D     | Ŧ     | \$D                | ž     | SD                 |
| Double Distilled         | 9.7   | 0.5     | 8.7   | 0.5                | 5.7   | 0.9                |
| Aluminium Rich           | 31.3  | 1.7     | 106.7 | 9.4                | 6.0   | 0.8                |
| Organic Rich             | 129.3 | 1.9     | 583.3 | 4.7                | 323.3 | 9.4                |

Table 3.3.4 The dry weight (mg) of each amelioration compound required, per litre of solvent, to effect the pH change from 3.0 to 7.5, in three distinct solvent types. x - mean, SD - standard deviation, n = 3.

The weights of both  $CaCo_{3(s)}$  and  $CaO/SiO_{2(s)}$  required to effect each neutralisation within 1 hour were representative of heavily saturated solutions with respect to AR,  $(CaCO_{3(s)}$  only) and OR (Table 3.3.1). This finding was probably a reflection of their reduced rates of neutralisation with respect to  $Ca(OH)_2$  and/or differences in the short-term chemistry (ca 1 hour) of their solute interactions as compared to the longer term chemistry (24 hours) associated with the saturation solubilities. Filtration of solutions from this study at equilibration of the pH at 7.5 would have revealed practical information in this respect.

In summary, the nature of the solvent was important in defining the amount of amelioration agent required for neutralisation within a specific time period.

#### (ii) Rate of Change of pH

 $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$  effected the most rapid pH change, (3.0 to 7.0), ca 4 times the rate of  $CaCO_{3(S)}$  and ca 3 times the rate of  $CaO/SiO_{2(S)}$  (Table 3.3.5, Figures 3.3.3a-c, 3.3.4). The times required by each agent to effect the pH change of 3.0 to 7.0 ( $\bar{x} = 97.3$ , 326.3 and 249.7 seconds for  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$ ,  $CaCO_{3(S)}$  and  $CaO/SiO_{2(S)}$  respectively (Table 3.3.5)), were significantly, (t-test: PL0.05), different from one another.

For each compound, the rate at which neutralisation was effected,  $\frac{d(H^+)}{dt}$ , (Figure 3.3.4), was reduced at increasing pH. This reduction in rate of neutralisation at more alkaline pH was greater for CaCO<sub>3(S)</sub> than Ca(OH)<sub>2(S)</sub> and CaO/SiO<sub>2(S)</sub>.

 $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$  showed the fastest rate of neutralisation (steepest line gradient) and the lowest rate of reduction of this neutralisation efficacy at increasing pH levels.  $CaO/SiO_{2(S)}$  had a similarly low reduction of neutralisation rate, however, the rate of neutralisation was significantly, (t-test: P $\leq 0.05$ ), lower than  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$ .

 $CaCO_{3(s)}$  showed the lowest neutralisation efficacy in respect of both the rate of neutralisation and the reduction of this rate at increasing pH.

Table 3.3.5.The time to effect a change of the pH, in seconds, on addition of an excess of each amelioration compound to acidified double-distilled water at 25°C. x-mean, SD-standard deviation, n = 3.

| Compound | Ca(OH)2 | (=)  | CaCO <sub>3(</sub> | 8)   | CaO/SiC | ) <sub>2(s)</sub> |
|----------|---------|------|--------------------|------|---------|-------------------|
| PH       | ž       | SD   | x                  | SD   | ž       | SD                |
| 3.0      | T=0     | n.a. | T=0                | n.a. | T=0     | n.a.              |
| 4.0      | 34.3    | 0.9  | 26.7               | 4.1  | 131.3   | 12.0              |
| 4.5      | 58.0    | 1.6  | 41.7               | 4.5  | 184.7   | 6.6               |
| 5.0      | 72.3    | 0.5  | 56.7               | 6.0  | 199.7   | 5.6               |
| 5.5      | 81.7    | 4.5  | 78.7               | 10.0 | 215.0   | 3.6               |
| 6.0      | 85.3    | 1.2  | 99.7               | 5.9  | 226.0   | 4.5               |
| 6.5      | 92.3    | 0.5  | 152.3              | 0.9  | 234.7   | 4.5               |
| 7.0      | 97.3    | 1.7  | 326.3              | 6.2  | 249.7   | 1.7               |
| 7.5      | 102.3   | 1.9  |                    |      | 262.3   | 1.9               |
| 8.0      | 109.3   | 0.9  |                    |      | 270.7   | 7.3               |
| 8.5      | 114.7   | 1.9  |                    |      | 279.0   | 0.8               |
| 9.0      | 133.0   | 0.0  |                    |      | 302.7   | 1.7               |
| 9.5      | 186.7   | 1.7  |                    |      | 338.7   | 9.5               |
| 10.0     | 243.7   | 0.9  |                    |      | 375.3   | 6.9               |



Figures 3.3.3a-c. The time taken for a known weight of each amelioration compound to effect a given change in pH, in the pH range of 3.0 to 10.0. Nean values are plotted, bars indicate the range, n = 3.



Figure 3.3.4. The rate of change of pH, expressed as the buffering of  $H_{(aq)}^+$  per unit of time, induced by  $Ca(OH)_2$ , ----, (Y= -1.04x -0.70, r = -0.988),  $CaCO_{3(s)}$ , ----, (Y= 1.32x +0.32, r = -0.991), and  $CaCO/SiO_{2(s)}$ , -----, (Y= -0.95x -1.53, r = -0.991), as a function of increasing pH, n = 7.

The rate at which acid water can be neutralised and the capacity of an amelioration agent to sustain the neutralisation are both integral components of successful acid water amelioration and will be important considerations in developing mitigative procedures.

#### 3.3.3 Aluminium Insolubilisation

### 3.3.3.1 Naterials and Nethods

The effect of a saturated solution of each amelioration agent,  $(Ca(OH)_{2(S)} ca 750mgl^{-1}, CaCO_{3(S)} ca 35mgl^{-1},$   $CaO/SiO_{2(S)}$ , ca  $45mgl^{-1}$ ), on aluminium solubility in the pH range 3.0 to 9.0 was tested in the following manner. A l litre volume of saturated solution was prepared in a  $500ugl^{-1} Al(NO_3)_3.9H_2O$  (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) solvent at 25°C. The solution pH was adjusted to the required level, (3.0 to 9.0 in increments of 0.5pH units), using NaOH<sub>(aq)</sub> and HNO<sub>3(aq)</sub> (Aristar:BDH Ltd.), and a  $50cm^3$ sample was removed on stabilisation of the pH for a minimum of 5 minutes for total and soluble aluminium analysis. Details of fractionation and aluminium analysis are given in Chapter Two.

## 3.3.3.2 Results and Discussion

The presence of both  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$  and  $CaCO_{3(s)}$  resulted in characteristic V-shaped aluminium solubility curves with

soluble fraction minima in the pH range of 6.0-6.5 and solubility rising steeply on both acid and alkaline sides of these minima, (Table 3.3.6,Figure 3.3.5).  $CaO/SiO_{2(s)}$  reduced aluminium solubility at all pH levels a4.0, with respect to both  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$  and  $CaCO_{3(s)}$ , and this effect was exacerbated in the pH range 6.5 to 9.0.

The curves for  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$  and  $CaCO_{3(s)}$  were typical of aluminium solubility as predicted by its hydroxides, gibbsite and amorphous  $Al(OH)_3$ . The soluble aluminium fraction on the acid side of minimum solubility, ca pH $\angle 6.0$ , will be characterised by cationic, monomeric, aluminium and aluminium-hydroxy species whereas at pH $\Delta$ 6.0, the anionic monomer, aluminate,  $Al(OH)_{4(aq)}^{-}$ , will predominate. The reduced aluminium solubility on  $CaO/SiO_{2(s)}$  addition was indicative of a change in aluminium solubility control from the hydroxide phase to an aluminosilicate phase. This change in solubility phase could be the result of silicic acid (from  $CaO/SiO_{2(s)}$ dissolution) interactions with hydroxy-aluminium species (Farmer 1986, Lou and Huang 1988), and in particular the aluminate anion (Chappell and Birchall 1988) at alkaline pH.

Neither  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$  or  $CaCO_{3(S)}$  reduced aluminium solubility with respect to pH-predicted levels.  $CaO/SiO_{2(S)}$ effected a rapid insolubilisation of aluminium at pH $\Delta$ 4.0 and presents the first evidence of an amelioration agent specifically reducing the biological availability (<u>i.e</u>, the soluble fraction) of aluminium in an acidified water.

Table 3.3.6.Aluminium solubility with respect to the presence of each amelioration compound over the environmentally significant pH range of 3.0 to 9.0.  $\mathbf{Z}$ -mean, n = 9. Figures show % Al total. Arcsine transformation.

| Compound | Ca(OH) | 2(=)  | caco3 | (=)   | CaO/Si | <sup>0</sup> 2(s) |
|----------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------------------|
| рн       | ž      | Range | ž     | Range | ž      | Range             |
| 3.0      | 91.7   | 91-92 | 91.7  | 89-93 | 91.7   | 89-95             |
| 3.5      | 83.7   | 83-85 | 80.0  | 80-81 | 91-3   | 88-93             |
| 4.0      | 74.0   | 71-77 | 63.0  | 62-65 | 59.3   | 59-60             |
| 4.5      | 61.3   | 60-62 | 52.0  | 51-53 | 41.0   | 40-42             |
| 5.0      | 51.7   | 51-52 | 41.0  | 39-43 | 25.7   | 25-26             |
| 5.5      | 26.0   | 25-27 | 34.3  | 33-35 | 13.3   | 11-15             |
| 6.0      | 6.3    | 5-9   | 8.7   | 6-10  | 9.7    | 7-10              |
| 6.5      | 21.7   | 21-23 | 25.7  | 25-26 | 3.7    | 3-4               |
| 7.0      | 30.3   | 29-31 | 34.7  | 33-36 | 3.0    | 1-5               |
| 7.5      | 36.7   | 35-38 | 57.0  | 53-60 | 1.7    | 2-3               |
| 8.0      | 44.3   | 43-45 | 79.3  | 79-80 | 1.7    | 1-3               |
| 8.5      | 60.7   | 61-62 |       |       | 1.3    | 2-3               |
| 9.0      | 89.7   | 88-91 |       |       | 3.0    | 1-5               |



Figure 3.3.5. The aluminium solubility curves in the presence of an excess of,  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$ , ----,  $CaCO_{3(S)}$ , ----, and  $CaO/SiO_{2(S)}$ , -----, at pH of 3.0 to 9.0. Hean values are plotted, bars indicate the range, n = 9.

## 3.4 General Discussion

All three compounds were able to provide effective acid buffering and the high neutralisation efficiencies of both  $Ca(OH)_{2(S)}$  and  $CaO/SiO_{2(S)}$  increased their potential for pH overcompensation whereby aluminium solubilisation would be enhanced at alkaline pH. In contrast the ability of  $CaCO_{3(S)}$  to provide alkalinity was rapidly lost in less acid environments reducing the possibility of severe pH overcompensation. This advantage of  $CaCO_{3(S)}$  has to be weighed against its much reduced rate of acid buffering which may undercompensate the pH in the short-term.

Each of the compounds will provide additional calcium, considered important in aluminium toxicity amelioration, (Brown 1983), and provide solid phase calcium buffers which may replenish the alkalinity of the water in the event of reacidification.

The use of calcium salts in acid water amelioration may actually limit the potential carbonate alkalinity because of the low solubility of calcium carbonate, (Davison and House 1988). Neutralisation using the corresponding sodium salts,  $(NaOH_{(S)}, NaCO_{3(S)})$ , was shown to provide twice the available alkalinity of the calcium salts. The efficacy of solid phase calcium buffers in providing additional calcium and alkalinity may also be severely restricted by the inactivation of

the solid phase surface. This is particularly true in organic rich waters where adsorption of organics onto the solid surface will rapidly restrict the available buffering capacity to the alkalinity in solution, (Swerdrup 1983, 1984).

In the present study, only  $CaO/SiO_{2(s)}$  induced the rapid insolubilisation of aluminium, with respect to hydroxide solubility control, and the resultant insolubles were stable over a wide pH range.  $Ca(OH)_{2(s)}$  and  $CaCO_{3(s)}$ had no effect on aluminium solubility control with respect to hydroxide.

Amelioration strategies for acidified waters are very numerous, (Exley and Phillips 1988), however, none have targeted aluminium in their mitigative approach. This study has shown that the rapid insolubilisation of aluminium over a wide pH range can be achieved through the use of a silicic acid-releasing compound. Previous studies using seawater and shellsand filters as neutralisation agents, (Rosseland and Skogheim 1986, Leivestad et al 1987), have inadvertantly recorded ameliorative effects on aluminium toxicity to salmonids beyond those expected from simple base additions. The amelioration was not explained in terms of reductions in the labile aluminium fractions and was attributed to "increased ionic strength" effects. Both seawater and shellsand would be expected to release silicic acid,  $Si(OH)_A$ , and it would not therefore be

unreasonable to suggest that the silicic acid was the precursor of the observed amelioration of aluminium toxicity.

# 3.5 Summary

The development of an amelioration strategy for aluminium toxicity in acidified waters requires careful consideration of both the solute, (amelioration agent), and the solvent, (the acid water). Solute/solvent interactions will define the success of an amelioration strategy. The pH, the water temperature and the chemical nature of the acid water will influence these interactions and should be considered.

Aluminium insolubilisation over a wide pH range was achieved using a silicic acid-releasing amelioration compound,  $(CaO/SiO_{2(S)})$ . If the aluminosilicates formed during the insolubilisation of aluminium are non-toxic, a silica based amelioration compound could provide some of the answers to problems associated with base additions alone, problems highlighted in the study at Kenmure rainbow trout farm. CHAPTER FOUR: THE DETERMINATION OF SILICON

#### 4.1 Review of Analytical Methodology

Silicon is found as monomeric, dimeric and polymeric species and insoluble and colloidal sub-micron, particulates in natural waters, (Iler 1979). As silica,  $SiO_{2(S)}$ , it is often the principal solute of natural waters and occurs predominantly as silicic acid,  $(Si(OH)_4)$ , (Farmer 1986). As such, the analytical determination of silicon usually infers the soluble fraction only and the potential influence of particulate silicon, (e.g. clay particles), is removed by filtration prior to analysis.

## 4.1.1 Spectrophotometric Determination of Silicon

Two common techniques for measuring silicon are based on the absorbance of a molybdenum blue complex. Ammonium molybdate will react with soluble silicon species, (monomeric and dimeric silicic acids and silicates), under controlled acid conditions to give yellow molybdosilicic acid. Reduction by either, ascorbic acid or ANSA will give the molybdenum blue complex.

(i) Ascorbic Acid Reduction Method

The addition of ascorbic acid to molybdosilicic acid yields

a silicomolybdenum blue complex the absorbance of which can be determined spectrophotometrically. Range, (without dilution), 0-10mgl<sup>-1</sup>. Detection limit, 30ugl<sup>-1</sup>.

(ii) ANSA Reagent Reduction Method

The addition of 1-amino-2-naphthol-4-sulphonic acid, (ANSA), yields a heteropoly molybdenum blue complex. Range, (without dilution), 0-500µgl<sup>-1</sup>. Detection limit, 2-4µgl<sup>-1</sup>.

Total silicon can be measured by both methods following extensive pre-treatment of the sample to convert all forms of silicon into molybdate reactive silicon, (HMSO 1980).

Both methods are time intensive although an automated system can be used for analysis of large numbers of samples.

4.1.2 Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy, (AAS)

Very little literature on this methodology is available, though graphite furnace AAS is extensively used to measure low levels of silicon, (Perkin-Elmer 1984). Discussion concerning the type of purge gas, (Ar or  $N_2$ ), and graphite tube, (coated or uncoated), is inconclusive, (Manning and Fernandez 1970, Thompson <u>et al</u> 1975, and Cedergren 1980). Potential interferences include chloride, sulphate, dichromate, selenium and lanthanum, (Lo and Christian 1977, Frech and Cedergren 1980). Recommanded charring and atomisation temperatures are∠1350°C and 2600-2650°C respectively, (Perkin-Elmer 1986).

Graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy was the chosen analytical method for total silicon determination in this research.

# 4.2. The Determination of Silicon by Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy

## 4.2.1 Introduction

The principles of g.f.a.a.s. were described earlier (Chapter Two), and are equally relevant to the analysis of silicon. The method provided a means of rapidly analysing a large number of samples with only limited sample pretreatment. Instrumental and operational criteria were taken from the literature and combined with knowledge ascertained during the development of the aluminium program to provide a working program for the analysis of total silicon.

# 4.2.2 The Silicon Program

The program used for the analysis of total silicon is shown in Table 4.3. It was necessary to optimise both the pre-treatment and atomisation temperatures and optimum temperatures were 1150°C and 2650°C respectively (Table 4.1, Figure 4.1 and Table 4.2, Figure 4.2). The program provided adequate observed precision,  $\stackrel{*}{=} 5.0 \text{ugl}^{-1}$  in a working, linear range of 0-500 $\text{ugl}^{-1}$ . Samples were diluted to measure concentrations greater than 500 $\text{ugl}^{-1}$ .

The final program was used to determine total silicon in both water samples, (matrix of 1% (V/V)HNO<sub>3</sub> (Aristar:BDH Ltd.)) and acid digests, (matrix of 10% (V/V)HNO<sub>3</sub> (Aristar:BDH Ltd.)).

#### 4.2.3 Comparison with Colourimetric Method

The validity of the Si program and g.f.a.a.s. methodology was tested through comparison with the molybdate reactive methodology (Table 4.4). Both standard solutions (Spectrosol: BDH Ltd.) and field samples were compared. In both cases standards/samples were passed through 0.45µm membrane filters, (Ultipor N66: Fall) for particulate removal, before analysis by the respective methodology.

The two methods were comparable and did not give significantly different, (t-test:  $P \ge 0.05$ ) mean values for either standards or field samples (Table 4.4).

| Char Temp. °C | Silicon | Signal mm |
|---------------|---------|-----------|
|               | Nean    | 8D        |
| 1000          | 162     | 2.5       |
| 1050          | 167     | 1.2       |
| 1100          | 172     | 0.5       |
| 1150          | 178     | 0.0       |
| 1200          | 172     | 0.9       |
| 1250          | 141     | 3.1       |

Table 4.1 Optimisation of the Char (pre-treatment) Temperature. AT =  $2650^{\circ}$ C, SV = 3Qul, PG = 300 mlArmin:<sup>1</sup>. n = 9. SD - standard deviation.

Table Footnote: Silicon standard was 100ug1<sup>-1</sup> (Spectrosol:BDH Ltd.)

Table 4.2 Optimisation of the Atomisation Temperature, °C. PT = 1150°C, SV = 30ul, PG = 300mlArminrl. n = 9. SD = standard deviation.

| Atomisation<br>Temperature °C | Silicon | Signal mm |
|-------------------------------|---------|-----------|
|                               | Nean    | SD        |
| 2500                          | 156     | 2.2       |
| 2550                          | 168     | 1.9       |
| 2600                          | 172     | 0.9       |
| 2650                          | 172     | 0.0       |
| 2700                          | 160     | 2.5       |
| 2750                          | 154     | 2.2       |
| 2800                          | 145     | 1.2       |

Table Footnote: Silicon standard was 100 Ag1<sup>-1</sup> (Spectrosol:BDH:Ltd.)



Figure 4.1. The optimisation of the char temperature, CT, at the optimum atomisation temperature,  $2650^{\circ}$ C. Nean values are plotted, bars indicate the range, n = 9. The optimum temperature, (1150°C), gave both a high absorbance signal and good replication. The rapid fall after 1200°C was indicative of substantial sample loss during charring.



Figure 4.2. The optimisation of the atomisation temperature, (AT), at the optimum char temperature, 1150°C. Mean values are plotted, bars indicate the range, n = 9. The optimum temperature was 2650°C, which gave both the mean maximum absorbance signal and good replication.

Table 4.3 Analytical Program for the GFAAS Determination of Silicon.

Element: Silicon Si Matrix 1% (V/V)HNO3(Aristar: BDH Ltd.)

Instrumental Parameters Model: 2280 AAS (P-E) Wavelength, nm: ca 252 Bandwidth nm: 0.2A Light Source: Cathode Current, mA: 40 Background Correction: Yes Readout Parameters Range: 5-50Qugl<sup>-1</sup> Peak Height:Time (8).3 Recorder:5mV-range Speed - 20mm/min<sup>-1</sup>

# HGA Parameters Model HGA 400 (P-E)

## Keyboard Entries

| Tube: Uncoated           |           | <u> </u> |     |     | <u> </u> |      |      | r    |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-----|-----|----------|------|------|------|
| Sample Vol.(41):30       | Step      | 1        | 2   | 3   | 4        | 5    | 6    | 7    |
|                          | Temp.°C   | 80       | 130 | 500 | 1150     | 1150 | 2650 | 2700 |
| Porte Provi Stomine min. | Ramp(S)   | 5        | 10  | 10  | 10       | 1    | 0    | 1    |
| Replicates: 3 (AlH).     | Hold(S)   | 5        | 30  | 10  | 15       | 5    | 5    | 3    |
| Standard (sla            | Read      |          |     |     |          |      | ~    |      |
| Standard Soln;           | Record    |          |     |     |          |      | ~    |      |
| SI (Speccrosol)          | Baseline  |          |     |     |          | ~    |      |      |
|                          | Stop Flow |          |     |     |          |      | く    |      |

| Sample                         | Colou | rimetric<br>ugl-1 | GPAAS AIG1 <sup>-1</sup> |     |  |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----|--|
| Units Agl <sup>-1</sup>        | Nean  | SD                | Mean                     | SD  |  |
| 200ug1 <sup>-1</sup> standard  | 194   | 2.2               | 199                      | 0.5 |  |
| 500ugl <sup>-1</sup> standard  | 490   | 4.5               | 497                      | 0.5 |  |
| 1000ugl <sup>-1</sup> standard | 990   | 0.9               | · 1001                   | 2.9 |  |
| Field 1                        | 1467  | 12.8              | 1497                     | 5.4 |  |
| Field 2                        | 1385  | 11.1              | 1407                     | 5.0 |  |
| Field 3                        | 1359  | 11.0              | 1360                     | 7.0 |  |
|                                |       |                   |                          |     |  |

Table 4.4 A Comparison of the Colourimetric and GFAAS Methods of Measuring Silicon in both Standard Solutions and Field Samples, (n=3).

#### 4.3 Summary

An analytical procedure was developed for measuring total silicon in both synthetic and natural waters. The use of g.f.a.a.s. allowed a large number of samples to be determined within a reasonable time period, (ca 100 samples per day). The program was also successfully used to determine total silicon in acid digests, (10% (V/V)HNO<sub>3</sub> (Aristar:BDH Ltd.)). CHAPTER FIVE: THE EFFECTS OF pH, TOTAL SILICON CONCENTRATION AND EQUILIBRATION PERIOD ON SIMPLE ALUMINIUM SPECIATION

## 5.1 Introduction

In pure water, aluminium exists as complexes of aluminium, water and hydroxide groups. The relative proportions of aluminium products and species are governed by the absolute aluminium concentration, the age of the solution and the pH of the solution. These controlling factors are not discrete and interact synergistically to describe simple aluminium speciation and solubility at any one time.

In saturated solutions, in the absence of all other complexing ligands, aluminium solubility is controlled by aluminium hydroxides,  $(Al(OH)_3)_{(S)}$ . The stable crystalline phase of  $Al(OH)_{3(S)}$  is themineral gibbsite. The solubility of  $Al(OH)_{3(S)}$  with respect to solid gibbsite is described by the equation:

 $A1(OH)_3(gibbsite) + 3H^+ = A1^{3+} + 3H_20$  (1)

Esol. =  $[A1^{3+}]/(H^+)^3 = 10^{9.2}$  (Hartin 1986)

Equilibrium with respect to gibbsite is only slowly achieved and rarely occurs within biological systems. To account for this slow rate of equilibration with respect to the crystalline phase of  $Al(OH)_{3(s)}$  the control of aluminium solubility can be described in terms of non-crystalline or amorphous  $Al(OH)_{3(s)}$ .

Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>(amorphous) + 3H<sup>+</sup> 
$$\implies$$
 Al<sup>3+</sup> + 3H<sub>2</sub>0 (2)  
Ksol. = [Al<sup>3+</sup>] / (H<sup>+</sup>)<sup>3</sup> = 10<sup>10.7</sup> (Hartin 1986)

Amorphous  $Al(OH)_3$  can be up to 100-fold more soluble than gibbsite, (Figure 5.1).

The soluble phase of aluminium in pure water, either in equilibrium with the solid hydroxide phase or in unsaturated solution is pH and age dependent. Aluminium is present as monomeric, dimeric and polymeric species. In dilute solutions the monomeric species predominate and their form is pH dependent, (Figures 5.2a, 5.2b). In acid solution at pH $\measuredangle$ 5.0, the hexa-aqua trivalent aluminium species, Al(H<sub>2</sub>0) $_{6}^{3+}$  is the most numerous and as the pH increases above pH 5.0, the hydrolysis products of Al<sup>3+</sup> begin to predominate.

The relative proportions of each species at any one pH are governed by the proton affinity of each species with respect to water. The successive deprotonations of aluminium bound water molecules are described by the following equations and equilibrium constants (Martin 1986):


٠

Figure 5.1. Negative logarithm of the total molar concentration of aluminium allowed by amorphous and crystalline  $\lambda I(OH)_{3(\pm)}$  against pH.  $\lambda I^{3+}(aq)$  is the dominant ion below pH5.0 and  $\lambda I(OH)_{4(aq)}$  predominates above pH6.2, the minimum solubility point for both curves (Martin 1986).







Figure 5.2b. Distribution of soluble monomeric aluminium ion species in aqueous solutions, (I=OH), (Johnson <u>et al</u> 1981).

$$A1^{3+} + H_2^0 \implies A10H^{2+} + H^+$$

$$K = (H^+) \cdot \left[ A10H^{2+} \right] / \left[ A1^{3+} \right] = 10^{-5.5}$$
(3)

and

$$A10H^{2+} + H_2^0 \implies A1(0H)_2^+ + H^+$$

$$K = (H^+) \cdot \left[ A1(0H)_2^+ \right] / \left[ A10H^{2+} \right] = 10^{-5.6}$$
(4)

and

$$A1(OH)_{2}^{+} + 2H_{2}O \implies A1(OH)_{4}^{-} + 2H^{+}$$
(5)
$$K = (H^{+})^{2} \cdot \left[A1(OH)_{4}^{-}\right] / \left[A1(OH)_{2}^{+}\right] = 10^{-12.1}$$

Between the monovalent cationic and monovalent anionic species an uncharged  $A1(OH)^{\circ}_{3(aq)}$  species is postulated.

$$A1^{3+} + 3H_2^{0} \implies A1(OH)_{3(aq)}^{*} + 3H^{+}$$
  
(6)
  
 $K_H = (H^+)^3 \cdot \left[A1(OH)_{3(aq)}^{*}\right] / \left[A1^{3+}\right] = 10^{-15.00}$ 
  
(Smith and Hem 1972)

However, evidence suggests that only negligible concentrations of this uncharged soluble species will be present even at minimum solubility. High concentrations of hydroxy aluminium species (1M) and/or significant ageing of aluminium stimulates polymerisation, (Hem 1968a). The simplest polymer is the dimeric,  $Al_2(OH)_2(H_2O)_8^{4+}$ , cation (Johansson 1963), the polymerisation resulting via the formation of a double OH bridge between adjacent aluminium ions, (Thomas and Tai 1932, Hsu and Bates 1964). The tendency of aluminium species to polymerise is enhanced as the ratio of aluminium bound hydroxide to aluminium increases. For example, for the monomeric species;  $Al(OH)^{-}_{4(aq)} \downarrow Al(OH)^{+}_{3(aq)} \downarrow Al(OH)^{+}_{2(aq)} \downarrow AlOH^{2+}_{(aq)}$  $\downarrow Al^{3+}_{(aq)}$ , the free solvated  $Al^{3+}_{(aq)}$  cation shows the least tendency for polymerisation.

The coexistence of aluminium and silicon in solution has been poorly reported. Research to date has focussed largely on their interactions in soil environments, (Farmer 1986, Bache 1986), and/or their interactions with clays (Lou and Huang 1988). Interactions in synthetic solutions of similar nature to physiological environments have come under recent investigation (Birchall and Chappell 1988a, Chappell and Birchall 1988), and may be relevant to the etiology of Alzheimer-related conditions, (Birchall and Chappell 1988b).

Soil waters are often rich in soluble silica  $(Si(OH)_4)$ , the solubility of which may be in equilibrium with aluminosilicate minerals such as kaolinite, halloysite or montmorillonite (Paces 1978, Lindsay 1979).

The mineral stability diagram (Figure 5.3) shows that, for the minerals in question, aluminium solubility decreases as the silicon concentration increases (Lindsay 1979). By





way of contrast, the discovery of the mineral imogolite,  $(OH)_3Al_2O_3SiOH$  (Farmer et al 1983), and in particular proto-imogolite, has suggested that aluminium will be labilised in soil and surface waters in the form of soluble-silica complexes. These species may be similar to the hydroxy-aluminosilicate ions recently described by Lou and Huang (1988).

The existence of aluminosilicate phases in dilute environments and low absolute concentrations of aluminium and silicon has not been previously determined. The existence of such phases could have significant implications for aluminium toxicity in dilute acid waters. The following experiments investigated more closely Al/Si interactions in dilute milieu and attempted to define the conditions for Al/Si complexation.

### 5.2 <u>Materials and Methods</u>

The solvent used throughout these experiments was doubledistilled water and all solution temperatures were equilibrated to  $25^{\circ}C \stackrel{+}{=} 1^{\circ}C$ . pH was measured using a laboratory pH meter (Philips PW 9409) incorporating a glass electrode (Russell CWL) and was controlled through the manual additions of aliquots of  $HNO_{3(aq)}$  and  $NaOH_{(aq)}$ (Aristar:BDH Ltd.). Solutions were stirred using magnetic stirrers and pH equilibrium was judged to have occurred when the recorded pH remained stable.<sup>±</sup> 0.02 unit.

for 5 minutes. Both aluminium and silicon were measured by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry using programs described in Chapters Two and Four respectively.

Soluble or filtered aluminium was defined as all aluminium not retained when total aluminium solutions were passed under wacuum through membrane filters (Ultipor N66:Pall). The filters used were hydrophillic polymer membranes made from Nylon 66 cast onto an inert polyester substrate, and retained particles ca 0.04um in diameter. The kinetics of membrane filtration, including both adsorptive and stearic effects (see Chapter Two), and the absolute rating of this particular membrane filter, will dictate the filtration performance and particles much smaller than 0.04um were likely to be retained.

Exchangeable aluminium was defined as the difference between the total aluminium concentration and the aluminium fraction not retained on a cation-exchange column, (Amberlite IR120 Na<sup>+</sup> BDH Ltd.). Two grammes of dry resin produced an active column 5mm in diameter, 50mm long and with a residence time of 30 seconds. The resin used was a gel type, strongly acidic resin with strongly aluminium binding sulphonate groups. Upon activation, with a solution of 5% (W/V) sodium chlorite (Technical:BDH Ltd.) the hydrated resin swelled and assumed a characteristic porosity (ca 0.05µm).

Sample aliquots were  $50 \text{cm}^3$ , of which the first and last  $20 \text{cm}^3$  were discarded, for membrane filtration and  $35 \text{cm}^3$ ,

of which the first and last  $10 \text{ cm}^3$  were discarded, for cation exchange. Stock solutions,  $(10 \text{mgl}^{-1})$ , were freshly prepared from  $\text{Al}(\text{HO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_20$  (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) and  $\text{Na}_4\text{SiO}_4$  (reagent grade: Alfa) and were used to prepare test solutions, (1 litre), in acid washed polyethylene bottles with screw lids. pH was manually adjusted (see earlier) and after the stabilisation of pH sample aliquots were taken for immediate fractionation and aluminium analysis. Remaining solutions were aged for 168 hours at constant pH and then sampled as above. The Al/Si treatments investigated, all for both 0 and 168 hours(tamp) equilibration, are shown in Table 5.1.

The total aluminium level used in all treatments,  $(200 \text{ Augl}^{-1})$ reflected an environmentally significant concentration of total aluminium found in acidified waters. Where data are expressed as proportions or percentages an arcsine transformation was used to evaluate the mean  $\pm$  1 standard deviation. This accounted for non-normal distribution of data at either extreme of the percentage/proportion scale.

#### 5.3 Results

Data from all experiments were used to compare the measured soluble and exchangeable aluminium fractions as models for theoretical aluminium solubility. Measured solubility was compared with up to three known solubility controls, amorphous  $Al(OH)_{3(s)}$ , gibbsite  $Al(OH)_{3(s)}$  and

Table 5.1 The Al/Si Treatments Investigated in this Study

.

A \* denotes an investigated treatment.

| Treatment<br>Units: ugl <sup>-1</sup> | 81 = 0<br>A1 = 200 | Si = 100<br>Al = 200 | Si = 200<br>Al = 200 | Si = 400<br>Al = 200 | 81 = 1000<br>A1 = 200 | Si = 2000<br>Al = 200 | si = 3000<br>Al = 200 | si = 4000<br>Al = 200 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Si:Al ratio                           | 0:1                | 1:2                  | 1:1                  | 2:1                  | 5:1                   | 10:1                  | 15:1                  | 20:1.                 |
| pH                                    |                    |                      |                      |                      |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| 3.0                                   | •                  | `                    | •                    |                      | •                     | ,                     |                       | •                     |
| 4.0                                   | •                  | `                    | •                    |                      | •                     |                       |                       | •                     |
| 4.5                                   | •                  | `                    | •                    |                      | •                     |                       |                       | •                     |
| 5.0                                   | •                  | •                    | •                    | •                    | •                     | •                     |                       |                       |
| 5.5                                   | •                  | •                    | •                    | •                    | •                     | •                     |                       |                       |
| 6.0                                   | •                  | •                    | •                    | •                    | •                     | •                     | •                     |                       |
| 6.5                                   | •                  | •                    | •                    | •                    | •                     | •                     | •                     |                       |
| 7.0                                   | •                  |                      | •                    |                      | •                     | ,                     |                       | •                     |
|                                       | •                  | •                    | •                    |                      | •                     |                       |                       | •                     |
| •••                                   | •                  |                      |                      |                      | •                     |                       |                       | •                     |
|                                       |                    |                      |                      |                      |                       |                       |                       |                       |

.

L'ALE

a predicted aluminosilicate phase, imogolite, ((HO)<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>SiOH), (J. Chappell, pers. comm.).

# 5.3.1 <u>Aluminium Solubility with Respect to pH and</u> <u>Equilibration</u>

The aluminium solubility/pH profile was characteristically V-shaped with a minimum in the pH range of 6.0 to 6.5, (Table 5.2, Figure 5.4). Lower than predicted (theoretical) soluble aluminium at acid pH (3.0-4.5), can be attributed to peculiarities of the cation-exchange and membrane filtration methodologies, (Chapter Two), and at alkaline pH, (7.0 to 9.0), the unsuitability of cation-exchange as a model for aluminium solubility in neutral and alkaline milieu.

In the pH regime where the aluminium concentration,  $(200 \text{ugl}^{-1})$ , was oversaturated with respect to either or both, aluminium hydroxide phases, (5.0 to \$.0), aluminium solubility was akin to that described by amorphous  $Al(OH)_{3(s)}$ . Ageing, (168 hours), emphasised this control, (Table 5.3, Figure 5.5), as equilibration with respect to amorphous  $Al(OH)_{3(s)}$  was approached from undersaturation. Solubility as measured by cation-exchange in acid milieu was consistently higher than that measured by membrane filtration. Retention of  $Al(OH)_{3(aq)}$  and/or dimeric/ polymeric aluminium species in aged solutions by the sulphonate ion exchange resin could explain this effect. Table 5.2 Soluble and Exchangeable Aluminium Fractions as a function of  $pH_r$ Zero and Excess Si(aq) and Zero Equilibration Period. Mean value for n = 3.

| Trea | atment Solution | zôou                    | g1 <sup>-1</sup> A1. 0SI |                         | 2004       | g1 <sup>-1</sup> A1.4000ug | j1 <sup>-1</sup> si      |
|------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| pH   | Al.Fraction     | [ A1 Jug1 <sup>-1</sup> | (W) [ TV]                | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | [vi ]ug1_1 | (H)[T]                     | -109 <sub>10</sub> [Al ] |
| 3.0  | Soluble         | 161                     | 5.96×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.225                   | 139        | 5.13x10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.290                    |
|      | Exchangeable    | 167                     | 6.19×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.209                   | 143        | 5.31×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.275                    |
| 4.0  | Soluble         | 100                     | 3.70×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.431                   | 73         | 2.69×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.570                    |
|      | Exchangeable    | 66                      | 3.68×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.434                   | 115        | 4.27×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.369                    |
| 4.5  | Soluble         | 73                      | 2.69×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.570                   | 57         | 2.10×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.679                    |
|      | Exchangeable    | 63                      | 2.35×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.629                   | 127        | 4.69x10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.329                    |
| 5.0  | Soluble         | 59                      | 2.20×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.658                   | 191        | 7.09×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.149                    |
|      | Exchangeable    | 65                      | 2.39×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.621                   | 96         | 3.56x16 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.449                    |
| 5.5  | Soluble         | 32                      | 1.19×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.926                   | 198        | 7.33×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.135                    |
|      | Exchangeable    | 69                      | 2.54×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.595                   | 68         | 3.28×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.484                    |
|      |                 |                         |                          |                         |            |                            | cont'd                   |

Table 5.2 cont'd...

•

| Trea | tment Solution | 200m                   | g1 <sup>-1</sup> A1. 0SI |                         | 200ug                  | 11 <sup>-1</sup> A1.4000ug | 1 <sup>-1</sup> si       |
|------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Hq   | Al.Fraction    | [A1 Jug1 <sup>-1</sup> | (W) [ TY]                | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | (Al Jug1 <sup>-1</sup> | (W) [ TV]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [Al ] |
| 6.0  | Soluble        | 11                     | 4.22×10 <sup>-7</sup>    | 6.374                   | 113                    | 4.17×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.380                    |
|      | Exchangeable   | 6                      | 3.19×10 <sup>-7</sup>    | 6.497                   | 75                     | 2.76×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.559                    |
| 6.5  | Soluble        | 14                     | 5.19×10 <sup>-7</sup>    | 6.285                   | 6                      | 3.19×10 <sup>-7</sup>      | 6.497                    |
|      | Exchangeable   | 14                     | 5.19×10 <sup>-7</sup>    | 6.285                   | 23                     | 8.40×10 <sup>-7</sup>      | 6.077                    |
| 7.0  | Soluble        | 43                     | 1.61×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.794                   | 16                     | 6.67×10 <sup>-7</sup>      | 6.176                    |
|      | Exchangeable   | 13                     | 4.96×10 <sup>-7</sup>    | 6.304                   | 55                     | 2.02×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.694                    |
| 8.0  | Soluble        | 63                     | 2.35×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.629                   | 16                     | 3.48×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.458                    |
|      | Exchangeable   | 6                      | 3.19×10 <sup>-7</sup>    | 6.497                   | 16                     | 5.93×10 <sup>-7</sup>      | 6.227                    |
| 0.6  | Soluble        | 87                     | 3.24×10 <sup>-6</sup>    | 5.490                   | 142                    | 5.26x10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.279                    |
|      | Exchangeable   | 9.6                    | 2.22×10 <sup>-8</sup>    | 7.653                   | 2                      | 7.41×10 <sup>-8</sup>      | 7.130                    |



Figure 5.4. Aluminium solubility, (Al-exchangeable and Al filtered), with respect to zero and excess Si(ag), zero equilibration to zero and excess Si(aq), zero equilibration period, pH and compared to three known solubility controls. Al-filtered/zero Si(aq) Al-exchangeable/zero Si(aq), Al-filtered/4000µgl<sup>-1</sup> Si(aq), Al-exchangeable/4000µgl<sup>-1</sup> Si(aq) -----E 200 µgl<sup>-1</sup> Al-total. Mean values are plotted, n = 3.

Table 5.3 Soluble and Exchangeable Aluminium Fractions as a Function of pH, Zero and Excess Si(aq) and 168 Hours Equilibration Period. Mean value for n = 3.

•

| Trea | itment Solution | 2004                   | 91 <sup>-1</sup> A1. 05 |                         | 2004        | g1 <sup>-1</sup> A1.4000ug | 11 <sup>-1</sup> si     | - |
|------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Hd   | Al.Fraction     | [A] Jug1 <sup>-1</sup> | (W) [ TV]               | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | l'-10wl IA) | (W)[[Y]                    | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] |   |
| 3.0  | Soluble         | 141                    | 5.21×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.283                   | 175         | 6.50×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.187                   |   |
|      | Exchangeable    | 161                    | 5.95×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.226                   | 141         | 5.21×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.283                   | _ |
| 4.0  | Soluble         | 19                     | 6.89×10 <sup>-7</sup>   | 6.162                   | 70          | 2.59x10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.586                   |   |
|      | Exchangeable    | 101                    | 3.73×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.429                   | 143         | 5.31×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.275                   |   |
| 4.5  | Soluble         | 11                     | 3.93×10 <sup>-7</sup>   | 6.406                   | 65          | 2.39×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.621                   |   |
|      | Exchangeable    | 66                     | 3.65×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.437                   | 157         | 5.83x10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.234                   |   |
| 5.0  | Soluble         | 32                     | 1.19×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.926                   | 195         | 7.24×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.140                   |   |
|      | Exchangeable    | 66                     | 3.65×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.437                   | 101         | 3.76x10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.425                   |   |
| 5.5  | Soluble         | 16                     | 1.13×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.946                   | 82          | 3.04×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.518                   |   |
|      | Exchangeable    | 70                     | 2.59×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.586                   | 137         | 5.06x10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.296                   |   |
|      |                 |                        |                         |                         |             |                            | cont'd                  | - |

147

•

Table 5.3 cont'd...

| Trea | tment Solution | 2000                   | 91 <sup>-1</sup> A1. 05 | 1                       | 2004                   | g1 <sup>-1</sup> A1.4000ug | j1 <sup>-1</sup> si     |
|------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| PH   | Al. Fraction   | [A] Jug1 <sup>-1</sup> | (W) [ [V]               | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | [A1 Jug1 <sup>-1</sup> | (H) [IV]                   | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] |
| 6.0  | Soluble        | 11                     | 1.53×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.814                   | 53                     | 1.98×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.704                   |
|      | Exchangeable   | 110                    | 4.07×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.390                   | 121                    | 4.47×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.350                   |
| 6.5  | Soluble        | 37                     | 1.36×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.868                   | 27                     | 9.85×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 6.006                   |
|      | Exchangeable   | 66                     | 3.46×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.461                   | 157                    | 5.87×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.237                   |
| 7.0  | Soluble        | 45                     | 1.68×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.774                   | •                      | 1.48×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.829                   |
|      | Exchangeable   | 110                    | 4.07×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.390                   | 148                    | 5.48×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.261                   |
| 8.0  | Soluble        | 63                     | 2.32×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.635                   | 11                     | 2.62×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.583                   |
|      | Exchangeable   | e                      | 9.63×10 <sup>-8</sup>   | 7.016                   | 95                     | 3.50×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.455                   |
| 9.0  | Soluble        | 81                     | 3.01×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.521                   | 5                      | 2.37×10 <sup>-6</sup>      | 5.625                   |
|      | Exchangeable   | 0.0                    | 0.0                     | •                       | 16                     | 5.93×10 <sup>-7</sup>      | 6.227                   |



Figure 5.5. Aluminium solubility, (Alexchangeable and Al-filtered) with respect to zero and excess Si(aq), 168h equilibration, pH and compared to three known solubility controls.Al-filtered/ zero Si(aq).Al-exchangeable/zero Si(aq).AAl-filtered/4000ugl-1 Si(aq), Alexchangeable/4000 ugl-1 Si(aq). ----  $\Xi$ 200ugl-1 Al total. Hean values are plotted, n = 3.

## 5.3.2 Effect of Excess Si(ag) Addition

Aluminium solubility control in the presence of an excess of silicic acid,  $(3x10^{-3}H)$ , will change from a hydroxide phase to a less soluble aluminosilicate phase, such as imogolite, (Parmer 1986), (Tables 5.2, 5.3, Figures 5.4, 5.5). At silicic acid concentrations less than  $3x10^{-3}H$  aluminium and silicon mixtures will exist as both stable and metastable complexes of imogolite and/or other aluminium and aluminosilicate species.

The addition of a molar excess of Si(aq) to aluminium (ca 19:1 Si:Al), at  $pH \Delta 4.5$  generally increased aluminium solubility with respect to  $Al(OH)_{3(S)}$ , (Figures 5.4, 5.5), an effect that was exacerbated in aged solutions. Solubility with respect to known solubility controls was lost and this effect was emphasised by both cationexchange and membrane filtration. This effect of Si(aq) on aluminium solubility was examined further.

# 5.3.3 Aluminium/Si(ag) Interactions in Dilute Milieu

Al/Si interactions in moderately acid/alkaline synthetic solutions of very low ionic strength were studied with respect to pH at a constant Si:Al molar ratio and with an increasing Si:Al molar ratio at constant pH. With the additional criterion of ageing these widely overlapping strategies helped to define criteria for Al/Si interactions.

Data, (Tables 5.4a-h), were compared with theoretical  $Al(OH)_{3(S)}$  solubility controls, (Figures 5.6a-h), and several general trends were observed. In the presence of an excess of Si(aq) ( $\Delta 200\mu gl^{-1}$ ), aluminium solubility control switched from a state of under to oversaturation with respect to  $Al(OH)_3$  (amorphous). An increase in the [Siaq] above 2000\mu gl^{-1} exacerbated the increase in solubility at pH  $\leq$  5.5 and slowly reversed the "solubilisation" effect at near neutral pH, (6.0-8.0).

The solubilising effect of Si(aq) was emphasised by the exchangeable aluminium level and in particular at lower Si(aq) levels, (up to ca 10:1, Si:Al molar ratio).

Ageing increased the proportion of soluble aluminium and in particular at low  $[Si(aq)], (Si:Al molar ratios <math>\leq 5:1$ ).

When aluminium solubility at constant pH and increasing [Si(aq)] was studied, (Figures 5.7a-d), further effects on aluminium solubility were evident. These effects were exacerbated by the method of determination of soluble aluminium.

(1) Membrane Filtration

The rapid solubilisation of aluminium with respect to  $Al(OH)_{3(s)}$  and in the presence of increasing [Si(aq)] was not linear at low pH, (5.0-5.5), and tended to observe a

Tables 5.4a-h. Aluminium Solubility, as Defined by Filtration and Cation Exchange, with respect to pH, Si(aq) Concentration and Equilibration Period. Mean Value for n = 3.

Table 5.4a. 0 Aug1<sup>-1</sup> Si(aq)

|     | Soluble               | Aluminium Fra            | ict ion (M)             |                         | Exchange              | able Alumini            | um Fraction           | (H)                          |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
|     | 0 Equili              | bration<br>iod           | 168 hours Equ<br>Period | il libration            | O Equilib<br>Peri     | ration<br>od            | 168 hours 1<br>Peri   | <pre>squilibration tog</pre> |
| μł  | (II)                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A] ] | [11]                    | -100 <sub>10</sub> [AI] | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1]      |
| 5.0 | 2.20×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.66                     | 1.19×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.92                    | 2.39×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.62                    | 3.68×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.43                         |
| 5.5 | 1.19×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.92                     | 1.13×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.95                    | 2.54×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.60                    | 2.59x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.59                         |
| 6.0 | 4.22×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.38                     | 1.53×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.82                    | 3.19×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.50                    | 4.07×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.39                         |
| 6.5 | 5.19×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.29                     | 1.36×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.87                    | 5.19×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.28                    | 3.46x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.46                         |
| 7.0 | 1.61×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.79                     | 1.68×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.77                    | 4.96×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.30                    | 4.07×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 66.3                         |
| 9.0 | 2.35×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.63                     | 2.32x10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.63                    | 3.48×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.46                    | 9.63x10 <sup>-8</sup> | 7.02                         |
|     |                       |                          |                         |                         |                       |                         |                       |                              |

152

•

Table 5.4b 100 ug1<sup>-1</sup> Si(aq)

|     | Soluble /             | Aluminium Fra           | ict ion (M)             |                         | Exchange              | able Alumini            | um Fractio            | n (M)                   |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|     | 0 Equilib             | bration<br>iod          | 168 hours Equ<br>Period | lithration              | O Equilib<br>Peri     | ration<br>od            | 168 hours<br>Per      | Equilibration<br>iod    |
| Hd  | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> (A1) | [11]                    | -109 <sub>10</sub> [Al] | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] |
| 5.0 | 2.05×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.69                    | 2.57×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.59                    | 2.54×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.60                    | 3.46×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.46                    |
| 5.5 | 6.89×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.16                    | 1.09×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.96                    | 2.69×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.57                    | 2.37×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.63                    |
| 6.0 | 5.49×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.26                    | 1.36x10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.87                    | 1.98×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.70                    | 3.93x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.41                    |
| 6.5 | 4.44×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.35                    | 1.43×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.84                    | 8.37×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.08                    | 3.70×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.43                    |
| 7.0 | n. <b>e</b> .         |                         | n.a.                    |                         | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                  |                         |
|     | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                    | •                       | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                  |                         |

153

..

Table 5.4c 200µgl<sup>-1</sup> Si(aq)

| ction (M)             | ours Equilibration<br>Period    | 1 -10010 [11]                   | 10 <sup>-6</sup> 5.55           | 10 <sup>-6</sup> 5.39            | 10 <sup>-6</sup> 5.40             | 10 <sup>-6</sup> 5.38            | 10 <sup>-6</sup> 5.26             | 10 <sup>-6</sup> 5.73            |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| um Fra                | 168 h                           | [V]                             | 2.81x                           | 4.10x                            | 4.00x                             | 4.15x                            | 5.53x                             | 1.87x                            |
| sable Alumini         | bration<br>lod                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1]         | 5.57                            | 5.54                             | 5.55                              | 5.90                             | 5.87                              | 6.10                             |
| Exchange              | 0 Equilit                       | [11]                            | 2.72×10 <sup>-6</sup>           | 2.91×10 <sup>-6</sup>            | 2.84×10 <sup>-6</sup>             | 1.26x10 <sup>-6</sup>            | 1.36×10 <sup>-6</sup>             | 7.93×10 <sup>-7</sup>            |
|                       | uilibration<br>1                | -109 <sub>10</sub> (A1)         | 5.53                            | 5.90                             | 5.95                              | 5.90                             | 6.16                              | 6.10                             |
| ction (M)             | ours Eq<br>Perio                |                                 | ×10                             | 10-6                             | 9-01                              | 9-01                             | 10-1                              | 10-7                             |
|                       | 168 h                           | (A)                             | 2.99                            | 1.26x                            | 1.13×1                            | 1.26x                            | 6.89x]                            | 7.93x                            |
| Aluminium Fra         | bration 168 h<br>lod            | -10010[A1] [A                   | 5.63 2.99                       | 6.08 1.26x                       | 6.16 1.13x                        | 6.24 1.26x                       | 6.04 6.89x]                       | x60.7                            |
| Soluble Aluminium Fra | 0 Equilibration 168 h<br>Period | [A1] -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] [A | 2.37×10 <sup>-6</sup> 5.63 2.99 | 8.37×10 <sup>-7</sup> 6.08 1.26× | 6.89×10 <sup>-7</sup> 6.16 1.13×1 | 5.70×10 <sup>-7</sup> 6.24 1.26× | 9.11×10 <sup>-7</sup> 6.04 6.83×1 | 1.65×10 <sup>-6</sup> 5.78 7.93× |

154

•

Table 5.4d 400µg1<sup>-1</sup> S1(aq)

|     | Soluble               | Aluminium Fra           | action (M)              |                         | Exchange              | able Alumini            | um Fractio            | (W) u                   |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|     | 0 Equili<br>Per       | bration<br>iod          | 168 hours Equ<br>Period | uilibration<br>1        | 0 Equilib<br>Peri     | brat ion<br>od          | 168 hours<br>Per      | Equilibration<br>iod    |
| Hq  | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [Al] | [ [ ]                   | -109 <sub>10</sub> [Al] | [ 11]                 | -109 <sub>10</sub> [Al] | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [Al] |
| 5.0 | 3.31×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.48                    | 3.63×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.44                    | 3.21×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.49                    | 3.26×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.49                    |
| 5.5 | 1.04×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.98                    | 1.33×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.89                    | 3.53×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.45                    | 4.32×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.36                    |
| 6.0 | 8.89×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.05                    | 1.33×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.89                    | 2.99×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.53                    | 4.39×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.36                    |
| 6.5 | 5.93×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.23                    | 1.63×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.79                    | 1.76×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.76                    | 4.00×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.40                    |
| 7.0 | л.а.                  |                         | n. <b>a</b> .           |                         | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                  |                         |
| 8.0 | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                    |                         | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                  |                         |

| ction (M)    | purs Equilibration<br>Period | 1 -10010(A1)            | 10-6 5.49             | 10-6 5.29             | 10-6 5.30             | 10-6 5.40             | 10-6 5.28             | 10 <sup>-6</sup> 5.62 |   |
|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| ium Pra      | 168 hc                       | [V]                     | 3.26×                 | 5.134                 | 5.04x                 | 4.00x                 | 5.24x]                | 2.42×                 |   |
| sable Alumin | bration<br>lod               | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | 65.39                 | 3.36                  | 5.38                  | 5.64                  | 5.76                  | 6.77                  |   |
| Exchange     | O Equilii                    | [11]                    | 4.05×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 4.32x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 4.20×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 2.30x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 1.73×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 1.70×10 <sup>-7</sup> |   |
|              | uilibration<br>d             | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | 5.43                  | 5.81                  | 5.87                  | 5.82                  | 5.82                  | 5.63                  |   |
| action (M)   | 168 hours Equ<br>Perio       | [11]                    | 3.76×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 1.53×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 1.36x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 1.50×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 1.50×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 2.37×10 <sup>-6</sup> |   |
| Aluminium Fr | bration<br>iod               | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | 5.40                  | 5.82                  | 5.87                  | 6.18                  | 6.27                  | 6.10                  |   |
| Soluble      | O Equili                     | [11]                    | 3.98×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 1.50×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 1.36×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 6.67×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 5.41×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 7.93×10 <sup>-7</sup> |   |
|              |                              | Hq                      | 5.0                   | 5.5                   | 6.0                   | 6.5                   | 7.0                   | 8.0                   | 1 |

**Teble 5.4e** 1000µg1<sup>-1</sup> Si (aq)

.

Table 5.4f 2000µg1<sup>-1</sup> Si(aq)

|     | Soluble               | Aluminium Fra           | action (M)            |                         | Exchange              | able Alumini            | um Fractio            | (H) A                   |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|     | 0 Equili              | bration<br>iod          | 168 hours Equ         | ilibration<br>I         | 0 Equilib<br>Peri     | ration<br>od            | 168hours<br>Per       | Equilibration<br>iod    |
| Hq  | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [Al] | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [Al] | [ 17]                 | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] |
| 5.0 | 4.61×10 <sup>-6</sup> | \$5.34                  | 4.69×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.33                    | 3.87×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.41                    | 3.65×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.44                    |
| 5.5 | 4.47×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.35                    | 2.37×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.63                    | 3.46×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.46                    | 5.09×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.29                    |
| 6.0 | 2.15×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.67                    | 1.76×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.76                    | 2.64×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.58                    | 5.13×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.29                    |
| 6.5 | 8.37×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.08                    | 1.19×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.93                    | 1.19×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.93                    | 4.15×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.38                    |
| 7.0 | п.а.                  |                         | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                  |                         |
| 8.0 | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                  |                         |

•

Table 5.4g 3000µg1<sup>-1</sup> Si(aq)

.

|     | Soluble               | Aluminium Fra           | action (M)              |                         | Exchange              | able Alumini            | um Fractio            | ( M)                    |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|     | 0 Equili              | bration<br>lod          | 168 hours Equ<br>Period | uilibration<br>1        | 0 Equilit             | bration<br>od           | 168 hours<br>Per      | Equilibration<br>Lod    |
| Hq  | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [Al] | [11]                    | -100 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | [11]                  | -log <sub>10</sub> [Al] |
| 5.0 | 5.80×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.24                    | 5.85×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.23                    | 3.76×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.43                    | 3.68×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.43                    |
| 5.5 | 6.74×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.17                    | 2.61×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.58                    | 3.24×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.49                    | 5.11×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.29                    |
| 6.0 | 3.06×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 12.2                    | 1.76×10 <sup>-6</sup>   | 5.76                    | 2.57×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.59                    | 5.13x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.29                    |
| 6.5 | 6.44×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.19                    | 9.85×10 <sup>-7</sup>   | 6.01                    | 8.67×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.06                    | 4.27×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.37                    |
| 7.0 | л.а.                  |                         | n.a.                    |                         | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                  |                         |
| 8.0 | п.а.                  |                         | n.a.                    |                         | n.a.                  |                         | n.a.                  |                         |

Table 5.4h 4000µg1<sup>-1</sup> Si(aq).

•

•

|     | Soluble /             | Aluminium Fra           | iction (M)            |                         | Exchange              | able Alumini            | um Fractio            | (H) n                   |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|     | 0 Equili              | bration<br>lod          | 168 hours Equ         | uilibration<br>1        | 0 Equilib             | ration<br>od            | 168hours<br>Per       | Equilibration<br>iod    |
| Hd  | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | ( IV )                | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [Al] | [11]                  | -109 <sub>10</sub> [A1] |
| 5.0 | 7.09×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.15                    | 7.24×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.14                    | 3.56×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.45                    | 3.76×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 24.2                    |
| 5.5 | 7.33×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.13                    | 3.04×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.52                    | 3.28×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.48                    | 5.06x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.30                    |
| 6.0 | 4.17×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.38                    | 1.98×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.70                    | 2.76x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.56                    | 4.47×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.35                    |
| 6.5 | 3.19×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.50                    | 9.85×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.01                    | 8.37×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.08                    | 5.80×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.24                    |
| 7.0 | 6.67×10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.18                    | 1.48×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.83                    | 2.02×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.69                    | 5.48×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.26                    |
| 8.0 | 3.48×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.46                    | 2.61x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.58                    | 5.93x10 <sup>-7</sup> | 6.23                    | 3.50×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.46                    |

159 -

•



with respect to pH. Si(aq) concentration, equilibration period and relative to the solubility curves for both amorphous and gibbaite Al(OH). B Al-filtered/mero equilibration, © Al-filtered/168 h. equilibration, † Al-exchangeable/zero equilibration, 0 Al-exchangeable/168 h. equilibration. Aluminium solubility, (Al-exchangeable and Al-filtered), Figures 5.6a-h.

Nean values are plotted, n = 3.







sigmoidal relationship, (Figures 5.7a, 5.7b). This effect was still evident at pH5.0 after 168 hours equilibration though was reduced at pH5.5 after the same time period. Si(aq) concentration had no apparent effect on aluminium solubility at pH6.5 even after 168 hours equilibration.

(ii) Cation-Exchange

A sigmoidal relationship between the soluble aluminium fraction and the [Si(aq)] was also evident, however, the extent of solubilisation was reduced, with respect to that measured by membrane filtration, above a critical [Si(aq)] range of  $800-1200\mu gl^{-1}$ . The effect of ageing was to increase the soluble aluminium fraction overall and to reduce the effects of increasing [Si(aq)].

The results from both membrane filtration and cationexchange together suggest that different aluminosilicate species/phases were formed and the nature of the Al/Si interactions were very much dependent on pH and the [Si(ag)].

#### 5.4 Discussion

Aluminium solubility and speciation in natural waters has received much attention, particularly with reference to aluminium mobilisation in soils, (Lindsay 1979, Young and



Figures 5.7a-d. The effect of Si(aq) concentration and equilibration period on the levels of Alexchangeable and Al-filtered at four different solution pH. Nean values are plotted, n = 5. Up to three distinct stages are evident, a, b, and c, and are probably reflections of discrete aluminosilicate phases differing in their charge, size and stability.









Figure 5.7d. Cation Exchangeable Aluminium/168 Hours Equilibration.

Bache 1985, Bache 1986, Parmer 1986, Arp and Ouimet 1986), and acidified water, (Lawrence <u>et al</u> 1986, Cronan <u>et al</u> 1986, Tam and Williams 1986, Tipping <u>et al</u> 1987, Goenaga and Williams 1988). Studies using synthetic water are also well documented, (Hem 1968a, Hem 1968b, May <u>et al</u> 1979, Hedlund <u>et al</u> 1987), however, few of these studies using synthetic waters can be related directly to aluminium chemistry in natural waters.

This study attempted to quantify aluminium solubility in dilute solution taking into account the pH, the time for equilibration and the addition of Si(aq).

#### 5.4.1 The Experimental Method

An understanding of the methods used to determine aluminium solubility is critical when discussing the results. The filtered aluminium fraction will contain 99% of particles/species ∠0.04µm and probably 10-20% of particles in the size range of 0.04 to 0.06µm. Adsorptive effects, particularly at low pH, may reduce these proportions, (see Chapter 2).

The exchangeable aluminium fraction will include all particles/species in the order of 0.05µm in size that carry a net positive charge or are loosely bound/unstable species capable of releasing a cation to the strongly
binding sulphonate groups of the exchange resin. Both pH and the [Si(ag)] will effect the soluble aluminium fraction and the difference between the soluble fractions as expressed by either cation-exchange or membrane filtration will help to identify the nature of the soluble species present.

## 5.4.2 Aluminium Hydroxide Solubility

Aluminium solubility in pure water is controlled by both amorphous and crystalline  $Al(OH)_{3(s)}$ . The most common of these are  $Al(OH)_{3(gibbsite)}$  and  $Al(OH)_{3(amorphous)}$ . Solubility curves for these phases were determined from data taken from Martin (1986), (Figures 5.4, 5.5, 5.6a-h).

Al(OH)<sub>3(s)</sub> (gibbsite or amorphous) + 
$$3H^+_{(aq)} \rightleftharpoons Al^{3+}_{(aq)} + 3H_2^{0}_{(1)}$$
 (1)

Ksp (solubility product) = 
$$\left[ \lambda 1^{3+} \right] / 10^{-3pH} = 10^{9\cdot2} (gibbsite)$$
 (2)  
=  $10^{10\cdot7} (amorphous)$   
[Altot] = Ksp x  $10^{-3pH}$  x  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  (3)

where  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  is a constant at any one pH and is determined from:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} = 1 + \left[ 10^{-5.5} /_{10} - 1 \text{pH} \right] + \left[ 10^{-11.1} /_{10} - 2 \text{pH} \right] + \left[ 10^{-23.2} /_{10} - 4 \text{pH} \right]$$
(4)

The curves predict a minimum aluminium solubility around pH6.2 with approximate linear increases in solubility on either side of the pH range  $5.0 \Delta \text{ pH} \pm 7.0$ .

The aluminium solubility data from this present study largely mimicked the predicted levels though equilibration, (168 hours), moved the point of minimum solubility from pH 6.0-6.5 to a pH minimum around 5.5. The reduced solubility of aluminium in acid milieu was a consistent but inexplicable occurrence.

The low levels of exchangeable aluminium at near-neutral and alkaline pH were indicative of charge reversal around minimum aluminium solubility, (pH6.2). Hydroxide fuelled hydrolyses will allow the monomeric aluminate anion,  $Al(OH)_{4(aq)}^{-}$ , to dominate in alkaline solution, and this species will not be retained on the exchange resin.

Aluminium solubility control at zero equilibration was Intermediate with respect to both hydroxide phases, (Figure 5.4). Equilibration, (168 hours), increased aluminium solubility and equilibrium with respect to Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> (amorphous) was approached from undersaturation (Figure 5.5).

The results suggest that aluminium solubility in dilute aluminium solutions of very low ionic strength is controlled by the amorphous and not the crystalline Al(OH)<sub>3(m)</sub> phase.

## 5.4.3 Al/Si Interactions

Aluminosilicates will form over a wide pH range from the aqueous interactions of aluminium and silicon, (Farmer et al 1983, Lou and Huang 1988), to effect, at equilibrium, a reduction in aluminium solubility with respect to Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> (gibbsite), (Lindsay 1979), (Figure 5.3). This change in aluminium solubility control was evident for the aluminosilicate mineral imogolite, (Figures 5.4, 5.5), the solubility curve for which was computed from theoretical data, (J. Chappell, pers. comm.), (Figure 5.10). Farmer (1986) predicted that a minimum silicic acid concentration of 1000µg1<sup>-1</sup> was needed for imogolite and/or protoimogolite to form.

In this study aluminosilicate-like species were formed at Si:Al molar ratios of  $\downarrow$ 1.0, ( $\downarrow$  200µgl<sup>-1</sup>, Si-total). Aluminosilicate formation increased aluminium solubility over a wide pH range and in particular in the range of pH5.0-6.0, (Figure 5.8). Aluminium solubility remained high even after an equilibration period of 168 hours (Figure 5.9), suggesting that equilibrium with respect to the aluminosilicate solid phase was only slowly achieved. This was particularly true at lower pH, ( $\leq$  pH5.0), and lower [Si(aq)], ( $\leq$  1000µgl<sup>-1</sup>), (Figures 5.6a-h).



Figure 5.8. Aluminium solubility, (determined from the mean of the Al-exchangeable and Alfiltered), for various Si(aq) concentrations,  $Ougl^{-1}$ , ---, 20 $Ougl^{-1}$ , ---, 100 $Ougl^{-1}$ , ---and 400 $Ougl^{-1}$  ----, and an Al-total level of 20 $Ougl^{-1}$  in the pH range of 5.0 to 8.0 and after zero equilibration period at each pH. Hean values are plotted, n = 6.



Figure 5.9. Aluminium solubility, (determined from the mean of the Al-exchangeable and Alfiltered), for various Si(aq) concentrations,  $Ougl^{-1}$ , ----,  $20Ougl^{-1}$  ----,  $100Ougl^{-1}$ -----, and  $400Ougl^{-1}$  -----, and an Al-total level of  $20Ougl^{-1}$  in the pH range of 5.0 to 8.0 and after 168 h. equilibration at each pH. Mean values are plotted, n = 6.



Figure 5.10. Effect of silicic acid, X = ca 1.0ugl<sup>-1</sup>, Y = ca 1400ugl<sup>-1</sup>, Z = ca 84,000ugl<sup>-1</sup>, to lower aluminium solubility. (Reproduced by kind permission of J. Chappell).

There was good evidence that the nature of the aluminosilicate species formed was influenced by the pH and the [Si(aq)]. Probable aluminosilicate species were characterised by their size, charge and stability and these properties were identified from the result of cation-exchange and membrane filtration studies.

At low  $\{Si(aq)\}, (\angle ca \ 100\ Qugl^{-1}), the aluminosilicate species formed rapidly in the presence of an excess of <math>Si(aq)$   $(\_20\ Qugl^{-1})$ , and effected a rapid solubilisation of aluminium. The species were unstable with respect to cation-exchange and were retained as aluminium and/or hydroxy-aluminosilicates on the exchange resin. The species were retained by membrane filtration particularly at pH 5.0 and this was probably indicative of a loose, disorganised and highly variable structure.

Stable aluminosilicates were formed at  $[Si(aq)] 
ightarrow 1000 \mu gl^{-1}$ and pH ightarrow 5.0. These species had a tighter, more organised structure and were not retained by membrane filtration, particularly at low pH. There was evidence that they were retained as aluminosilicates on the exchange resin again particularly at low pH where they probably had a net positive charge. This charge was reduced at more alkaline pH which may have allowed some aggregation of species and hence reduced the filtered aluminium fraction at higher pH.

In alkaline solution an increase in filtered aluminium and sharp reduction in exchangeable aluminium would suggest that the stable aluminosilicate species carried a net negative charge and would not, therefore, form aggregations, thereby increasing the filtered aluminium fraction, and also would not be retained on a cationic exchange column.

Four principal aluminosilicate species could be identified:

- (i) Unstable hydroxy-aluminosilicates probably existing in equilibrium with Al hydroxy complexes.
- (ii) Stable, positively charged aluminosilicates, very slow to come out of solution because of their charge.
- (iii) Stable, neutral, aluminosilicate species, capable of some aggregation and the first to come out of solution as equilibrium is approached from oversaturation.
  - (iv) Stable, negatively charged, aluminosilicates slow to approach equilibrium because of their charge.

The nature of the Al/Si interaction is probably via the aluminate anion,  $Al(OH)_{4(aq)}^{-}$ , (Chappell and Birchall 1988, Birchall and Chappell 1988a). Whilst only present at nanomolar concentrations at acid pH,almost instantaneous

shifts in aluminium hydrolysis equilibria will ensure a rapid aluminium solubilisation and hence rapid production of an aluminosilicate species. The concentration of Si(aq) will determine the stability and form of the species and the solution pH will influence any charge carried by the species.

The mechanisms of simple aluminosilicate formation are thought to involve the displacement of a proton from an aluminium-co-ordinated OH group by silicic acid, (Hem <u>et al</u> 1973). Subsequent polymerisations will result in stable aluminosilicate structures such as imogolite which is described as a tube, (ca 0.002um outer diameter, 0.001um inner diameter), whose wall consists of a single continuous gibbsite sheet with the inner hydroxyl surface of the gibbsite replaced by  $O_3$ SiOH groups, (Cradwick <u>et al</u> 1972). The structure has the empirical formula, (HO)<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>SiOH, (Farmer <u>et al</u> 1983). Proto-imogolite is the non-dialysable precursor of imogolite, (Farmer and Fraser 1982) and is probably similar to the aluminosilicate species identified in this study.

Silicic acid is a very weak acid (pKa 9.8), and will only interact with basic metal ions. Reactions with monomeric aluminium species are, therefore, likely to be with  $Al(OH)_{4(aq)}^{-}$ , however at acid pH (where  $Al(OH)_{4(aq)}^{-}$  is present at only very low levels) reactions with  $Al(OH)_{2(aq)}^{+}$ and possibly  $AlOH_{(aq)}^{2+}$  cannot be discounted. In these studies the presence of silicic acid, up to ca 400Qugl<sup>-1</sup>, did not reduce aluminium solubility in the pH range of 3.0 to 9.0. Aluminium solubility was increased through the formation of aluminosilicate species. The implications of these Si/Al interactions for aluminium toxicity to Atlantic salmon, <u>Salmo salar</u> L. are investigated in Chapter 6.

### 5.5 Summary

Silicic acid will complex aluminium in dilute solution over a wide pH range. The nature of the resultant aluminosilicate was dependent on both the pH and the silicic acid concentration. The relative proportions of aluminium and aluminosilicate species changed with time for equilibration.  $Al(OH)_{4(aq)}^{-}$  was the most likely monomeric aluminium species to bind silicic acid to give stable aluminosilicate structures similar to the proto-imogolite structures suggested by Farmer and Fraser (1982). CHAPTER SIX: THE AMELIORATION OF ALUMINIUM TOXICITY BY SILICIC ACID

### 6.1 Introduction

The toxic nature of aluminium in biological systems is a product of its solution chemistry. The amelioration of aluminium toxicity involves the manipulation of the chemistry to abolish or reduce its biological availability. This part of the study investigated the acute toxicity of aluminium to Atlantic salmon and its short-term (96 hours) amelioration using silicic acid.

In Chapter Five, silicic acid was shown to interact with the monomeric aluminium hydroxy species, and in particular the aluminate anion,  $Al(OH)_{4(aq)}^{-}$ , to different degrees of stability. The aim of the present work was to determine the relative toxicities of the results of these Al/Si interactions and compare them with the toxicities of uncomplexed aluminium species.

#### 6.2 Materials and Methods

All toxicity trials were carried out in a constant temperature room maintained at  $15^{\circ}C^{\pm}$  0.5°C. Analytical procedures were carried out in a water quality laboratory at room temperature, (ca 25°C).

# 6.2.1 <u>Preliminary Investigations into the use of Silicic</u> <u>Acid to Ameliorate Aluminium Toxicity to Atlantic</u> <u>Salmon, Salmo salar</u>.

Short exposure, (12 hours), static tests were used to test the hypothesis that silicic acid would ameliorate acute aluminium toxicity. Five treatments were set up in acidwashed 10 litre perspex aquaria (Table 6.2.1). Ions were added as  $Ca(NO_3)_2.4H_2O$ ,  $Hg(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$  and  $Al(NO_3)_3.9H_2O$ (All Aristar: BDH Ltd.). Silicon was added as  $Na_4SiO_4$  (Reagent: AlFa).

Solutions were equilibrated to pH5.0, and 20 fish,  $(\bar{x} = 0.80g, range \pm 0.15g)$ , were introduced into each treatment. Water temperature was maintained at 15°C  $\pm$ 0.5°C and solutions were aerated gently throughout the exposure period.

All mortalities were noted and death was characterised by a cessation of the opercular beat. Dead fish were removed immediately.

## 6.2.2 The Through-Flow System

Static toxicity tests are unsuitable for longer-term aluminium toxicity studies. The need for constant environmental conditions and replicate homogeneity within treatments and the minimisation of additional stressors Table 6.2.1 Nominal concentrations of  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ , total Al and total Si in each of the treatments 1 to 5.

I

| Composition                | Ca <sup>2+</sup> mg1 <sup>-1</sup> | Mg <sup>2+</sup> mg1 <sup>-1</sup> | Alt mgl <sup>-1</sup> | si <sub>t</sub> mgl <sup>-1</sup> |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. Control                 | 2.00                               | 0.25                               | 0.0                   | 0.0                               |
| 2. No Si (aq)              | 2.00                               | 0.25                               | 0.50                  | 0.00                              |
| 3. Low Si (aq)             | 2.00                               | 0.25                               | 0.50                  | 0.50                              |
| 4. Med.S <sup>1</sup> (aq) | 2.00                               | 0.25                               | 0.50                  | 2.50                              |
| 5. High Si (aq)            | 2.00                               | 0.25                               | . 0.50                | 10.00                             |

such as handling and fright, precludes the use of static systems. Where chemical speciation is integral to the trial, a static system may soon misrepresent the important equilibria, perhaps through the build up of concentration gradients in poorly mixed solutions.

These types of consideration are particularly pertinent to studies involving aluminium and especially in oversaturated solutions. It is not possible to fully mimic 'natural' water conditions in a controlled environment dosing a synthetic water, however, the use of a flow through system does help to achieve optimal test conditions with a good degree of stability over time.

The following discussion covers each individual aspect of the system used in these studies and the rationale behind their use. A schematic representation of the throughflow system is presented in Figure 6.1

# 6.2.2.1 The Synthetic Water

The experiments were performed using a synthetic water,  $(Ca^{2+} ca 2mgl^{-1}, Hg^{2+} ca 0.25mgl^{-1}, Na^{+} ca 1mgl^{-1},$   $K^{+} ca 0.1mgl^{-1}$ , conductivity, ca  $40\mu Scm^{-1}$ , temperature ca 15°C and pH ca 5.00) derived from the multistage treatment of Stirling mains tapwater.

Tapwater was run into reservoir A (see Figure 6.1) and



Figure 6.1. Diagramatic representation of the through-flow system used in the toxicity studies. C.N.-conductivity meter, S.A.F. - silicic acid filter, P-pump, Si(1-3) - silica stock solutions, A1(1-2) - aluminium stock solutions, HC(1-5) - mixing chambers, T(1-5) - treatment tanks,

---->, chemical flow and direction.

aerated vigorously to aid the removal of residual free chlorine. The water was then pumped through a sediment/granular activated carbon combined filter for the removal of particulates ( $\$  lum in diameter), free chlorine, trace organics and some inorganic species. The water was then collected in reservoir B where aeration was continued as a precautionary measure. The water was then pumped through a custom built temperature controller (Swan Environmental Ltd.) which maintained outflowing water at  $15^{\circ}C^{\pm}$  0.5. Water was then passed through a mixed bed deioniser (Seradest SF4000:BDH Ltd.) producing product water with a conductivity less than  $0.5uScm^{-1}$ , and then finally a silicic acid filter, (BDH Ltd.) which reduced total Si levels to less than  $5 \text{ Augl}^{-1}$  before being collected in the holding reservoir. The holding reservoir was made from acid washed, high density polyethylene, completely covered in an insulating layer of polystyrene and of 200 litres capacity.

The reservoir water was then dosed, using a peristaltic pump, (202U/AA:Watson-Harlow Ltd.) with calcium and magnesium from a 4 litre stock solution,  $25.5gl^{-1}$ Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>0 (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) and  $5.75gl^{-1}$ Hg (NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>0 (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) made up in double-distilled water, to give a final calcium concentration of ca  $2mgl^{-1}$ and a final magnesium concentration of ca  $0.25mgl^{-1}$  in the makeup water. An automatic pH controller, (EIL 9143:Kent Inst. Ltd.) maintained the synthetic water pH at  $5.00 \pm 0.05$  through

the additions of 0-1M NaOH (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) and 0-1M  $HNO_3$  (Aristar:BDH Ltd.). These acid-base titrations were responsible for introducing both Na<sup>+</sup> ca 1.0mgl<sup>-1</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> ca 0.1mgl<sup>-1</sup>, to the synthetic treatment water.

The water in the holding reservoir was well mixed through the use of controlled aeration.

#### 6.2.2.2 The Treatments

The synthetic water was dosed at 0.16 lmin<sup>-1</sup> from the holding tank to each of the treatment tanks using a combination of a constant head and gravitational flow. Flow rates were equivalent to 2 litres of water per gram of fish per day. The flow was considered optimal with respect to the oxygen requirements of the experimental salmon fry (Sprague 1969). The water replacement time for each treatment tank was 12 hours for 90% replacement, (about 30 hours for 99% replacement). This value was in agreement with values previously recommended for salmonid toxicity trials (Alabaster and Abram 1965).

Before entry to the treatment tanks, the synthetic water percolated through an acid washed perspex mixing chamber filled with silicone rubber chips. Aluminium and/or Si solutions were dosed peristaltically into these chambers to allow mixing of the two or three fractions before they entered the treatment tanks. Aluminium was dosed as aluminium nitrate,  $Al(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O$ , (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) from a stock solution  $(0.96g1^{-1})$ adjusted to pH5.0 and aged for 168 hours prior to use. During ageing and dosing, aluminium solutions were stirred continuously, though not aggressively, using magnetic stirrers. Silicon was dosed as sodium orthosilicate,  $Na_4SiO_4$ , (reagent grade: AlFa) from stock solutions,  $(4.5g1^{-1}, 1.1g1^{-1} \text{ and } 0.2g1^{-1})$  made up freshly and adjusted to pH5.0 before use.  $Si_{(aq)}$  stock solutions were stirred during dosing.

The five treatment tanks were made of high density polyethylene, acid washed before use and insulated with polystyrene. Each held 60 litres of treatment water, a swinging arm outlet controlling the level. Gentle aeration in each tank improved mixing and helped to maintain optimal levels of dissolved oxygen of  $\ge 10 \text{mgl}^{-1}$ . From the treatment tanks all water went to waste. The nominal ionic composition of the treatment tanks 1 to 5 is shown in Table 6.1.

#### 6.2.3 Experimental and Sampling Procedures

The flow through system was run for 48 hours continuously before any fish were introduced into the treatment tanks. Physical and chemical parameters were measured during this time to ensure that the complete system was operating efficiently. Acceptable levels of stability were usually achieved within 24 hours and maintained within acceptable limits thereafter. Table 6.1 Predicted nominal values for the measured physical and chemical parameters in each treatment tank.

| Tank/Treatment              | 1       | 2             | e             | -            | s       |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Parameter                   | Control | High[Si (aq)] | Ned.[Si (aq)] | Lov[Si (aq)] | Al only |
| Aluminium Agl <sup>-1</sup> | 0.0     | 200.0         | 200.0         | 200.0        | 200.0   |
| Silicon Agl <sup>-1</sup>   | 0.0     | 4000.0        | 1000.0        | 200.0        | 0.0     |
| Calcium mgl <sup>-1</sup>   | 2.0     | 2.0           | 2.0           | 2.0          | 2.0     |
| Nagnesium mgl <sup>-1</sup> | 0.25    | 0.25          | 0.25          | 0.25         | 0.25    |
| Sodium mgl <sup>-1</sup>    | 1.0     | 1.0           | 1.0           | 1.0          | 1.0     |
| Potassium mgl <sup>-1</sup> | 0.1     | 0.1           | 0.1           | 0.1          | 0.1     |
| H                           | 5.00    | 5.00          | 5.00          | 5.00         | 5.00    |
| Temperature °C              | 15.0    | 15.0          | 15.0          | 15.0         | 15.0    |

## 6.2.3.1 The Experimental Fish

Experiments were carried out on Atlantic salmon, <u>Salmo selar</u>, fry (mean weight 1.00g, range  $\stackrel{+}{=}$  0.25g), obtained two weeks prior to experimentation from Howietoun fish farm. All fish had completed yolk sac resorption and had successfully made the transition from endogenous to exogenous feeding.

During the first week after collection the fish were held in synthetic water of the same ionic composition as the control treatment tank, (Table 6.1), at pH6.50-7.00. Thereafter the pH was gradually reduced to and maintained at pH5.00  $\pm$  0.10, through the manual additions of dilute acid or base as appropriate. The salmon were acclimated to these conditions for up to 168 hours before the onset of experimentation. At the beginning of each trial, 120 salmon were introduced in a randomised manner, (Sprague 1969), into each of the treatment tanks.

#### 6.2.3.2 Sampling

Water samples were taken every 12 hours for aluminium, silicon and pH and every 24 hours for calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium and total ammonia. Samples were taken in acid-soaked polyethylene bottles and collected from the outflow of each tank to avoid the unnecessary disturbance of the salmon. Because sampling of the salmon involved stress and disturbance it was reduced

to a practical minimum. Dead fish were recorded and removed every 12 hours. Live and/or moribund fish were sampled for digestion and histological analyses also every 12 hours. Dead salmon are known to decompose rapidly and consequently were not used for digestion or histological analyses. Moribund and healthy salmon were killed by a sharp flick to the head. Whole salmon were vashed, briefly, in 5% (V/V) HNO<sub>3</sub> (Aristar:BDH Ltd.), using a distilled-water bottle, to remove surface adsorbed Al and/or Si, packed individually in polyethylene sachets and frozen.

The anterior portion of sampled fish was stored in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin for future histological preparation and analysis.

## 6.2.3.3 Sample Treatment and Analysis

### (i) pH

Sample pH was measured with a digital meter with built in temperature compensation, (PW9409: Philips) and a standard glass electrode, (CWL:Russell pH Ltd.).

### (ii) Aluminium

Total aluminium was fractionated to give filtered and exchangeable aluminium, (see Chapter 2 for details).

After fractionation samples were stored in acid-washed polyethylene bottles in a matrix of 1% (V/V)  $HNO_3$ , (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) at 4°C. The acid matrix was successful in reducing sample losses through adsorption. Total Al was measured by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy using the program described in Chapter 2.

(iii) Silicon

Samples were pre-filtered through 0.45um membrane filters, (Ultipor,N66.Pall) to remove particulates and then stored in acid-washed polyethylene bottles in a matrix of 1% (V/V)HNO<sub>3</sub> (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) at 4°C. Total silicon was determined by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy using the program described in Chapter 4.

(iv) Calcium, Magnesium, Sodium and Potassium

Samples were stored in acid-washed polyethylene sample bottles, in a matrix of 1% (V/V)  $HNO_3$  (Aristar:BDH Ltd.) at 4°C. Total levels of each were determined using atomic absorption flame spectroscopy as described in Golterman <u>et al</u> (1978).

(v) Total Ammonia

Samples were filtered through 0.4Sum membrane filters, (Ultipor, N66.Pall) to remove particulates and then stored frozen in acid-washed polyethylene bottles. The total ammonia content of thawed samples was determined colorimetrically using a modification of the salicylatedichloroisocyanurate reaction in the presence of nitroprusside, (Crooke and Simpson 1971) and automated with an autoanalyser (Technicon, Autoanalyser II).

# 6.2.3.4 Tissue Digestion and Analysis

The whole body content of both aluminium and silicon in the salmon fry was determined by the following method. The whole salmon were thawed and excess water removed with an absorbant paper. Fish were then dried slowly at 50°C to constant weight. Slow drying, 24-48 hours, at low temperature reduced the probability of Al and/or Si loss due to inorganic and/or organic volatiles. The dry mass, of known constant weight, (usually about 0.2g) was then digested in teflon bombs using Scm<sup>3</sup> of concentrated HNO<sub>2</sub> (Aristar: BDH Ltd.), and moderate heating on a hot plate for one hour. The sealed teflon bombs greatly reduced sample loss due to acid fuming and prevented sample contamination. The digestant was allowed to cool, before dilution with double-distilled water to a total sample volume of  $50 \text{ cm}^3$ . The samples were then stored for analysis in acid-washed polyethylene bottles at 4°C.

Both aluminium and silicon in the samples were determined by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy using the programs cited earlier (Chapters 2 and 4).

#### 6.2.3.5 Histology and Microphotography

The anterior half, dorsal fin to head, of each sampled fish was fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin, for a minimum of 24 hours. Samples could be successfully stored in this manner for up to one year. The specimens were then dehydrated using a series of graded alcohol solutions, cleared in chloroform and impregnated with molten wax.

After mounting the specimens in wax blocks, Sum sections were cut on a microtome and mounted on glass slides ready to be stained.

A standard haemotoxylin stain was modified to specifically locate aluminium in or on fish tissues. A traditional form of this extensively used stain incorporates high concentrations of aluminium to act as a mordant and bind haematein, (oxidised haematoxylin), to the tissue. If aluminium is omitted from the stain format then aluminium on or in the tissue under investigation will act as the mordant and bind haematein.

The stain stock solution was made from 2.00g of haematoxylin (Anhydrous, certified) and 0.20g of sodium iodate (Analar grade:BDH Ltd.) dissolved in one litre of double-distilled water and buffered to ca pH6.0 using 0-1H  $\text{NaOH}_{(aq)}$  (Aristar: BDH Ltd.). The resultant solution had a rich amber colour

and stained aluminium purple. The stain was readily oxidised in air and fresh stain was made up for each use.

Slides were immersed in the stain for one hour, unstained material took up a straw yellow colouration and aluminium stained purple.

This stain has also been used to locate aluminium by Havas (1986) though on fresh, unprepared tissues. Havas (1986) showed that the stain would also colour iron, reddish-brown, lead, grey and copper, pink.

Several counterstains were tried with the haematoxylin stain to enhance the tissue structures, however all the stains tried masked the aluminium staining.

Photomicrographs of the stained and unstained tissues were taken using light microscopy (Orthomat; Zeiss) employing a 50 ASA colour slide film (Ektachrome 50; Kodak).

## 6.2.3.6 Biometrical Analysis

The following statistical analyses were performed in the evaluation of the statistical significance of the results.

(1) The P-test, two-tailed, was used to test the significance of differences between two variances.

F = larger variance/smaller variance

Significance was tested at P = 0.05, (P0.025).

(ii) Where variances of means were not significantly different, statistical comparisons between treatment groups with the same number of observations were made using:

"Students" t-test for unpaired data.

$$\underline{\mathbf{t}} = \frac{\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{s}(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2)}}$$

 $\bar{x}_1$  and  $\bar{x}_2$  are the mean values of treatment groups. S - standard deviation of the difference between these means.

$$SD = \frac{x^2 - (\Sigma \chi)^2 / n}{n-1} \qquad n = no. of observations.$$

(iii) The product momentum correlation coefficient, r, was calculated as an index of y variation with x and judged against a significance level of P = 0.05.

$$r = \frac{\sum(x-\bar{x}) \cdot (y-\bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum(x-\bar{x})^2 \cdot \sum(y-\bar{y})^2}}$$

(iv) Probit analysis was used in the determination of  $ET_{50}$ , (Colguhoun 1971).

(v) Confidence limits were determined for regression lines,(95%).

$$CL(\hat{Y}_{i}) = \bar{Y} + bx_{i}^{\pm} (t0.05 \cdot sy \cdot xi).$$

The statistical treatments used are given in many standard texts (Li 1964, Clarke 1980, Sokal and Rolfe 1987).

6.3 Results

## 6.3.1 Static Toxicity Trial

Mortalities were recorded in treatment 2. (No  $Si_{(aq)}$ ) and treatment 3 (Low  $Si_{(aq)}$ )(Table 6.3.1). Salmon fry in the remaining treatments, 1. (Control), 4. (Nedium  $Si_{(aq)}$ ) and 5. (High  $Si_{(aq)}$ ), showed no visible signs of stress during the 12 hour exposure period.

Silicic acid was found to abolish the acute toxicity of aluminium at pH5.0, and the concentration of silicic acid was a critical factor in the amelioration.

## 6.3.2 The Ploy-Through Toxicity Study

# 6.3.2.1 The Experimental Conditions

Near nominal levels of all physical and chemical water quality

characteristics were achieved in each treatment for the duration of the trial (Table 6.1). Ca, Mg, Wa, K, (Table 6.2) and Si, (Table 6.4) concentrations were approximately constant and did not vary significantly (t-test P $\perp$  0.05), with respect to time within treatments (all parameters) or across treatments (excepting Si). Nominal Si levels were not achieved in treatments 2 and 3, however, Si levels in all treatments were significantly, (t-test: P $\perp$  0.01) different from each other.

Water temperature and pH were constant ( $\overline{x} = 15^{\frac{1}{2}}$  range, 1°C and  $\overline{x} = 4.95^{\frac{1}{2}}$  range 0.05) both within and across treatments for the duration of the trial (Table 6.5). Treatment tank total aluminium levels were generally sub-nominal, (Tables 6.3 and 6.6). Excepting treatment 2 and the control treatment 1, across treatment total aluminium levels were not significantly different, (t-test:  $P \pm 0.05$ ), over the trial period. The mean total aluminium in treatment 2 was significantly, (t-test:  $P \pm 0.05$ ), higher than the mean total aluminium levels in treatments 4 and 5 (Table 6.3). Within all treatments, mean total, filtered and exchangeable aluminium fractions were significantly, (t-test:  $P \pm 0.05$ ) different from each other. Across treatments, exchangeable -Al was the highest in treatment 2, (high Si treatment), and lowest in treatment 5 (no Si treatment).

Filtered-Al was lowest in treatment 2, (range 6-21  $ugl^{-1}$ ) compared with treatments 3, 4 and 5, (range 12-30  $ugl^{-1}$ ).

| Parameter                       | Cond | centration mgl <sup>-1</sup> |
|---------------------------------|------|------------------------------|
|                                 | Nean | Range                        |
| Calcium Ca <sup>2+</sup> (aq)   | 2.14 | 1.95 - 2.39                  |
| Magnesium Mg <sup>2+</sup> (aq) | 0.45 | 0.21 - 0.51                  |
| Potassium K <sup>+</sup> (ag)   | 0.25 | 0.10 - 0.45                  |
| Sodium Na <sup>+</sup> (aq)     | 5.65 | 1.95 - 9.91                  |
| Total Ammonia                   | 0.50 | 0.37 - 0.59                  |

Table 6.2 Determined ionic composition of the test water in the experimental tanks over the 96 hour exposure period.  $n^2 = 60$ .

Table 6.3.1 The cumulative mortalities of salmon fry in treatments 1 to 5 during the 12 hour exposure period.

| Exposure<br>Hours<br>Treatment | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|
| l.Control                      | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 2.No Si(aq)                    | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 20 |    |   |    |    |    |
| 3.Low Si(aq)                   | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 16 |   | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| 4.Hed.Si(aq)                   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | o  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| 5.High Si <sub>(aq)</sub>      | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |

|                          |              | Alumini | um Concen | tration µg1 <sup>-1</sup> |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Treatment                | Al Fraction  | ž       | \$D       | Range                     |
| 1.Control                | Total        | 23.2    | 2.0       | 21 - 26                   |
|                          | Exchangeable | 5.4     | 1.0       | 4 - 7                     |
|                          | Filtered     | 5.1     | 0.9       | 4 - 7                     |
| 2.High Si(ag)            | Total        | 192.6   | 21.8      | 172 - 234                 |
|                          | Exchangeable | 162.4   | 24.1      | 132 - 211                 |
|                          | Filtered     | 12.8    | 5.2       | 6 - 21                    |
| 3.Med.Si <sub>(ag)</sub> | Total        | 181.2   | 17.0      | 165 - 221                 |
|                          | Exchangeable | 135.1   | 12.6      | 118 - 165                 |
|                          | Filtered     | 25.9    | 3.1       | 21 - 30                   |
| 4.Low Si (ag)            | Total        | 162.8   | 9.7       | 150 - 180                 |
|                          | Exchangeable | 110.7   | 7.9       | 100 - 123                 |
|                          | Filtered     | 19.6    | 3.7       | 12 - 23                   |
| 5. Al only               | Total        | 168.6   | 15.9      | 155 - 212                 |
|                          | Exchangeable | 41.4    | 7.7       | 32 - 55                   |
|                          | Filtered     | 20.4    | 2.5       | 16 - 24                   |

Table 6.3 Hean levels of each aluminium fraction for each treatment during the 96 hour exposure period.  $\overline{X}$  - mean, SD - standard deviation, n = 81.

| Treatment                  | Total S | ilicon Concen | tration Agl <sup>-1</sup> |
|----------------------------|---------|---------------|---------------------------|
|                            | x       | SD            | Range                     |
| 1. Control                 | 18.7    | 2.9           | 13 - 24                   |
| 2. High Si <sub>(aq)</sub> | 2615.1  | 225.1         | 2392 - 3111               |
| 3. Med.Si <sub>(ag)</sub>  | 699.5   | 23.1          | 666 - 746                 |
| 4. Low Si (aq)             | 153.3   | 6.4           | 144 - 165                 |
| 5. Al only                 | 17.0    | 1.6           | 13 - 20                   |

Table 6.4 Hean levels of total silicon in each treatment tank during the 96 hour exposure period. X - mean, SD - standard deviation, n = 81.

Table 6.5 Mean pH and temperature levels in the treatment tanks during the 96 hour exposure period. n = 30.

|           |      | рн          | Temp | erature °C  |
|-----------|------|-------------|------|-------------|
| Treatment | Nean | Range       | Mean | Range       |
| 1.        | 4.98 | 4.95 - 5.01 | 15.0 | 15.0        |
| 2.        | 4.96 | 4.92 - 4.98 | 15.0 | 14.5 - 15.5 |
| 3.        | 4.93 | 4.90 - 4.97 | 14.5 | 14.0 - 15.0 |
| 4.        | 4.94 | 4.91 - 4.99 | 15.0 | 14.0 - 15.5 |
| 5.        | 4.94 | 4.92 - 5.00 | 14.5 | 14.5        |
|           |      |             |      |             |

Mean levels of each aluminium fraction in each treatment at  $\frac{1}{2}$  hour intervals during the 96 hour experimental period, x - mean, SD - standard deviation, n = 9. Table 6.6

| Treatment         Al.Fraction $ugl^{-1}$ $\ddot{u}$ $go$ $\ddot{u}$ $\dot{u}$ $\ddot{u}$ <th>Exposur</th> <th>· Period (Hrs.) ·</th> <th>•</th> <th></th> <th>13</th> <th></th> <th>2</th> <th></th> <th>*</th> <th></th> <th>:</th> <th></th> <th>:</th> <th></th> <th>2</th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>  | Exposur    | · Period (Hrs.) ·              | •   |     | 13   |     | 2   |     | *    |     | :     |       | :   |     | 2    |     | -   |     |     |     |
|---|------------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Control       Total       21       1.3       21       1.3       21       1.3       21       1.4       23       1.6       23       1.6       23       1.6       23       1.6       23       6       1.7       7       0         Ricchangeable       4       0.5       5       0.5       5       0.5       5       0.5       7       0.5       6       1.7       7       0         7       11       12       0.5       5       0.5       5       0.5       7       0.5       6       1.7       7       0         3       110       172       2.2       234       5.0       231       4.9       1.6       1.1       1.9       1.1   | Treatment  | Al. Fraction agl <sup>-1</sup> | -   | 8   | • #  | 8   | • * | 8   | - 18 | 8   | - 186 | 8     | -   | 8   | - 18 | 8   | **  | :   | 116 |     |
| Exchangeable       4       0.5       6       1.4       6       0.5       7       0.5       7       0.5       6         711sread       6       0.0       5       0.5       4       0.5       5       0.0       5       1.7       7       0         311agh       Total       172       2.3       234       5.0       211       1.6       100       1.6       105       117       7       0         311agh       Exchangeable       132       0.3       132       132       133       13       11       12       10       1.1       10       1.1       10       1.2       10       1.3       10       1.3       10       1.3       10       1.3       10       1.3       10       13       10       13       10       13       10       1.3       10       1.3       10       13       10       13       10       13       11       10       11       10       13       11       13       11       13       11       13       10       13       11       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10       10  | 1. Control | Total                          | 12  | 1.3 | 21   | :   |     | :   | =    | :   | *     | :     | *   | :   | 2    | ••• | *   | :   | *   | :   |
| Filtered       6       0.0       5       0.3       4       0.3       5       0.0       5       1.7       7         3:lingh       Total       172       2.2       234       5.0       211       1.6       106       1.6       182       1.9       16       16       1.6       182       1.9       182       1.9       182       182       182       183<   |            | Exchangeable                   | •   |     | •    | ••• | •   | •.• | •    | ••• | •     | •.5   | •   |     | •    | •.• | •   | ••• | •   | 1.7 |
| 3. High<br>Bilagi<br>Facebangaable       112       2.3       234.       5.0       211       1.6       104       2.6       135       13       14       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       13       14       14       14       1   |            | Filtered                       | •   | •.• | \$   | 0.5 |     | e.s | \$   | ••• | •     | 1.7   | -   | ••• | •    |     | •   | •   | •   | 0.5 |
| Tittored       112       0.5       159       1.1       211       1.6       160       1.6       196       0.5       11       0.5 <th>2. Migh</th> <th>Total</th> <th>172</th> <th>2.2</th> <th>234.</th> <th>5.0</th> <th>162</th> <th>•••</th> <th>:</th> <th>• •</th> <th></th> <th>:</th> <th>:</th> <th>:</th> <th>:</th> <th></th> <th>174</th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th>9.5</th>   | 2. Migh    | Total                          | 172 | 2.2 | 234. | 5.0 | 162 | ••• | :    | • • |       | :     | :   | :   | :    |     | 174 |     | 1   | 9.5 |
| Filtered       6       0.5       7       0.5       9       1.2       10       0.5       11       0.5       11       0.5       11       0.5       11       0.5       11       0.5       11       0.5       11       0.5       11       0.5       11       0.5       11       125       0.5       11       125       0.5       11       125       0.5       115       2.4       115   | -berre     | Exchangeable                   | 132 | ••• |      |     | 112 |     | 160  | 1.6 | 15.   | •.5   | 153 | 3.3 | 153  |     | 147 | 5.4 |     | 0.5 |
| J. Med.         Total         221         0.6         103         1.7         173         6.2         166         3.1         165         2.6         193         6           Bilaqy         Ezochangeable         139         1.6         110         2.2         137         131         135         3.6         133         0.3         165         2.0         165         17         165         265         165         165         165         165         17         165         265         176         126  |            | Filtered                       | •   | 0.5 |      | 0.5 | •   | 1.2 | •    |     | =     |       | :   | 2.2 | 11   | ••• | 2   | :   | 2   |     |
| Exchangeable         139         1.6         110         2.2         127         3.1         126         3.6         132         0.9         165         4           Filtered         21         0.5         32         0.9         32         1.9         1.9         12         1.9         132         0.9         155         2.9         10           4. Low         Total         100         2.2         154         2.5         153         0.0         157         2.6         153         2         0         0         157         2.6         165         3         0         0         157         2.6         165         3         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         165 <th>3. med.</th> <th>Total</th> <th>221</th> <th>•••</th> <th></th> <th>1.7</th> <th>172</th> <th>•.2</th> <th>169</th> <th>1.1</th> <th>165</th> <th></th> <th>:</th> <th>::</th> <th>9</th> <th>9.0</th> <th>111</th> <th>:</th> <th>176</th> <th></th> | 3. med.    | Total                          | 221 | ••• |      | 1.7 | 172 | •.2 | 169  | 1.1 | 165   |       | :   | ::  | 9    | 9.0 | 111 | :   | 176 |     |
| Filtered         21         0.5         22         0.9         23         1.9         23         1.7         26         0.9         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         157         26         0.9         20   | (be)te     | Exchangeable                   | •61 | 1.6 |      | 2.2 | 127 |     | 126  |     | 132   | •••   | 165 | :   | 130  | 2.2 | 142 | ••• | 111 |     |
| 4. Low<br>sl(aq)         Total         100         2.2         154         2.5         153         0.0         159         0.5         157         2.6         165         1           fl(aq)         Exchangeable         112         1.4         101         2.1         105         3.4         100         0.9         157         3.6         106         1           fl(aq)         Exchangeable         112         1.4         101         2.1         105         3.4         100         0.9         100         0.9         100  |            | Filtered                       | 31  | 0.5 | 22   | •.• | :   | 1   | 22   | 1.7 | ×     | • • • |     | ••• | 2    |     | *   |     | 2   |     |
| attent         Exchangeable         112         1.4         101         2.1         106         0.5   | 4. Lov     | Total                          |     | 2.2 | 154  | 2.5 | 152 | ••• | 150  | ••• | 157   | 2.6   | 165 | 5.6 |      |     | 170 | 0.5 |     | 9.5 |
| Filtered         12         0.5         15         0.5         17         0.5         21         0.5         22         1.9         22         0           5. Al omly         Total         212         2.8         162         0.9         161         0.5         170         1.3         168         2.9         155         4   | (be)te     | Exchangeable                   | 112 | 1.1 | 101  | 2.1 | 105 | ••• | :    | :   |       | :     |     |     |      | 1.1 | 120 | :   | 123 | 1.7 |
| 5. Al only Total 212 2.0 162 0.9 163 0.5 170 1.3 160 2.9 155 4  |            | Filtered                       | 12  | 0.5 | 15   | e.s | 11  | •.5 | 12   | ••• | 33    | •••   | 3   | ••• | 2    |     | 2   | :   | 2   | ••• |
|   | 5. Al only | Total                          | 212 | 2.0 | 162  | ••• | 163 | •.5 | 170  |     | 160   |       | 155 | :   | 161  | 3.4 | 13  | 5.4 | 3   | ••• |
| Exchangeshe 43 [1.3 ] 34 [0.9 ] 32 [0.9 ] 36 [0.5 ] 37 [0.9 ] 37 ]  |            | Exchangeable                   | ;   | 1.3 |      | :   | 22  | :   | *    |     |       | :     |     |     | •    |     | 5   | ••• | s   | ••• |
| riltered 19 0.5 16 0.5 17 0.9 21 1.4 22 1.4 21 1  |            | Filtered                       | •   | 0.5 | :    | ••  | =   | :   | 2    | 1.1 | 2     | •••   | 31  | 1.7 | 22   | 5.0 | 32  | ••• | 24  | ••• |

•

.

The results emphasised Al/Si complexation in treatments 2, 3 and 4.

The exposure period was a determinant of aluminium speciation within treatments (Table 6.6, Figures 6.2,a-d). Total-Al was reduced or remained constant with time in all treatments. Falls in the total aluminium level during the exposure period were not significant  $(r:P \ge 0.05)$  and were attributed to adsorptive processes with the salmon and other surfaces. Exchangeable-Al increased with respect to the total-Al level in each treatment and over time. This effect was most prominent in treatments 4, (r:  $P \ge 0.05$ ) and 5, ( $r:P \ge 0.05$ ) and was particularly emphasised after 60 hours. In all treatments, filtered Al increased significantly, ( $r:P \ge 0.01$ ) relative to total-Al and exposure time. This effect was exacerbated by increasing levels of Si.

## 6.3.2.2 Toxicity

Aluminium, (treatment 5;  $\bar{x} = 16 \text{Sugl}^{-1}$  total-Al), was acutely toxic at pH5.0 to salmon fry (Table 6.7, Figure 6.3c), the median survival time (ET<sub>50</sub>) for which was 21.5 hours  $\stackrel{+}{=}$  9 hours, (95% CL). Nortality was particularly high 24-36 hours after initial exposure. During this interval 61% of the total population died. The presence of silicic acid ameliorated the toxicity,



Figures 6.2a-d. The concentrations of total,  $\oplus$  exchangeable,  $\oplus$ and filtered,  $\boxplus$  aluminium, found in treatment tanks, 2, 3, 4 and 5 during the 96h experimental period. Nean values are plotted, bars show the range, n = 9.






(Table 6.7, Figures 6.3a and 6.3b), the extent of the amelioration was dependent on the concentration of silicic acid. In treatment 2, (Total-Al  $\bar{x} = 193 \text{ ugl}^{-1}$ ; Total-Si  $\bar{x} = 2615 \text{ugl}^{-1}$ ), acute aluminium toxicity was abolished. No mortalities occurred during each of the three replicate trials. The presence of ca 700 ugl<sup>-1</sup> total-Si reduced the toxicity of ca 18 lugl<sup>-1</sup> total-Al (treatment 3), ET<sub>50</sub> 29.1 hours  $\stackrel{+}{=}$  12 hours (95% CL) (Figure 6.3a). However, the amelioration was not significant, (t-test:P $\pm$ 0.10), with respect to treatment 5. Again mortality was highest 24-36 hours after initial exposure during which interval about 58% of the total population died.

In treatment 4 (Figure 6.3b), ca  $153ugl^{-1}$  total-Si reduced the toxicity of ca  $163ugl^{-1}$  total-A1, ET<sub>50</sub> 38.5 hours  $\pm$  10 hours (95% CL) significantly, (t-test: P $\ge$ 0.1) with respect to treatment 5, but insignificantly (t-test: P $\ge$ 0.1) with respect to treatment 3. Mortality was highest 24-36 hours after the initial exposure at around 39%, though the mortality was significantly (t-test:P $\ge$ 0.05) less than in treatments 3 and 5 for the same time interval.

There were no deaths during each of the replicate trials in the control tank, treatment 1.

The results show that the presence of silicic acid can ameliorate acute aluminium toxicity at pH5.0 and  $2.0mgl^{-1}$  Ca and that the degree of amelioration is dependent on both total-Al and total-Si concentrations. Table 6.7 Mortalities of salmon in each treatment during the 96 hour exposure period. n = 3.

T

| 0-12 12-24 24-36 36-48 48-60 60-72 72-84 84-96 N(&T) |                 | No Mortality during the 96 Hour Exposure Period 0(0)   | No Mortality during the 96 Hour Exposure Period 0(0)   | 2 22 69 18 9 120(100)   1.7 18.6 71.9 66.7 100 13.59 4.08 5.64 5.49 6.46 | 2 9 47 36 17 9 120(100)   1.7 7.6 43.1 58.1 65.4 100 1205   3.59 3.76 4.80 5.24 5.45 6.46 120 | 6 34 73 7<br>5.0 29.8 91.3 100 120(100) |
|--|-----------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| 2-24 24-36 36-48                                     |                 | lity during the 96 Ho                                  | lity during the 96 Ho                                  | 22 69 18<br>18.6 71.9 66.7<br>4.08 5.64 5.4                              | 9 47 36<br>7.6 43.1 58.1<br>3.76 4.80 5.2   | 34 73 7<br>29.8 91.3 100                |
| 0-12 1   |                 | No Morta   | No Morta   | 2<br>1.7<br>3.59   | . 2<br>1.7<br>3.59  | 5.0                                     |
| Interval (Hours)                                     | Mortality Index | No.Dead/Interval<br>& Died/Interval<br>Probit of & D/I | No.Dead/Interval<br>% Died/Interval<br>Probit of % D/I | No.Dead/Interval<br>© Died/Interval<br>Probit of § D/I                   | No-Dead/Interval<br>§ Died/Interval<br>Probit of § D/I  | No-Dead/Interval<br>% Died Interval     |
| Exposure 1   | Treatment       | ۲.   | 2.   | з.   | ÷   | 5.                                      |



Exposure Time : Hours Figure 6.3a. An estimation of the median survival time (ET<sub>50</sub>) of salmon in treatment 3, using the probit transformation and 95% confidence limits. ET<sub>50</sub> = 29.1h  $\pm$  12h. n = 3.







Figure 6.3c. An estimation of median survival time for treatment 5, using the probit transformation and 95% confidence limits. ET<sub>50</sub> = 21.5h  $\pm$  9h. n = 3.

### 6.3.2.3 Tissue Digestion Analyses

Aluminium was found associated at above control treatment levels in salmon from treatments 3, 4 and 5 and the net increases of associated aluminium in salmon from each treatment were significant, (r:  $P \angle 0.05$ ) with respect to the exposure period, rising to about 62, 88 and 42 Augg<sup>-1</sup> for treatments 3, 4 and 5 respectively, (Table 6.8, Figure 6.4). Aluminium levels in salmon from treatments 1 and 2 were very low, range:  $\bar{x} = 4.29-19.33ugg^{-1}$ , and in treatment 2 did not vary significantly, (r: P\_0.05), from pre-exposure levels. In the control treatment aluminium associations increased significantly, (r:  $P \angle 0.05$ ), from the pre-exposure levels rising to about 16ugg<sup>-1</sup> after 96 hours exposure. The rate of aluminium association with the salmon from treatments 3, 4 and 5, was most rapid during the first 12 hours of exposure and was 5.0, 3.3, and 9.8 $\mu$ gg<sup>-1</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup> for treatments 3, 4 and 5 respectively. These projected rates of association correlate closely to the median survival times of the salmon in the respective treatment tanks, (Figure 6.5). After 12 hours exposure, tissue aluminium levels were reduced in treatments 3 and 5 and stabilised in treatment 4 and all assumed a level of about 40ugg<sup>-1</sup>. Thereafter tissue aluminium levels in salmon from all treatments except treatment 2 continued to rise, though particularly so in salmon from treatment 4.

The association of Si with whole salmon during the 96h exposure period was significantly (r:  $P \angle 0.05$ ) higher in

Table 6.8 Whole body aluminium levels,  $\lambda gg^{-1}$ , of moribund (treatments 3, 4 and 5) and healthy fish (treatments 1 and 2) during the 96 hour experimental period. x - mean, SD - standard deviation. n = 9.

•

| Expos  | ure Are.             | •    |      | 11     |       | -     |       | ×      |       | •     |      | 3          |       | -     | ~    |       |      | :     |      |
|--------|----------------------|------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------|------------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| Tree   | tment                |      | 80   | • *    | 80    | • 14  | 8     | • **   | 8     | • 38  | 8    | -          | 8     |       | 8    |       | 8    | -     | 8    |
| 1. Con | trel                 | 5.15 | 0.29 | 10.5   | 0.17  | 11.6  | 2.09  | 14.60  | el.t  | 5.70  | 1.8  | 7.00       | •     | ttet  | 1.41 | 18.91 | 2.28 | 15.46 | 3.55 |
| 2. H1g | h Si <sub>(aq)</sub> | 1.29 | 1.07 | 9.20   | 00    | u.u   | 2.34  | 12.24  | 2.39  | 12.59 | •    | <b>n.n</b> | 2.53  | 10.06 | 2.74 |       | 2.25 | 10.22 | 3.46 |
| 3. Hed | (be) <sub>15</sub> . | 11.8 | 15.0 | 12.02  |       | (0.31 | 11.72 | \$2.45 | 11.63 | 99.59 | 7.55 |            | 13.03 |       |      |       |      |       |      |
| 4. For | Si (aq)              | 9.96 | 0.99 | 39.96  | 1.99  | 13.68 | 3.46  | 11.11  | 11.92 | 14.55 |      | 1.06       | 1.70  |       | 5.05 |       |      |       |      |
| S. AL  | only                 | 11.2 | 1.15 | 110.20 | 15.10 | 01.45 | 10.64 |        | 10.24 |       | 4.27 |            |       |       |      |       |      |       |      |

.



Figure 6.4. The whole-body composition of aluminium in salmon from treatments 1,  $\phi$  2, $\phi$  3,H 4, $\phi$  and 5  $\gamma$  during the 96h exposure period. Nean values are plotted, bars indicate the range, n = 9.





treatments 2, 3 and 4 than the control and treatment 5 (Table 6.9, Figure 6.6). The association of Si in salmon from treatments 2, 3 and 4 reached a plateau at about  $23\mu gg^{-1}$  for treatment 2 and  $12-13\mu gg^{-1}$  for treatments 3 and 4, after 36, 60 and 72 hours for treatments 3, 4 and 2 respectively. There was no evidence to suggest that Si was acutely toxic to the salmon fry.

## 6.3.2.4 <u>Histological Analyses</u>

The gill tissue of salmon from each treatment was examined histologically and photomicrographs of the results are shown in Figure 6.8a-j. The gill tissue of the control salmon (treatment 1) was typical of salmonid fry, (Morgan and Tovell 1973), straight primary lamellae with equally spaced secondary lamellae, (Figures 6.8a-b). There was evidence of interlamellar epithelial hyperplasia and some distal hypertrophy of epithelial cells of secondary lamellae. Chloride cells were evident in both the interlamellar spaces and on the secondary lamellae.

The effect of aluminium was dramatic in the absence of silicic acid (Figures 6.8c-d). All regular lamellar structure was lost and sloughed damaged cells were particularly evident. Primary lamellae were thickened by extensive hyperplasia and distinguishable secondary lamellae were highly vacuolated and mucous and chloride cells proliferated. The presence of low concentrations of silicic acid

Table 6.9 Whole body silicon levels,  $\log^{-1}$ , of moribund (treatments 3, 4 and 5) and healthy fish (treatments 1 and 2) during the 96 hour experimental period. x - mean, SD - standard deviation, n = 9.

| Exposure Mrs.              | •    |      | 1           |      |       | z    |       |      | Ľ     |      | 3     |      |       |      | -     |      | *   | Γ    |
|----------------------------|------|------|-------------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-----|------|
| Treatment                  |      | g    |             | 8    | 1 2   | 8    | • 38  | 80   | •*    | 8    |       | 9    | •*    | 8    |       | 8    | 11  | 8    |
| l. Control                 | 2.47 | 0.60 | 1.60        | 6.93 | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0   | •.•  | ••    | 0.0  | 0.0   | •••  | ••    | 0.0  | ••• | 0.0  |
| 2. Nigh Si <sub>(aq)</sub> | 15.5 |      | 2.07        | 0.02 | 7.27  | 2.56 |       |      | 16.00 | 1.32 | 81.01 |      | 22.02 | 0.69 | EI.ES | 1.52 |     | 3.27 |
| ). Ned.51 <sub>(aq)</sub>  | 10.6 | 1.15 |             | 16.0 | 10.27 | 3.30 | 12.12 | 4.23 | 11.11 | 2.14 | 11.02 | 1.62 |       |      |       |      |     |      |
| 4. Low Si (aq)             | 2.35 | 11.0 | 3.69        | 0.60 |       | 2.24 |       | 1.16 | 10.05 | 3.50 | ei.ti | •.67 | 13.02 | 2.32 |       |      |     |      |
| 5. Al only                 | 2.39 | 0.60 | <b>tt.1</b> | 0.28 | 1.44  | 1.11 | 2.71  | 0.74 | 2.93  | 1.01 |       |      |       |      |       |      |     |      |

216

.

.



Figure 6.6. The whole-body composition of silicon in salmon from treatments  $1, \emptyset, 2, \Theta, 3, H, \emptyset$  and  $5 \gamma$ during the 96h exposure period. Hean values are plotted, bars indicate the range, n = 9.



Figure 6.8a. Section through the primary and secondary lamellae of the gill of selmon fry from the control treatment. pl - primary lamella, Sl - secondary lamella, Mag.X250.



Figure 6.8b. Detail of primary and secondary lamellae of a salmon fry gill taken from the control treatment. cc - chloride cell, pc - pillar cell, mc - mucous coat, Mag.X1000.



Figure 6.8c. Section through the primary and secondary lamellae of the gill of a selmon fry taken from the Alonly treatment. pl - primary lamella, sl - secondary lamella, dh - distal hypertrophy, os - oedomatous separation, cc - chloride cell, mc - mucous cell, am - aluminium-stained sloughed material, Mag.X400.



Figure 6.8d. Section through the secondary lamellae of gills taken from selmon fry from the Al-only treatment showing the complete lifting off of the lamellar epithelium. os - oedomatous separation, pc - pillar cell, Mag.X1000.

219

I

(treatments 3 and 4) ameliorated the effects of Al over comparative time periods (24 hours).

Characteristic effects evident in salmon from treatments 3 and 4 included fusion of secondary lamellae, (Figure 6.8e) distal hypertrophy of secondary lamellae, (Figure 6.8f), hyperplasia of the interlamellar cells and deterioration of the regular lamellar structure (Figures 6.8e,f).

Gill lamellar structure of salmon from treatment 2 (high total Si) showed none of the disruptions previously attributed to aluminium (Figures 6.8g,h). They were, however, characterised by very large interlamellar mucous cells. Some hypertrophy of proximal secondary lamellar epithelial cells was also evident. The presence of a high concentration of silicic acid completely abolished the gross cellular disruptions of lamellar structure manifested during acute aluminium toxicity.

The modified haematoxylin stain showed aluminium closely associated with lamellar structures and damaged tissue of gills of salmon from treatments 3, 4, and 5. In treatment 5 (Figures 6.8c,i,j), aluminium was associated mainly with damaged sloughed epithelial cells and some adsorption/ binding at the gill surface. Associations with the gill epithelia were particularly evident in the more "intact" gills of salmon from treatments 3 and 4 (Figure 6.8e,f). Aluminium associations were located on both the filament



Figure 6.8c. Distal fusion of the secondary lamellae in a gill taken from a salmon fry subjected to high Al and an intermediate level of silicic acid. pl - primary lamella, sl - secondary lamella, mc - mucous cell, as - sluminium association at gill epithelium, Mag.X1000.



Figure 6.8f. Distal hypertrophy of secondary lamellae in a gill taken from a selmon fry exposed to high Al and a low level of silicic acid. mc - mucous cell, am - aluminium-stained sloughed material, as - aluminium association at the gill epithelium, Mag.X1000.



Figure 6.8g. Section through the primary and secondary lamellae of a gill taken from a salmon fry from the high Al and high silicic acid treatment. pl - primary lamella, sl - secondary lamella, mc - mucous cell, Mag.X400.



Figure 6.8h. Detail of primary and secondary lamellae of a salmon fry gill taken from the high Al and high silicic acid treatment. mc - mucous cell, ph - proximal hypertrophy, mco - mucous cost, Nag.X1000.



Figure 6.81. Aluminium associations with both the interlamellar and secondary lamellam epithelia, Mag.X1000.



Figure 6.8j. Aluminium associations with lamellar epithelia and an interlamellar chloride cell. pl - primary lamella, sl - secondary lamella, cc - chloride cell, am - aluminium associated with sloughed material, Mag.X1000. epithelium and the respiratory epithelium of the secondary lamellae. Aluminium associations with mucous and mucous cells were also evident on selected gill tissues. Aluminium was not positively located intracellularly, however in all sections the blood appeared to stain positively for aluminium.

Aluminium was not positively located either as surface adsorbed or intracellularly in gill tissue of salmon from the control treatment or treatment 2. The high levels of silicic acid present in treatment 2 appeared to have prevented aluminium associations at the gill surface.

Throughout all treatments aluminium was not stained associated with any other tissues except some evidence of surface adsorbed aluminium on bone associated with gills of salmon from treatments 3, 4 and 5.

### 6.4 Discussion

### 6.4.1 Acute Aluminium Toxicity

In this study, aluminium at an environmentally significant concentration (Wright and Gjessing 1976), about  $169ugl^{-1}$  total-Al, was acutely toxic to salmon fry at pH5.0 and 2.0mgl<sup>-1</sup> Ca. This acute toxicity was correlated to the concentration of biologically available aluminium. The nature of this critical aluminium fraction will be

determined by the solution chemistry. The use of a flow-through exposure system enabled the close control of both physical and chemical variants and afforded the assumption that in the aluminium-only treatment, (treatment 5) an aluminium hydroxide phase, such as gibbsite or amorphous Al(OH)3(a) would control aluminium solubility and thereby the biologically available fraction. At pH5.0, solubility with respect to gibbsite would predict a soluble aluminium level ca  $6Qugl^{-1}$  and amorphous Al(OH)<sub>3(a)</sub> ca 1000 $\mu$ gl<sup>-1</sup>. The soluble fraction in the aluminium-only treatment (5) was in the range  $32-55ugl^{-1}$  (Table 6.3). Gibbsite was the likely solubility control and this control was achieved by a prior ageing of the aluminium stock solutions for 168 hours at pH5.0. Tank aluminium conditions simulated stable water conditions and not the heterogeneity associated with storm flow events where amorphous Al(OH)3(a) is the main solubility control (Goenaga and Williams 1988). At pH5.0, the soluble aluminium fraction in this study was composed of the monomeric aluminium cations, Al<sup>3+</sup> (ag), AlOH<sup>2+</sup> (ag) and Al(OH)<sup>+</sup><sub>2(ac)</sub>, probably in the proportions 35-70%, 25-35% and 5-30% respectively (see Johnson et al 1981 and Martin 1986).

The absence of aluminium complexing ligands in the aluminium-only treatment should have ensured that the aforementioned species accounted for the soluble aluminium fraction. However membrane filtration,0.04um,

(Ultipor, N66; Pall) solubility data showed a soluble range of only 16-24ugl<sup>-1</sup> (Table 6.3). The solubility discrepancy,  $16-3l\omega gl^{-1}$ , highlighted by the use of both exchangeability and filtration as definitions for the soluble fraction, could be explained by aluminium adsorption, onto water-borne particulates, (Goenaga et al 1987). For example, mucous-related substances could complex soluble aluminium, releasing the aluminium to the strongly acid sulphonate groups on the exchange resin but preventing passage of the aluminium through the membrane filter matrix. This adsorbed/bound aluminium fraction, which could account for up to 50% of the total soluble fraction, would only have been biologically available if (i) it could be substituted directly at the gill surface and/or (ii) it was in a dynamic equilibrium with the non-adsorbed soluble fraction. Thus, the soluble aluminium fraction responsible for acute aluminium toxicity in the aluminium-only treatment (5), was found in a probable concentration range of  $16-55ual^{-1}$ .

Acute aluminium toxicity was correlated with aluminium association/binding at the gill surface. The gill surface carries a net negative charge in acid milieu (Booth <u>et al</u> 1988). Binding constants predict that aluminium will displace  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Wa^+$  from respective binding sites and that aluminium and  $H^+_{(aq)}$  will compete for weak acid anions at the gill surface (Tam and Williams 1986). The  $Al^{3+}_{(aq)}$  species will show the greatest tendency to bind irreversibly at the gill surface at

pH5.0 and was the likely precursor of acute aluminium toxicity in this study. Previous studies have ascribed acute toxicity to the divalent, monomeric species,  $A10H^{2+}_{(ac)}$ , (Hellivell at al 1983, Fivelstad and Leivestad 1984, Sadler and Lynam 1987), the rationale for this being the reduced toxicity of aluminium at low  $pH_{\star} \leq 4.50$ , (Schofield and Trojnar 1980, Baker and Schofield 1982, Hutchinson et al 1987, Battram 1988, Booth et al 1988), and the use of theoretical aluminium hydrolysis equations that predict a predominance of the species at pH around 5.0 (Smith and Hem 1972, Johnson et al 1981, French 1985, Neal et al 1987). The predominant form of soluble monomeric aluminium species in the aluminium-only treatment (5), was likely to be A1 $^{3+}_{(ag)}$ , (Figures 5.2a, 5.2b), though the proportions will vary according to the solution molarity, (see Johnson et al 1981, Martin 1986). Greatly increased competition from  $H^+_{(ac)}$  would predict an amelioration of aluminium toxicity at low pH.

Similar ambiguities surround the pH of the interlamellar water. (Dalziel <u>et al</u> 1987, Booth <u>et al</u> 1988, Wood <u>et al</u> 1988a, Wood and HcDonald 1988), a criterion that could prove critical in defining acute aluminium toxicity. Present knowledge of aluminium toxicity to salmonids in acid water can be used to accurately predict the pH changes at the gill surface during acute and sublethal aluminium challenge. Two opposing equilibria, (see equations 1 and 2), in the blood, the gill epithelium cell and the mucous layer surrounding the lamellae, will influence the interlamellar pH. At circum-neutral pH, these equilibria result in the acidification of the interlamellar water by up to 1 pH unit, (Wright et al 1986).

(1)  $C0_2+H_20_{(1)}$  anhydrase  $HC0_3(aq)^+$   $H_{(aq)}^+$  pKa 6.08 at 15°C (Boutilier et al 1985)

(2) NH<sub>3</sub>+H<sup>+</sup><sub>(aq)</sub> NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4(aq)</sub> pK 9.58 at 15°C (Thurston <u>et al</u> 1979).

Three important physiological occurrences are common to acute aluminium exposure in acid water; (i) an initial blood acidosis (Jensen and Weber 1987, Dalziel et al 1987, Wood et al 1988, Heming and Blumhagen 1988, Walker et al 1988), (ii) the inhibition of active  $Ma^+/MH^+_A$  exchange, (Dalsiel et al 1985a, 1985b, Booth et al 1988, Wood et al 1988a), and (iii) some 25-40% reduction in carbonic anhydrase activity (Staurnes et al 1984). The initial combined effect of these responses to acute aluminium toxicity will be a very significant reduction in ammonia removal across the gill and some reduction in CO2 dissolution of the gill surface. Thus the interlamellar pH in gills of salmon from the aluminium-only treatment, (5), would not have deviated from the treatment water pH, (pH ca 5.0) during the initial period immediately after exposure. Subsequently, the build up of excretory products in the blood e.g.  $NH_A^+$  (Witters 1986) will reverse the blood acidosis and the concomitant  $NH_3$  gradient will increase the passive efflux of NH2, this gradient will be exacerbated

further by  $\text{NH}_4^+$  trapping in the mucous layer, (Wright and Randall 1988). Increased efflux of  $\text{NH}_3$ , pK9.58, vill cause a gradual alkalinisation of the interlamellar water, particularly in a poorly buffered acid milieu, as were the conditions in this study.

In the aluminium-only treatment of the present study acute aluminium toxicity was effected during the initial period of blood acidosis probably through Al<sup>3+</sup> (aq) binding irreversibly to a threshold level (also suggested by Booth <u>et al</u> 1988) of critical anionic sites at the gill surface. Subsequent gill alkalinisation would then have increased competition for binding at the gill surface from the less toxic hydroxy-Al species, however, this would not ameliorate the acute response if the aforementioned toxicity threshold had been surpassed.

The results from the aluminium-only treatment, (5), suggest, therefore, that at pH5.0 a concentration of less than  $3augl^{-1} Al_{(aq)}^{3+}$  was responsible for the acute toxicity to salmon fry.

These changes in the pH of the interlamellar water may explain the ameliorative effect of pre-exposure to sublethal levels of aluminium on the acute toxicity of aluminium. For example, a 10 week sub-lethal (pH5.2, 15Qugl<sup>-1</sup> total-Al), aluminium exposure was shown to abolish the acute toxicity of an aluminium challenge,

(pH4.8,  $333\mu$ gl<sup>-1</sup> total-Al), during a 72h exposure period (Wood et al 1988a, 1988b). The observation can be explained in terms of aluminium binding at the gill surface. The concentration of  $Al_{(ac)}^{3+}$  during pre-challenge period (pH5.2, 150µg1<sup>-1</sup> total-A1) would not have been sufficient to effect an acute response before the alkalinisation of the interlamellar water prevented further binding of  $A1^{3+}$  (ag) to the gill surface. The subsequent aluminium challenge (pH4.8, 333µg1<sup>-1</sup> total-A1) was not sufficiently stressful to significantly reverse the alkalinisation of the interlamellar water and therefore any binding of  $Al_{(ac)}^{3+}$  at the gill surface would not be sufficient to effect an acutely toxic response. This hypothesis could be easily tested either by using a higher total-Al concentration in the challenge test or by introducing an interim period between the sub-lethal exposure and the challenge during which the fish would be kept in circum-neutral water of zero aluminium content. The results of the present study would suggest that these small tests would show that the pre-conditioning to an aluminium challenge would either be insufficient to ameliorate a more rigorous aluminium challenge or lost completely due to the interim exposure to aluminium-free vater.

If these assumptions are correct, the results of Wood <u>et al</u> (1988a, 1988b) lend considerable support to  $Al_{(aq)}^{3+}$  being the most acutely toxic of the monomeric cationic aluminium species.

# 6.4.2 Acute Aluminium Toxicity Amelioration with Silicic Acid

The acute toxicity of aluminium, ca  $193ugl^{-1}$  total-Al, was completely abolished by the presence of a large excess of silicic acid, ca  $2600ugl^{-1}$  total-Si. The amelioration was not achieved through a reduction in the soluble fraction of aluminium per se, but a reduction in the biologically available aluminium fraction, and Al<sup>3+</sup> (aq) in particular.

The presence of silicic acid in the concentration range of 150-2600ug1<sup>-1</sup>, increased aluminium solubility in the high, (2), medium, (3), and low, (4), Si (ag) treatments to a level, (ca 100-21Lug1<sup>-1</sup>), beyond that predicted by gibbsite. As was observed for aluminium solubility in the aluminiumonly treatment, (5), the membrane filtration solubility data for treatments 2, 3 and 4 suggested a considerably lower soluble aluminium fraction,  $(6-30ugl^{-1})$ , than the measured exchangeable aluminium fraction. The explanation for the discrepancies between the two "soluble" fractions, (range 94-18 $lugl^{-1}$ ), can be found to a limited extent in particulate adsorption theory, however, size and charge characteristics of species within the soluble fraction may also be important. The presence of silicic acid promoted the rapid dissolution of  $Al(OH)_{3(a)}$  (gibbsite) and abolished aluminium hydroxide solubility control. This change in solubility phase was an indication of Al/Si

complexation and would probably be described by the following equilibria:

(1) 
$$\text{Si(OH)}_{4(aq)}^{+ \text{Al(H}_{2}O)}_{\text{SOH}^{2+}} \xrightarrow{\text{Al/Si complex.(W)}}$$

(2) 
$$Si(OH)_{4(aq)}^{+} Al(H_{2}O)_{4}(OH)_{2(aq)}^{+} Al/Si complex.(X)$$

(3) 
$$Si(OH)_{4(aq)}^{+} Al(H_{2}^{O})_{2}^{(OH)}_{4(aq)}^{-} Al/Si complex.(Y)$$

Silicic acid is a very weak acid, pEa ca 9.8, and was largely undissociated at pH5.0. The stability of an Al/Si complex would depend on the basicity, (Al:OH ratio), of available aluminium species and the concentration of free silicic acid in the milieu. At pH5.0,  $Al(OH)^-_{4(aq)}$  will be preferentially bound by silicic acid, equilibrium 3. The theoretical concentration of  $Al(OH)^-_{4(aq)}$  at pH5.0 will be low by comparison to the cationic aluminium species, probably less than 1% of the mole fraction, (Johnson <u>et al</u> 1981, Martin 1986). However, in terms of Avogadro's number, (6.0222x10<sup>23</sup>), the number of atoms/particles that constitute one mole of any substance, there will still exist sufficient aluminate anions to effect rapid Al/Si complexation reactions.

In the high Si<sub>(aq)</sub> treatment, (2), equilibrium 3 above would have been dominant and all monomeric aluminium species would have been rapidly complexed, equilibrium shifts ensuring that  $\lambda 1/Si$  complex (Y) was instantaneously the dominant form of solution aluminium. Al/Si complex (Y) was positively charged, it was retained by sulphinte groups on a cation exchange resin, was no larger than around 0.05um and was probably a precursor of an insoluble aluminosilicate phase such as imogolite, (J. Chappell, pers. comm.). It was not acutely toxic to salmon fry and was not found associated with the gill surface.

Silicic acid amelioration of acute aluminium toxicity was dependent on the silicic acid concentration, and was not found to be statistically significant (t-test: P $\Delta 0.05$ ) in the medium, (3) and low, (4) Si<sub>(ac)</sub> treatments, (range; 140-750ugl<sup>-1</sup> total-Si). The Si<sub>(ag)</sub> enhanced dissolution of aluminium hydroxide (gibbsite) was evident in treatments 3 and 4, though at a significantly (t-test:  $P \angle 0.05$ ) slower rate than in the high Si (ag) treatment (2), suggesting that Al/Si complexation was occurring in the lower Si (ag) environment of these treatments. It was likely that either: equilibrium 3 was unable to dominate in the lower Si (ag) treatments, (3 and 4) and Al/Si complexes were in equilibrium with Al-hydroxy species and/or the concentration of silicic acid in the milieu was not sufficient to induce the stable form of the aluminosilicate species. Aluminosilicate species similar to those identified in Chapter 5, (Si-Total 4 1000ug1<sup>-1</sup>), are predicted for these lower Si (ag) treatments, probably in equilibrium with Al-hydroxy species. Some

amelioration of toxicity was evident in these lower  $\text{Si}_{(aq)}$  treatments as indicated by median survival times (Figures 6.3a-c) and reduced rates of aluminium association during the first 12 hours of exposure (Figure 6.5). The extent of the early amelioration was not sufficient to prevent binding of Al<sup>3+</sup><sub>(aq)</sub> to critical sites on the gill surface to a supra-toxicity threshold level.

The solubilisation effect of silicic acid on aluminium solutions, the solubility of which was previously controlled by gibbsite, created a "paradox of aluminium toxicity", increasing solubility resulting in the reduced biological availability of the aluminium (Pigure 6.7). A critical level of silicic acid defined acute and nonacute aluminium toxicity, somewhere in the range 750-2400ugl<sup>-1</sup> total-Si, and this range corresponded closely to recent findings that the stable formation of hydroxyaluminosilicate species will occur at silicic acid concentrations above about 1000-1100ugl<sup>-1</sup> (Parmer 1986, Birchall and Chappell 1988a, Chappell and Birchall 1988).

### 6.4.3 <u>Tissue Digests</u>

Whole body tissue digestion analyses showed that aluminium was associated with salmon from all treatments and that the association, particularly with respect to the gills, (see histological results: section 6.3.2.4) was greatly increased in treatments acutely toxic to the salmon,





(Table 6.8, Figures 6.4, 6.5). The results suggest that aluminium associations during the first 12 hours of exposure may have instigated the acute response and associations thereafter were secondary and related to the state of the gill and the proportion of uncomplexed aluminium in solution. After 24 hours exposure, aluminium associations with salmon from the lower Si (ag) treatments and the aluminium-only treatment, were very similar, around 40-50µgg<sup>-1</sup>. Subsequent to this time aluminium associations did not fall below this range, and this concentration may have been representative of a critical threshold of irreversibly bound aluminium. A similar scheme whereby aluminium was predicted to have instigated an irreversible gill effect was recently proposed by Booth et al (1988). The gill aluminium levels recorded in their studies, 50-200ugg<sup>-1</sup> (converted from yet weight results using the formula: Dry Wt = Wet Wt/4.5, (Stoner et al 1984)), were generally in excess of the results of this study, 40-12Qug1<sup>-1</sup>. Booth et al (1988) used 100-300g brook trout <u>Salvelinus</u> fontinalis, which are generally accepted to be the least sensitive salmonid to acid/ aluminium stress, (Exley and Phillips 1988). To study more closely the relationship between acute aluminium exposure and aluminium associations at the gill surface, sampling should be more frequent and sampled salmon could be used in recovery studies to ascertain whether or not a critical association of aluminium would lead to an irreversible acute response.

The whole fish levels of aluminium and silicon were not found to be related to each other. Silicon association was loosely correlated with the silicic acid concentration in each treatment. Because silicic acid is a small electrostatically neutral molecule it would be free to diffuse across the lamellar membranes of the salmon gill and into the plasma following a concentration gradient. It could have been possible that plasma silicic acid concentrations, normally in the range of 1000ug1<sup>-1</sup> for mammals, (Carlisle 1986), were reduced in the salmon during the acclimation period, and thereafter these reduced levels might have contributed to the overall toxic effect. Thus in the aluminium-only treatment, (5), the plasma silicic acid levels would have remained supressed and the subsequent aluminium toxicity was found to be acute. In the lower Si (ag) treatments, (3 and 4), the plasma silicic acid levels would have been raised but not sufficiently to significantly ameliorate the aluminium toxicity, whereas in the high Si (ac) treatment, (2), the plasma silicic acid levels would have been raised rapidly and might have contributed to the amelioration of the acute aluminium toxicity. These hypotheses raise the question whether silicic acid can control the biological availability of aluminium in the blood?

### 6.4.4 Histological Analyses

The gill effects, due to acute aluminium exposure observed in this study were characteristic of many previous

studies on acid/aluminium toxicity, (see Jagoe et al 1987, Exley and Phillips 1988, Tietge at al 1988, Evans at al The gross cellular changes identified in this 1988). study could have been largely the response of the salmon themselves, and may have represented attempts to adapt to the conditions. Hyperplasis may be an attempt to replace damaged cells and mucous cell proliferation an attempt to increase mucous production and thereby reduce the permeability of the gill surface. The hypertrophic response was probably not adaptive and may have reflected a breakdown in osmoregulation. The disruption of ion and water balance control was reflected in the increased vacuolation and subsequent lifting off and complete necrosis of the epithelia of the gill secondary lamellae. Such gross changes in the gill structure are not specific to aluminium and/or acid toxicity, (see Mallat 1985), however, the instigation of the observed effects probably are unique to aluminium and may be explained by binding of aluminium to the gill surface.

The adaptation of a modified haemotoxylin stain to locate aluminium in tissues was successfully achieved though does require further research to ascertain its quantitative usefulness. Aluminium was shown to be associated with the lamellae of gills of salmon from the lower  $si_{(aq)}$  and aluminium only treatments. Nost importantly, the stain conclusively showed that the aluminium species in the high  $si_{(aq)}$  treatment, (2), were not associated

with the gill lamellae, or any other structure. It is not possible to be too specific about the location of aluminium on the gills of salmon from the lower Si (ag) and aluminium-only treatments, however, it was found covering the lamellar membranes, on sloughed damaged tissue and specifically with mucous and mucous cells. Conclusive evidence for the intracellular association of aluminium was not found, however, the observation that the filament capillaries stained positively for aluminium does suggest that this technique could be used to locate focal accumulations of aluminium, for example, in bone and brain tissue. There was no evidence of such accumulations in this study. Aluminium associations with gill tissue during acute aluminium exposure have been postulated by many previous workers, (Youson and Neville 1987, Exley and Phillips 1988, Booth et al 1988, Evans et al 1988), though none have demonstrated aluminium occurrence so conclusively as in this study. The use of this technique could be applied to further studies of aluminium ecotoxicology where the association of aluminium is considered an integral factor.

#### 6.5 Summary

In a synthetic water at pH5.0, aluminium was acutely toxic to salmon fry. Acute toxicity was probably instigated by Al $_{(aq)}^{3+}$  binding at the gill surface. Acute aluminium toxicity was completely abolished in the presence of a large excess of silicic acid. Silicic acid appeared to reduce the biological availability of aluminium through its complexation to give hitherto unrecognised soluble aluminium species. Tissue digestion and histological analyses lent further evidence to this hypothesis.

### CHAPTER SEVEN: GENERAL DISCUSSION

Aluminium has been shown to be toxic to a wide diversity of living forms including man (see Taylor (ed) 1986), other mammals, (see Alfrey 1986), birds, (see Scheuhammer 1987), fish (donnell <u>at al</u> 1984, Exley and Phillips 1988), invertebrates (see Burrows 1977, EPA 1986), plants (see Jones and Bennett 1985, Ohki 1986), and micro-organisms, (Thurman and Gerba 1988). However it is a relatively newly discovered toxicant and was considered as a generally benign element as recently as 1974 (Sorenson <u>et al</u> 1974). Whilst the evidence to support the ecotoxicology of aluminium is currently overwhelming, the toxicological pathways implicated in the elevation of aluminium from a benign to a malignant metal remain poorly understood.

The present understanding of aluminium toxicity to fish is based largely on the symptoms related to exposure to the toxicant. The mechanisms of the toxicity are illdefined and this area of research warrants further investigation. The toxicity of aluminium to fish is complicated because it can be both acute and chronic and it is likely that these toxicities are distinctly different from each other. This study was concerned with the acute toxicity of aluminium, though the results have much wider implications.
Aluminium is acutely toxic if it effects death within a short time period, usually less than 96 hours. Acute toxicity in fish is often the result of damage to respiratory and/or osmo- and iono-regulatory systems (Mount <u>et al</u> 1988a, Mount <u>et al</u> 1988b, Walker <u>et al</u> 1988, McDonald and Milligan 1988, Wood <u>et al</u> 1988a, Exley and Phillips 1988). It is likely that both of these integral physiologies are affected to some extent during acute aluminium toxicity and that both are manifested as severe gill damage, as was seen in this study and many previous studies (Jagoe <u>et al</u> 1987, Tietge <u>et al</u> 1988, Evans <u>et al</u> 1988, Exley and Phillips 1988).

Gill damage characteristic of acute aluminium toxicity, for example, hypertrophy, hyperplasia, necrosis and tissue sloughing is likely to be the result of both the direct effects of the toxicant and the physiological attempts of the fish to compensate for these direct effects. These compensatory responses, which may include cell proliferation and mucous production, form part of the secondary stress response, (Maseaud <u>et al</u> 1977), and if unsuccessful will be exacerbated and may then contribute towards death. The method through which aluminium instigates these responses is still largely unknown and will form the basis of this discussion.

Acute aluminium toxicity in salmonids is induced through aluminium interactions at the gill surface (Booth et al 1988). The exact nature of these interactions is unknown though likely associations would be with calmodulin in mucous, (Haug and Weis 1986, Weis and Haug 1987), phospholipids in the apical cell membrane, (see Haug and Caldwell 1985), carboxylate and thiol ligands on membrane proteins (Haug and Caldwell 1985, Exley and Phillips 1988), nucleotides associated with ATPase pumps in the apical membrane (Ganrot 1986, Dryssen et al 1987), carbonic anhydrase in mucous (Staurnes et al 1984), and other anionic groups within mucous (Booth et al 1988). To effect an acute response the aluminium must occupy irreversibly a threshold level of critical sites on the lamellar membrane. The consequent secondary stress response will exacerbate the condition and death will result, probably from a combination of plasma-dilution, respiratory failure and the direct influence of aluminium on calcium homeostasis. The latter is of particular interest and has received little attention in respect of aluminium toxicity to fish. During acute aluminium toxicity, aluminium is found in the plasma, (Witters et al 1988). Aluminium in the plasma is known to increase the concentration of bound plasma calcium but greatly decrease the level of ionised calcium (Llach et al 1986, Hollah pers. comm.), with the result that the interstitial fluids particularly those associated with muscle tissues, may become hypocalcemic. The effect of hypocalcemia (and

concomitant aluminium associations with ATPase molecules in the muscle sarcoplasmic reticulum) on muscular contractions is probably muscular rigor. Such an effect was consistently evident in fish suffering from acute aluminium toxicity in these studies, and previous research has implicated aluminium in the inhibition of locomotory movement and power in fish (Ormerod <u>et al</u> 1987, Exley and Phillips 1988).

The irreversible, or only slowly reversible, binding of aluminium to gill binding sites will depend on the nature of the aluminium species, the nature of the complexing ligand and competition from other aqueous species (Tam and Williams 1986). Competition between metal species and  $H^{+}_{(aq)}$  for binding with anionic groups will depend on the relative magnitudes of Km, (the association constant for the product), and the ratio of the hydrogen ion concentration [H] and the association constant, (Ka), for the hydrogen ion and the anionic group. Thus, when pH  $\Delta$ pKa+1, binding will be a function of Km only, however when  $pH \leq pKa+1$ , competition from hydrogen ions must be considered. The observation that the toxicity of a certain level of aluminium to fish can be reduced by lowering the water pH towards pH4.0, (sadler and Lynam 1987, Ormerod et al 1987, Exley and Phillips 1988), can be explained in terms of increased competition from H (ag) This result infers that critical anionic groups on the membrane and to which aluminium may bind will have pKa

values ca  $\geq 3.0$ . If this is true, this will exclude such anionic groups as HPO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (pKa 1.5), H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (pKa 2.1) and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>(pKa 2.0), as being critical in acute aluminium exposure. All of these groups may bind aluminium and the binding will be independent of (H<sup>+</sup>) over a wide pH range.

Irrespective of pH and pKs, the value of Km is generally related to the metal valency. Thus  $M^{3+} \Delta M^{2+} \Delta M^{+}$ , where  $\Delta$  implies a greater binding tendency of a metal for any given anionic group. Relating this to the potential binding in the aluminium only treatment (5), of the toxicity study, (see Chapter 6), aluminium, and in particular, Al (art) would show a greater tendency to bind at the gill surface than either  $Na^+_{(aq)}$  or  $Ca^{2+}_{(aq)}$ . Competition from aluminium for  $Ca_{(aq)}^{2+}$  and  $Na_{(aq)}^{+}$  binding sites will be very great in acid solution (Tam and Williams 1986). At pH5.0 it is unlikely that  $H^{+}_{(ac)}$  will be excluded as an active competitor for anionic groups on the gill membrane. Because of this competition from  $H^{+}_{(aq)}$  binding tendency at the gill surface will reflect the difference between log Km and pKs. The greater the difference, the greater the binding tendency.

The effect of hydrolysis on the Km of aluminium for different anionic groups is not known, however valency differences between the hydrolysis products would predict that at pH5.0 only  $Al_{(aq)}^{3+}$  could bind strongly to the weak acid anions at the gill surface.

The implication that  $Al_{(ag)}^{3+}$  is the most likely precursor of aluminium associations at the gill surface tends to contradict present assumptions that  $AlOH^{2+}_{(ac)}$  is the major toxic moiety in acute aluminium toxicity. As was discussed in Chapter Six, this assumption was based largely on studies that have shown aluminium toxicity to be reduced at pH45.0 with respect to the pH range of 5.0-5.2. Similar studies showed reduced toxicity again at pH of 5.5 and above. I believe that if aluminium toxicity is potentiated at pH 5.0-5.2 this is more likely to be a function of the reduced competition from  $H^+_{(ac)}$ at less acid pH than the increased  $AlOH^{2+}_{(ac)}$  levels, and that the subsequent reduction of aluminium toxicity observed at increasing pH above 5.2 could be the result of aluminium hydrolysis reactions greatly increasing the proportions of the less toxic divalent and monovalent aluminium species with respect to the toxic hexa-agua trivalent species. An additional factor complicating these arguments are the inconsistencies that exist in the literature concerning the relative proportions of each monomeric aluminium species present at any one pH. This thesis favours a compromise between the work of Martin (1986) , and Johnson ot al (1981) (Figures 5.2a, 5.2b).

It is not, as yet, possible to predict the nature of the critical anionic groups at the gill surface, however, anions likely to bind  $Al^{3+}_{(aq)}$  strongly at pH5.0 would

include bidentate organic carboxylates and some diester phosphates. Such groups, particularly carboxylates, are present on the acidic protein calmodulin (Weis and Haug 1987).

Calmodulin has been identified in the mucous surrounding the gill lamellae (Flik <u>et al</u> 1982), and is likely to be involved in membrane permeability (You-Han 1986). Activation of calmodulin requires  $Ca_{(aq)}^{2+}$  binding at specific sites, (Haug and Weis 1986).

It is unlikely that aluminium will compete with calcium for these sites, (Martin 1986, Haug and Weis 1986), however, binding of aluminium by calmodulin will confer conformational changes of the protein that will inhibit its activation, despite calcium binding continuing though perhaps at non-specific sites (Siegel and Haug 1983).

This extracellular inactivation of calmodulin may be important in acute aluminium toxicity and should itself be inhibited in the presence of molar excesses of aluminium chelators, such as citrate, (Haug and Weis 1986).

Further understanding of acute aluminium toxicity and the biochemistry associated with this toxicity will come from knowledge of what ameliorates the toxicity. In this study evidence was presented for the complete abolition of acute aluminium toxicity at pH5.0 by silicic acid (Chapter Six). If acute aluminium toxicity at low pH is the result of aluminium associations at the gill surface then the addition of a ligand/chelate that will prevent or reduce these associations should ameliorate the toxicity.

Silicic acid, H\_SiO\_4(ac) is a very weak acid, (pKa,~9.8), and is highly undissociated in acid solution, it will however form complexes with aluminium in acid solution (Parmer et al 1983, Farmer 1986, Lou and Huang 1988, Birchall et al, submitted). The use of infra-red spectroscopy indicates that formation is via Si-O-Al bonds when aluminium reacts with monosilicic acid (Farmer at al 1978, Wada and Wada 1980). To date the existence of such complexes has only been verified at high silicic acid concentrations. Farmer (1986) predicts that the aluminosilicates, protoimogolite and imogolite, require a minimum silicic acid concentration of lmg1<sup>-1</sup> for formation. In this study, complexes of aluminium and silicic acid were identified over a wide pH range and at silicic acid concentrations above  $200 \text{mgl}^{-1}$ .

The relative toxicities of these species at pH5.0 to Atlantic salmon <u>Salmo salar</u> fry, were investigated, (see Chapter Six) and it was found that the efficacy with which aluminium toxicity was ameliorated was dependent on the available silicic acid concentration. Histological evidence, (see Figures 6.8a - j), showed that in the presence of high silicic acid concentrations,  $2000-300Qugl^{-1}$ , the association of aluminium at the gill surface was abolished. Tissue digestion analyses verified this finding and together these techniques showed that during the 96 hour experiment the addition of an excess of silicic acid, 13:1, Si:Al molar ratio, rendered aluminium biologically unavailable.

For silicic acid to achieve this amelioration it must complex aluminium strongly. The complexation or binding must be more stable than is offered by anionic groups at the gill surface otherwise substitution of aluminium from the Al/Si complex would occur. Alternatively the Al/Si complex could have a very rapid rate of formation and very slow dissolution, thereby making substitution at the gill surface unlikely, particularly when an excess of silicic acid was present. Evidence from this study and other recent studies, (Birchall and Chappell 1988, Chappell and Birchall 1988), has shown that aluminosilicate species were retained on cation exchange resins employing sulphonates as the functional group. This stability with respect to sulphonate ( $HSO_1$ ) suggests that the aluminosilicate species will also be stable with respect to the functional anionic groups at the gill surface. The aluminosilicate species were positively charged, as implied by their retention on a cation exchange resin, and might therefore be expected to bind anionic ligands at the gill surface. The total lack of binding observed

in this study indicates a very low Km for the aluminosilicate species, probably lower than that for competitive cations such as  $Ca^{2+}_{(aq)}$  and  $Na^+_{(aq)}$  and very low with respect to the ratio of  $[H^+_{(aq)}]$  and the association constants, Ka, of the acid anions at the gill surface. Thus the aluminosilicate will not form stable complexes with gill surface anions in acid media.

The nature of the stable aluminosilicate species, formed at pH5.0 is unknown. Similar species identified very recently, (Chappell and Birchall' 1988, Birchall and Chappell 1988) had molecular species Si:Al ratios of 0.25 to 0.50 and started to form at around pH5.0. These species were formed at higher molar concentrations of aluminium, (2.7mgl<sup>-1</sup>) and silicic acid, (14.0mgl<sup>-1</sup>) than the species in this study and in solutions of 0.01M NaCl, around 25 times the molarity of the test water used in the toxicology experiments in this study.

Hydroxy-aluminosilicate species will form in acid media, (Parmer <u>et al</u> 1979, Wada and Wada 1980). Lou and Huang (1988) showed their formation at low pH,  $\angle$ pH4.36, from aluminium (2.7gl<sup>-1</sup>) and monosilicic acid (51.8mgl<sup>-1</sup>) however such conditions are unlikely in natural waters.

In this study the assumption was made that in dilute (I = 4-SmM), acid solutions the greater the basicity

of the aluminium species the more likely it would be to form a stable complex with silicic acid. Thus n molecules of  $Al(OH)^{-}_{4(ag)}$  will combine with a single molecule of silicic acid to form a stable biologically unavailable "soluble" aluminium species. Amelioration of aluminium toxicity at pH5.0 will only be achieved through the rapid removal of  $Al_{(ag)}^{3+}$  therefore at pH5.0, where the fraction of Al(OH) $\frac{1}{4(ac)}$  species will be very low (less than 1% of the mole fraction), a critical concentration of silicic acid was required to both fuel the aluminosilicate formation reaction and ensure the stability of the resultant aluminosilicate species. This rapid reaction then promoted rapid hydrolysis of  $\lambda l_{(ag)}^{3+}$ ,  $\lambda lOH_{(ag)}^{2+}$  and  $Al(OH)^{+}_{2(ag)}$  to provide further  $Al(OH)^{-}_{4(ag)}$  to combine with silicic acid. The result was an almost instantaneous conversion of soluble aluminium and aluminium-hydroxy species to "soluble" aluminosilicate species. The main factors controlling the aluminosilicate formation reaction would be the concentrations of both  $Al(OH)_A$ (i.e. pH) and silicic acid. The results of this study clearly show that at pH5.0, a critical concentration of silicic acid was controlling aluminium toxicity.

The results set a precedent for the hypothesis:

"The major role of silicon in biology is as a detoxification agent for aluminium"

(J.D. Birchall, pers. comm.)

This hypothesis can be examined further by looking closely at some of the suggested roles of silicon in biology.

Silicon has an essential role in biology and these roles have been demonstrated both in plants (Volcani 1981, Robinson and Sullivan 1987), and higher animals, (Carlisle 1986).

Whilst a dietary requirement for silicon has been demonstrated in rats and chicks, (Schwarz and Hilne 1972, Carlisle 1972), much speculation has surrounded the nature of silicon essentiality (Birchall 1978, Birchall and Espie 1986), in higher animals. Silicon deficiency in rats and chicks resulted in severely retarded skeletal development (see Carlisle 1986), and reduced activity of prolyl hydroxylase (Carlisle 1984), an enzyme involved in collagen biosynthesis. However, the mechanisms through which silicon can exert this essentiality remain unknown. The reaction between silicic acid and metal ions, in particular, aluminium, is the likely precursor of silicon essentiality (Birchall and Espie 1986). For example, aluminium, in the absence of silicic acid will inhibit the aforementioned ensyme, prolyl hydroxylase, whilst pre-mixing of the aluminium with silicic acid, prevents the inhibitory effect of aluminium (Birchall and Espie 1986).

Focal distributions of aluminium in the brain have been associated with severe learning disabilities in mammals

(see Alfrey 1986), and pre-senile dementia of the Alsheimers type in humans (Krishnan <u>et al</u> 1988). Whilst the toxic nature of aluminium to the brain, and in particular in the etiology of Alsheimers disease, (Birchall and Chappell 1988b), has yet to be fully ascertained, the accumulation of aluminium in the brains of rats was reduced in rats fed supplemental silicon (Carlisle and Curran 1987, Carlisle <u>et al</u> 1988).

Silicon, probably as silicic acid, controls the biological availability of aluminium. If the Si to Al balance is tipped in favour of aluminium, as may occur during catchment acidification or renal dialysis therapy in humans, the toxic nature of aluminium will be manifested.

During catchment acidification an aluminosilicate phase may control aluminium solubility in soil pore water (Bache 1986, Litaor 1987), however, the geology of catchments susceptible to acidification predicts very low levels of siliceous materials (Farmer 1986). Both soil and surface waters in these areas will consequently have low concentrations of silicic acid (Farmer 1986), the precursor to the amelioration of aluminium toxicity. The results of this thesis have shown that if a threshold level of silicic acid, (pH dependent concentration), is maintained aluminosilicate formation will preclude the biological availability of aluminium.

The influence of other chelators of aluminium in natural waters is unknown. Competition for binding will exist from both organic (humic substances) and inorganic (phosphate, fluoride, sulphate, carbonate) ligands, and may influence Al/Si complexation. In synthetic solutions silicic acid will reduce the biological availability of aluminium, further research on Al/Si complexation in the presence of competitive ligands should reveal its efficacy as an amelioration agent in natural waters.

The studies that have made up this thesis have asked a great many questions concerning all aspects of aluminium ecotoxicology. They have also flaunted a controversial but unignorable solution: the ubiquitous relationship of aluminium and silicon in biology.

Fish, and in particular, salmonids, represent excellent experimental animals for aluminium toxicity studies. Through their enforced intimacy with their environment their uptake and turnover of aluminium is rapid and this factor precludes the use of unrealistic exposures to aluminium as often occurs in mammalian studies.

Perhaps the next logical step in aluminium toxicity to fish is to establish whether or not a longer-term toxicity to aluminium will occur from exposure to sub-lethal levels of aluminium, and whether or not the nature of the toxic

response is different to the acute response evident in this study. Would a silicon supplemented diet reduce aluminium toxicity? There is a suggestion today that aluminium availability to biological systems in human plasma is controlled by an aluminosilicate phase: (J. Chappell, pers. comm.).

## REFERENCES

Alabaster, J.S. and Abram, F.S.H. (1965). Development and use of a direct method of evaluating toxicity to fish. <u>Advances</u> in <u>Water Pollution Research</u>, Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Tokyo 1964, 1: 41-54.

Alabaster, J.S. and Lloyd, R. (1980). Water Quality Criteria for Freshwater Fish. FAO, Butterworths, London.

Alfrey, A.C. (1986). Aluminium. In: Trace Elements in Human and Animal Nutrition, Volume 2, Chapter 9. Academic Press Inc: 399-413.

Anton, A. (1960). Colorimetric estimation of aluminium with pyrocatechol violet. <u>Anal. Chem</u>: <u>32</u>: 725-726.

Arp, P.A. and Ouimet, R. (1986). Aluminium speciation in soil solutions: Equilibrium calculations. <u>Wat., Air, Soil</u> Poll., <u>31</u>: 359-366.

Bache, B.W. (1986). Aluminium mobilisation in soils and waters. <u>J.Geol. Soc. Lond</u>. <u>143</u>: 699-706.

Backes, C.A. and Tipping, E. (1987a). An evaluation of the use of cation-exchange resin for the determination of organically-complexed Al in natural acid waters. <u>Intern.J.</u> Environ. Anal. Chem. 30: 135-143.

Backes, C.A. and Tipping, E. (1987b). Aluminium complexation by an aquatic humic fraction under acidic conditions. <u>Wat. Res. 21</u>:2:211-216.

Baker, J.P. and Schofield, C.L. (1980). Aluminium toxicity to fish as related to acid precipitation and Adirondack surface water quality. In: "Ecological Impact of Acid Precipitation", ed. by Drablos, D. and Tollan, A. SMSF Project: 292-293.

Baker, J.P. and Schofield, C.L. (1982). Aluminium toxicity to fish in acidic waters. <u>Wat., Air, Soil Poll</u>., <u>18</u>: 289-309.

Bakke, J. (1939). Klimaforandring og laksebestand. (Climate changes and salmon stocks). <u>Piskeport</u>, <u>10</u>: 201-203. Battram, J.C. (1988). The effects of aluminium and low pH on chloride fluxes in the brown trout, <u>Salmo trutta</u> L. <u>J. Fish Biol</u>. <u>32</u>: 937-947.

Berg, D.J. and Burns, T.A. (1985). The distribution of aluminium in the tissues of three fish species. J. Freshw. Ecol. 3: 113-120.

Bettinelli, M., Baroni, U., Fontana, F. and Poisetti, P. (1985). Evaluation of the L'vov platform and matrix modification for the determination of aluminium in serum. <u>Analyst</u>, <u>110</u>: 19-22.

Birchall, J.D. (1978). Silicon in the biosphere. In: Williams, R.J.P., Da Silva, J.R.R.P. (eds). New Trends in Bio-Inorganic Chemistry. Academic Press, London: 209-252.

Birchall, J.D. and Espie, A.W. (1986). Biological implications of the interaction, (via silanol groups), of silicon with metal ions. In Silicon Biochemistry. Wiley. Chichester (Ciba-Foundation Symp.121): 140-159.

Birchall, J.D. and Chappell, J.S. (1988a). The chemistry of aluminium and silicon in relation to Alzheimer's disease. Clin. Chem. 34: 2:265-267.

Birchall, J.D. and Chappell, J.S. (1988b). Aluminium, chemical physiology, and Alzheimer's disease. Lancet <u>II</u>: 1008-1010.

Birchall, J.D., Exley, C., Chappell, J.S. and Phillips, M.J. (1989). Acute toxicity of aluminium to fish eliminated in silicon-rich acid waters. <u>Nature</u> (in press).

Booth, C.E., McDonald, D.G., Simons, B.P. and Wood, C.M. (1988). Effects of aluminium and low pH on net ion fluxes and ion balance in the brook trout, (Salvelinus fontinalis). Can. J. Pish. Aquat. Sci. 45: 1563-1574.

Boutilier, R.G., Iwama, G.K., Heming, T.A. and Randall, D.J. (1985). The apparent pk of carbonic acid in rainbow trout blood plasma between 5 and 15°C. <u>Respir. Physiol</u>. <u>61</u>: 237-254. Brocksen, R.W., Pilbin, G.J., Bonoff, M.B., Gremillion, P.T. Danehy, R. and Praser, J.E. (1987). The effects of limestone application on water quality in fifteen acidified New York and Massachusetts lakes. <u>BOth Ann. Neet. APCA</u>, New York, New York, June, <u>87-35.2:1-34</u>.

Brown, D.J.A. (1983). Effect of calcium and aluminium concentrations on the survival of brown trout, Salmo trutta, at low pH. Bull. Environ, Contam. Toxicol., 30: 582-587.

Bruggenwert, N.G.H., Keizer, P. and Koorevaar, P. (1987). Adsorption of aluminium ions by montmorillonite: influence on aluminium speciation. <u>Neth. J.Agric. Sci</u>. <u>35</u>: 259-269.

Buckler, D.R., Nehrle, P.N., Cleveland, L. and Dwyer, P.J. (1987). Influence of pH on the toxicity of aluminium and other inorganic contaminants to east coast striped bass. Wat., Air, Soil Poll. 35: 97-106.

Buergel, P.M. and Soltero, R.A. (1983). The distribution and accumulation of aluminium in rainbow trout following a whole lake alum treatment. J. Freshv. Ecol. <u>2</u>: 37-44.

Burrows, W.D. (1977). Aquatic aluminium: chemistry, toxicology and environmental prevalence. CRC <u>Crit.Rev.</u> <u>Env. Cont</u>: 167-216.

Campbell, P.G.C., Bisson, H., Bougie, R., Tessier, A. and Villeneuwe, J.P. (1983). Speciation of aluminium in acidic freshwaters. <u>Anal. Chem., 55</u>: 2246-2252.

Carlisle, E.H. (1972). Silicon, an essential element for the chick. <u>Science</u>, 178: 154-156.

Carlisle, E.N. (1984). Silicon. In: Biochemistry of the Essential Ultratrace Elements, ed. by Frieden, E., Plenum Press: 257-291.

Carlisle, E.H. (1986). Silicon. In: Trace Elements in Human and Animal Nutrition, Volume 2, Chapter 7, 373-390. Academic Press Inc.

Carlisle, E.H. (1986). A silicon aluminium relationship in aged brain. <u>Neurobiol. Aging</u>, <u>7</u>: 545-546. Carlisle, E.N. and Curran, N.J. (1987). Effect of distary silicon and aluminium on silicon and aluminium levels in rat brain. <u>Alsh. Dis. Assoc. Disord</u>. <u>1</u>:2:83-89.

Carlisle, E.H., Curran, M.J. and Duong, T. (1988). The effect of dietary calcium and silicon on the accumulation of aluminium in brain. <u>Paseb. J.</u> <u>2</u>: 4: A841.

 Carrondo, N.J.T., Lester, J.N. and Perry, R. (1979).
Electrothermal AAS determination of total Al in waters and waste waters. <u>Talanta</u>, <u>26</u>: 929-933.

Chappell, J.S. and Birchall, J.D. (1988). Aspects of the interaction of silicic acid with aluminium in dilute solution and its biological significance. <u>Inorgan. Chim.</u> <u>Acta. 153</u>: 1-4.

Chevalier, G., Gauthier, L., and Moreau, G. (1985). Histopathological and electron microscopic studies of gills of brook trout, <u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>, from acidified lakes. <u>Can. J. Zool</u>. <u>63</u>: 2062-2070.

Clarke, G.M. (1980). Statistics and Experimental Design. 2nd Edition, Edward Arnold.

Cleveland, L., Little, E.E., Hamilton, S.J., Buckler, D.R. and Hunn, J.B. (1986). Interactive Toxicity of aluminium and acid toxicity to early life stages of brook trout. <u>Trans. Am. Fish. Soc</u>. <u>115</u>: 610-620.

Colquhoun, D. (1971). Lectures on Biostatistics. Clarendon Press, Oxford.

Courtijn, E., Vandercasteele, C., Yu, Z-Q., Nagels, H. and Dams, R. (1987a). Determination and speciation of aluminium in acidified surface waters. <u>Annis. Soc.</u> <u>r. zool. Belg., Suppl.,1.</u> proc. "Ecophysiology of Acid Stress in Aquatic Organisms", Antwerp, 1987, ed. by Witters, H. and Vanderborght, O. : 33-45.

Courtijn, E., Nagels, H., Vandercasteele, C., Yu, Z-Q., and Dams, R. (1987b). Calculation of aluminium speciation in the presence of inorganic complexing agents. <u>Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg.</u>, <u>96</u>:9:635-641. Cradwick, P.D.G., Parmer, V.C., Russell, J.D., Masson, C.R., Wada, K., and Yoshinaga, N. (1972). Imogolite, a hydrated aluminium silicate of tubular structure. <u>Nature Phys. Sci</u>. <u>240</u>: 187-189.

Craney, C.L., Swartout, K., Smith, F.W. (III), and West, C.D. (1986). Improvement of trace aluminium determination by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrophotometry using phosphoric acid. <u>Anal. Cham.</u>, 58: 656-658.

Cronan, C.S., Walker, W.J. and Bloom, P.R. (1986). Predicting aqueous aluminium concentrations in natural waters. <u>Nature 324</u>: 140-143.

Crooke, W.N. and Simpson, W.E. (1971). Determination of ammonium in Kjeldahl digests of crops by an automated procedure. J. Sci. Fd. Agric. 22: 9-10.

Dahl, K. (1926). Vandets surhetsgrad og dens virkninger paa orretyngel. (Acidity of water and the affect on trout fry). <u>Tiddskrift. Norske. Landbre</u>, 232-242.

Dahl, K. (1927). The effects of acid water on trout fry. <u>Salmon, Trout Mag.</u>, <u>46</u>: 35-43.

Dalziel, T.R.K., Norris, R. and Brown, D.J.A. (1985a). The effects of low pH, low calcium concentrations and elevated aluminium concentrations on sodium fluxes in brown trout, <u>Salmo trutta</u>. CEGB TPRD/L/2861/N85.

Dalziel, T.R.K., Morris, R. and Brown, D.J.A. (1985b). Further investigations into sodium uptake inhibition in brown trout <u>Salmo</u> trutta L., exposed to elevated aluminium concentrations at low pH. CEGB TPRD/L/2908/N85.

Dalziel, T.R.K., Proctor, N.V. and Paterson, K. (1987). The Loch Fleet Project: An acid mitigation programme based on land intervention treatments. <u>80th Ann. Heat.</u> <u>APCA.</u> New York, New York, June, <u>87-35.6</u>: <u>1-18</u>.

Dalziel, T.R.K., Norris, R. and Brown, D.J.A. (1987). Sodium uptake inhibition in brown trout, <u>Salmo trutta</u>, exposed to elevated aluminium concentrations at low pH. <u>Annia. Soc. r. zool. Belg.</u>, Suppl, proc. "Ecophysiology of Acid Stress in Aquatic Organisms", Antwerp, 1987, ed. by Witters, H. and Vanderborght, 0.:421-434. D'Anjou, J. (1988). Investigation of techniques for amelioration of aluminium toxicity in acid waters. MSc. Thesis. University of Stirling, Scotland. 69p.

Davenport, W.H. (1949). Determination of aluminium in presence of iron. Spectrophotometric method using ferron. Anal. Chem. 21: 710-711.

Davis, J.E. and Goldstein, R.A. (1987). The effectiveness of liming to mitigate lake-watershed acidification. <u>\$0th Ann. Meet. APCA</u>? New York, New York, June, <u>\$7-35.3</u>: 1-15.

Davison, W. and House, W.A. (1988). Neutralising strategies for acid waters: sodium and calcium products generate different acid neutralising capacities. Wat. Res. 22: 5: 577-583.

Decker, C. and Menendez, R. (1974). Acute toxicity of iron and aluminium to brook trout. <u>Proc. W. Virg. Acad.</u> <u>Sci., 46</u>: 159-167.

Dickson, W. (1978). Some effects of the acidification of Swedish lakes. <u>Verh. Int. Verein. Limnol</u>. 20: 851-856.

Dickson, W. (1983). Liming toxicity of aluminium to fish. Vatten, 39: 400-404.

Dougan, W.K. and Wilson, A.L. (1974). The absorptiometric determination of aluminium in vater. A comparison of some chromogenic reagents and the development of an improved method. <u>Analyst</u>. (London), <u>99</u>, 413.

Driscoll, C.T. Jr., Baker, J.P., Bisogni, J.J. Jr., and Schofield, C.L. (1980). Effect of aluminium speciation on fish in dilute acidified waters. <u>Nature 284</u>: 161-164.

Driscoll, C.T. (1984). A procedure for the fractionation of aqueous aluminium in dilute acidic vaters. <u>Intern</u>. J. Environ. Anal Chem. <u>16</u>: 267-283. Dryssen, D., Haraldsson, C., Wyberg, E. and Wedborg, N. (1987). Complexation of aluminium with DNA. J. Inorg. Biochem., 29: 67-75.

Eaton, D.K. and Holcombe, J.A. (1983). Oxygen ashing and matric modifiers in graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometric determination of lead in whole blood. <u>Anal. Chem.</u>, <u>55</u>: 946-950.

Ehman, W.D., Hossain, T.I.N., Goodin, D.T. and Markesbery, W.B. (1983). Neutron activation analysis for aluminium in brain tissue. In "Aluminium Analysis of Biological Materials", ed. by Will, M.R. and Savory, J., Virginia, University of Virginia Press, 23-33.

Elgmork, K., Hagen, A. and Langeland, A. (1973). Polluted snow in southern Morway during the winters 1968-1971. <u>Environ. Poll. 4</u>: 41-52.

Ellis, H.N. (1937). Detection and measurement of stream pollution. <u>Bull. U.S. Bur. Fish.</u>, <u>48</u>: 22:365-

Environmental Protection Agency (1986). Ambient aquatic life water quality criteria for aluminium. EPA. <u>Env. Res. Lab.</u> 1986.

Evans, R.E., Brown, S.B., and Hara, T.J. (1988). The effects of aluminium and acid on the gill morphology in Rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>. <u>Env. Biol. Fishes</u>, 22: 4: 299-311.

Exley, C. (1985). Aluminium toxicity to Atlantic salmon smolts, <u>Salmo salar</u>, and juvenile rainbow trout, <u>Salmo</u> <u>gairdneri</u>, in acid waters. B.Sc. Hons. Thesis. University of Stirling, Scotland, 161p.

Exley, C. and Phillips, N.J. (1988). Acid rain: Implications for the farming of salmonids. In: Recent Advances in Aquaculture, Volume 3, ed. by Muir, J.F. and Roberts, R.J. Croom Helm Ltd., London: 225-341.

Farmer, V.C. (1986). Sources and speciation of aluminium and silicon in natural waters. In: Silicon biochemistry. Wiley, Chichester. (Ciba Foundation Symp. 121): 4-23. **Farmer**, V.C. and Praser, A.R. (1982). Chemical and colloidal stability of sols in the  $Al_2O_3$ -Fe $_2O_3$ -Sio $_2$ -H $_2O$  system: J. Soil Sci. 33: 737-742.

Farmer, V.C., Fraser, A.R. and Tait, J.H. (1979). Characterisation of the chemical structures of natural and synthetic aluminosilicate gels by infra-red spectroscopy. <u>Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.</u>, 43: 1417-1420.

Parmer, V.C., Adams, H.J., Fraser, A.R. and Palmieri, P. (1983). Synthetic imogolite: properties, synthethis and possible applications. <u>Clay Hin. 18</u>: 459-472.

Fernandez, F.J. and Manning, D.C. (1971). Atomic absorption analyses of metal pollutants in water using a heated graphite atomiser. <u>At. Absorpt. Newslatt.</u>, 10: 65-69.

Fivelstad, S. and Leivestad, H. (1984). Aluminium toxicity to Atlantic salmon (<u>Salmo salar</u> L.), and brown trout, (<u>Salmo trutta</u>, L.): Mortality and physiological response. In: "Institute of Freshwater Research"; Drottningholm, <u>Rep. No.61</u>, 1984, ed. by Ericsson, B., 69-78.

Plik, G., Reijntjens, P.H.J., Stikkelbroek, J., and Penwick, J.C. (1982). Paper presented to the VIIIth Dutch-British Endocrine Heeting, 25th-27th August, 1982. J. Endocrinol. 94 S.

Frech, W. and Cedergren, A. (1980). Investigations of reactions involved in flameless AA procedures. Part 7. Theoretical and experimental study of factors influencing the determination of Si. <u>Anal. Chim. Acta.</u>, <u>113</u>: 227-235. Freeman, R.A. and Everhart, W.H. (1971). Toxicity of aluminium hydroxide complexes in neutral and basic media to rainbow trout. <u>Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.</u>, 100: 644-658.

French, P. (1985). Thermodynamic modelling of aluminium speciation and solubility in natural waters. <u>WRC Environ</u>. Rep. 1041-M. Hedmenham, U.K., 28p.

Ganrot, P.G. (1986). Hetabolism and possible health effects of aluminium. <u>Environ. Health Perspect.</u> 65: 363-442.

Gardiner, P.E., Ottaway, J.N., Fell, G.S. and Halls, D.J. (1981). Determination of aluminium in blood plasma or serum by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry. <u>Anal. Chim. Acta.</u>, <u>128</u>: 57-66.

Garrison, J.M. and Crumbliss, A.L. (1987). Kinetics and mechanism of aluminium (III)/siderophore ligand exchange: Nono(deferriferrioxamine B) aluminium (III) formation and dissociation in aqueous acid solution. <u>Inorgan</u>. <u>Chim. Acta.</u>, <u>138</u>: 61-65.

Gitelman, H.J. and Alderman, F.R. (1986). Electrothermal determination of aluminium in biological samples by atomic absorption spectroscopy. <u>Kid.Int. 29</u>: S18: S28-S31.

Goenaga, X., Bryant, R., and Williams, D.J.A. (1987). Influence of sorption processes on aluminium determinations in acidic waters. <u>Anal. Chem</u>. <u>59</u>: 2673-2678.

Goenaga, X. and Williams, D.J.A. (1988). Aluminium speciation in surface waters from a Welsh upland area. <u>Env. Poll. 52</u>: 131-149.

Goldberg, E.D. (1965). Minor elements in seawater. In: "Chemical Oceanography", ed. by Riley, J.A. and Skirrow, G. Academic Press, London. 164p. Golterman, H.L., Clymo, R.S. and Ohnstad, H.A.M. (1978). Methods for the physical and chemical analysis of freshwaters. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK.

Goss, G.G. and Wood, C.H. (1988). The effects of acid and acid/aluminium exposure on circulating plasma cortisol levels and other blood parameters in the rainbow trout, <u>Salmo</u> gairdneri. J. Fish Biol. 32: 63-76.

Gunn, A.N., Hunt, D.T.E. and Winnard, D.A. (1986). Aluminium speciation and its effect on toxicity. Int. Conf. Chem. Env. Linton 1-3 July 1986.

Haug, A.R. and Caldwell, C.R. (1985). Aluminium toxicity in plants: The role of the root plasma membrane and calmodulin. "Frontiers of Nembrane Research in Agriculture", Beltsville Symposium 9, ed. by St. John, J.B., Berlin, E. and Jackson, P.C. 359-381.

Haug, A. and Weis, C. (1986). Aluminium induced changes in calmodulin. <u>Nato Asi. Ser. Ser.A</u>. <u>104</u>:19-26.

Havas, H. (1986). A haematoxylin staining technique to locate sites of aluminium binding in aquatic plants and animals. <u>Wat., Air, Soil Poll., 30</u>: 735-741.

Hedlund, T., Sjoberg, S. and Ohman, L-O (1987). Equilibrium and structural studies of silicon (IV) and aluminium(III) in aqueous solution. 15. A potentiometric study of speciation and equilibria in the  $A1^{32}CO_{2(g)}^{-OH}$  system. <u>Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A41</u>: 197-207.

Helliwell, S., Batley, G.E., Florence, T.H. and Lumsden, B.G. (1983). Speciation and toxicity of aluminium in a model fresh water. <u>Env. Technol. Lett.</u>, <u>4</u>: 141-144.

Hem, J.D. (1968a). Aluminium species in water. Adv. Chem. Ser. 73: 98-114.

Hem, J.D. (1968b). Graphical methods for studies of aqueous aluminium hydroxides, fluoride and sulphate complexes. <u>U.S. Geol. Surv. Wat. Suppl. Pap</u>. No. 1827B.

Hem, J.D., Roberson, C.E., Lind, C.J. and Poltzer, W.L. (1973). Chemical Interactions of aluminium with aqueous silica at 25°C. <u>U.S. Geol. Surv. Wat. Suppl. Pap</u>. No.1827E.

Heming, T.A. and Blumhagen, K.A. (1988). Plasma acidbase and electrolyte states of rainbow trout exposed to alum, (aluminium sulphate) in acidic and alkaline environments. <u>Aguat. Toxicol. 12</u>: 125-140.

Hendershot, W.H., Dufresne, A., Lalande, H. and Courchesne, P. (1986). Temporal variation in aluminium speciation and concentration during snowmelt. <u>Mat., Air, Soil, Poll., 31</u>: 231-237.

Henriksen, A. and Bergmann-Poulsen, I.M. (1975). An automatic method for determining aluminium in natural waters. <u>Vatten</u>, <u>4</u>: 339-342.

HHSO (1979). Acid soluble aluminium in raw and potable waters by spectrophotometry, (using pyrocatechol violet). Iép.

HMSO (1980). Silicon in Waters and Effluents, 28p.

Howells, G.D. (1984) Acid waters. The effect of low pH and acid associated factors on fisheries. <u>Adv. Appl.</u> <u>Biol. 9</u>: 257-295.

Hsu, P.H. and Bates, T.F. (1964). Formation of X-ray amorphous and crystalline aluminium hydroxides. <u>Min. Mag. 33</u>: 749-768.

Hunn, J.B., Cleveland, L. and Little, E.E. (1987). Influence of pH and aluminium on developing brook trout in a low calcium water. <u>Env. Poll.</u> <u>43</u>: 63-73.

Hunter, J.B., Ross, S.L., and Tannahill, J. (1980). Aluminium pollution and fish toxicity. <u>Wat. Poll. Cont.</u>, 79: 413-420.

Hutchinson, N.J. and Sprague, J.B. (1987). Reduced lethality of Al, Zn and Cu mixtures to American flagfish by complexation with humic substances in acidified soft waters. <u>Env. Toxicol. Chem</u>., <u>6</u>: 755-765. Hutchinson, N.J., Holtze, K.E., Hunro, J.R. and Pawson, T.W. (1987). Lethal responses of salmonid early life stages to H and Al in dilute waters. <u>Annis. Soc. r. zool. Belg</u>; Suppl. 1, proc. "Ecophysiology of Acid Stress in Aquatic Organisms", Antwerp, 1987, ed. by Witters, H. and Vanderborght, O.: 201-217.

Iler, R.K. (1979). The Chemistry of Silica. Wiley, New York.

Jagoe, C.H., Haines, T.A. and Buckler, D.R. (1987). Abnormal gill development in Atlantic salmon, (Salmo salar) fry exposed to aluminium at low pH. <u>Annls. Soc. r. zool.</u> Belg; suppl. 1, proc. "Ecophysiology of Acid Stress in Aquatic Organisms, Antwerp, 1987, ed. by Witters, H. and Vanderborght, O.: 375-386.

James, R.O. (1971). Ph.D. Thesis, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia.

Jensen, F.B. and Weber, R.E. (1987). Internal hypoxiahypercapnia in tench exposed to aluminium in acid water. Effects on blood gas transport, acid-base status and electrolyte composition in arterial blood. J.Exp. Biol., 127: 427-442.

Johanessen, M., Skartveit, A., and Wright, R.F. (1980). Streamwater chemistry, before, during and after snowmelt. In: Ecological Impact of Acid Precipitation, ed. by Drablos, D. and Tollan, A., SNSF Project: 224-225.

Johansson, G. (1963). On the structures of some hydroxo salts of  $A1^{3+}$ ,  $Ga^{3+}$ ,  $In^{3+}$  and  $T1^{3+}$ . <u>Svensk Kem. Tidskr.2</u>: 41-62.

Johnson, N.N., Driscoll, C.T., Eaton, J.S., Likens, G.E. and McDowell, W.H. (1981). 'Acid rain', dissolved aluminium and chemical weathering at the Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest, New Hampshire. <u>Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta</u>, <u>45</u>: 1421-1438.

Jones, J.R.E. (1939). The relation between the electrolytic solution pressures of the metals and their toxicity to the stickleback, (<u>Gasterosteus aculeatus</u> L.). <u>J. Exp. Biol.</u> <u>16</u>: 425Jones, K.C. and Bennett, B.G. (1985). Summary exposure assessment for aluminium. Tech. Rep. Exposure commitment assessments of environmental pollutants. Volume 4. MARC.

Julshamn, K., Anderson, K.J., Willassen, Y., and Braeken, O.R. (1978). A routine method for the determination of aluminium in human tissue samples using standard addition and graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. Anal.Biochem., 83: 552-559.

Kane, D.A. and Rabeni, C.F. (1987). Effects of aluminium and pH on the early life stages of smallmouth bass, (<u>Hicropterus dolomieui</u>). <u>Wat Res. 21</u>: 6: 633-639.

Karlsson-Norrgren, L., Bjorklund, I., Ljunberg, O., and Runn, P. (1986). Acid water and aluminium exposure: experimentally induced gill le sions in brown trout, (Salmo trutta), J. Pish. Dis., 9: 11-25.

Klauda, R.J., Palmer, R.E. and Lenkevich, M.J. (1987). Sensitivity of early life stages of blueback herring to moderate acidity and aluminium in soft freshwater. <u>Estuaries</u>, <u>10</u>: 1: 44-53.

Kramer, J.R., Hummel, J. and Gleed, J. (1986). Speciation of aluminium and its toxicity to fish. Int. Conf. Chem. Env., Linton, 1-3 July, 1986.

Krishnan, S.S., McLachlan, D.R., Krishnan, B., Penton, S.S.A., and Harrison, J.E. (1988). Aluminium toxicity to the brain. <u>Sci. Total Env.</u> 71: 59-64.

Lauren, D.J. and McDonald, D.G. (1985). Effects of copper on branchial ionoregulation in the rainbow trout, Salmo gairdneri, Richardson. J. Comp. Physiol. B., 155: 635-644.

Lawrence, G.B., Fuller, R.D. and Driscoll, C.T. (1986). Spatial relationships of aluminium chemistry in the streams of the Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest, New Hampshire. <u>Biogeochem</u>. <u>2</u>: 115-135.

Lazerte, B.D. (1984). Forms of aqueous aluminium in acidified catchments of central Ontario: a methodological analysis. <u>Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.</u> Leivestad, H., Jensen, E., Kjartansson, H. and Xingfu, L. (1987). Aqueous speciation of aluminium and toxic effects on Atlantic salmon. <u>Annis. Soc. r. zool. Belg;</u> Suppl.1, proc. "Ecophysiology of Acid Stress in Aquatic Organisms, Antwerp, 1987, ed. by Witters, H. and Vanderborght, 0.:387-398.

Leung, P.Y. and Henderson, A.R. (1983). Determination of aluminium in serum and urine using matrix modification and the L'vov platform. <u>Atom. Spectros</u>. 4: 1-4.

Li, C.C. (1964). Introduction to Experimental Statistics McGraw-Hill, 460p.

Lichte, F.E., Hopper, S. and Osborn, T.W. (1980). Determination of silicon and aluminium in biological matrices by inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry. <u>Anal. Chem., 52</u>: 120-124.

Lindsay, W.L. (1979). Chemical equilibria in soils: Wiley, New York.

Litaor, M.I. (1987). Aluminium chemistry: Fractionation, speciation and mineral equilibria of soil interstitial vaters of an alpine watershed, Front Range, Colorado. <u>Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta</u>, <u>51</u>: 1285-1295.

Llach, F., Rodriguez, H. and Felsenfeld, A. (1986). Aluminium effect on serum calcium concentration. <u>Nefrologia,6</u>: 3: 63-65.

Lo, D.B. and Christian, G.D. (1977). Studies of sensitivity and interferences in Si determination by flameless AAS. <u>Can. J. Spectrosc</u>. <u>22</u>: 45-50.

Lou, G. and Huang, P.H. (1988). Hydroxy-aluminosilicate interlayers in montmorillonite: implications for acidic environments. <u>Nature</u>, <u>335</u>: 625-627.

-

Lowgren, L., Hedlund, T., Onman, L-O and Sjoberg, S. (1987). Equilibrium approaches to natural water systems: 6. Acid-base properties of a concentrated bog-water and its complexation reactions with aluminium(III). <u>Wat. Res</u>., 21: 11: 1401-1407. L'vov, B.V. (1978). Electrothermal atomisation - the way toward absolute methods of atomic absorption analysis. Spectrochim. Acta, 33B: 153-193.

Mallat, J. (1985). Fish gill structural changes induced by toxicants and other irritants. <u>Can. J. Fish. Aquat.</u> <u>Sci.</u>, <u>42</u>: 630-648.

Malte, H. (1986). Effects of aluminium in hard, acid water on metabolic rate, blood gas tensions and ionic status in the rainbow trout. <u>J. Fish Biol</u>., <u>29</u>: 187-198.

Hanning, D.C. and Fernandez, F.J. (1970). Atomisation for AA using a heated graphite furnace. <u>At. Absorpt. Newsl. 9</u>, 65-70.

Manning, D.C., Slavin, W. and Carnrick, G.R. (1982). Investigation of aluminium interferences using the stabilised platform furnace. <u>Spectrochim. Acta</u>, <u>378</u>: 331-341.

Hanning, D.C. and Slavin, W. (1983). The determination of trace elements in natural waters using the stabilised temperature platform furnace. <u>Appl. Spect. 37</u>: 1-11.

Martin, R.B. (1986). The chemistry of aluminium as related to biology and medicine. <u>Clin. Chem.</u> <u>32</u>: <u>10</u>: 1797-1806.

Matsusaki, K., Yoshino, T. and Yamamoto, Y. (1979). A method for removal of chloride interference in determination of aluminium by AAS with the graphite furnace. <u>Talanta</u> <u>26</u>: 377-380.

Matsusaki, K. (1987). Enhancement effect of alkaline earth metal on the determination of aluminium by atomic absorption spectrometry with a graphite furnace. <u>Tech. Rep. Yamaguchi Univ</u>., <u>4</u>: 1: 37-42.

Hay, H.N., Helmke, P.A. and Jackson, N.L. (1979). Gibbsite solubility and thermodynamic properties of hydroxy-aluminium ions in aqueous solution at 25°C. <u>Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta</u>, <u>43</u>: 861-868.

May, T.W. and Brumbaugh, W.G. (1982). Matrix modifier and L'vov platform for elimination of matrix interferences in the analysis of fish tissues for lead by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. <u>Anal. Chem</u>. <u>54</u>: 1032-1037.

Mareaud, M.M., Mareaud, F. and Donaldson, E.M. (1977). Primary and secondary effects of stress in fish: some new data with a general view. <u>Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.</u>, <u>106</u>, 3: 201-212.

McDonald, D.G. and Milligan, C.L. (1988). Sodium transport in the brook trout (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>): Effects of prolonged low pH exposure in the presence and absence of aluminium. <u>Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci</u>. <u>45</u>: 1606-1613.

HcWilliams, P.G. (1982). A comparison of physiological characteristics in normal and acid exposed populations of the brown trout, <u>Salmo trutta</u>. <u>Comp. Biochem. Physiol.</u> <u>72A</u>: 515-522.

Miller, J.R. and Andelman, J.B. (1986). Aluminium species in water: measurement and perspective. <u>Int. Conf. Chem.</u> <u>Env</u>. Linton, 1-3 July.

Hiller, J.R. and Andelman, J.B. (1987). Speciation of aluminium in an acidic mountain stream. <u>Wat. Res</u>. 21: 8: 999-1005.

Horgan, N. and Tovell, P.W.A. (1973). The structure of the gill of the trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u> (Richardson). <u>Z. Zellforsch. mikrosk. Anat.</u> 142: 147-162.

Hount, D.R., Hockett, J.R. and Gern, W.A. (1988a). Effect of long-term exposure to acid, aluminium and low calcium on adult brook trout (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>). 2. Vitellogenesis and osmoregulation. <u>Can. J. Fish.</u> Aquat. Sci. <u>45</u>: 1633-1642.

Nount, D.R., Ingersoll, C.G., Gulley, D.D., Pernander, J.D., LaPoint, T.W. and Bergman, H.L. (1988b). Effect of longterm exposure to acid, aluminium and low calcium on adult brook trout, (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>). 1. Survival, growth, fecundity and progeny survival. <u>Can. J. Pish.</u> <u>Aquat. Sci</u>. <u>45</u>: 1623-1632.

Huller, L. (1983). Determination of aluminium species in natural water. Candiscient Thesis, Univ. of Oslo, Dept. of Chemistry, Norway.

Muniz, I.P. and Leivestad, H. (1980). Toxic effects of aluminium on the brown trout, <u>Salmo trutta</u>, L. In: "Ecological Impact of Acid Precipitation", ed. by Drablos, D. and Tollan, A. SNSF Project: 320-321. Nuniz, I.P., Anderson, R. and Sullivan, T.J. (1987). Physiological response of brown trout, (<u>Salmo trutta</u>) spawners and post-spawners to acidic aluminium-rich stream water. <u>Wat., Air, Soil Poll.</u> <u>36</u>: 371-379.

Nurungi, J.I. and Robinson, J.W. (1987). Synergistic effects of pH and aluminium concentrations on the life expectancy of <u>Tilapia (Mosambica</u>) fingerlings. <u>J. Environ. Sci. Health</u>, <u>A22</u>: 5: 391-395.

Neal, C., Skeffington, R.A., Williams, R. and Roberts, D.J. (1987). Aluminium solubility controls in acid water: the need for a reappraisal. <u>Earth, Planet, Sci. Lett</u>. <u>86</u>: 105-112.

Neville, C.N. (1985). Physiological response of juvenile rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>, to acid and aluminium. Prediction of field responses from laboratory data. <u>Can. J. Fish Aquat. Sci</u>. <u>42</u>: 2004-2019.

Nordstrom, D.K. and Ball, J.W. (1986). The geochemical behaviour of aluminium in acidified surface waters. <u>Science</u>, <u>232</u>: 54-56.

O'donnell, A.R., Mance, G. and Norton, R. (1984). A review of the toxicity of aluminium in freshwater. <u>WRC Tech.Rep.TR197</u>, 27p.

Ogilvie, D.H. and Stechey, D.H. (1983). Effects of aluminium on respiratory responses and spontaneous activity of rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>. <u>Environ, Toxicol. Chem., 2</u>: 43-48.

Ohki, K. (1986). Photosynthesis, chlorophyll and transpiration responses in aluminium stressed wheat and sorghum. <u>Crop Sci. 26</u>: 572-575.

Ormerod, S.J., Boole, P., McCahon, C.P., Weatherley, N.S., Pascoe, D. and Edwards, R.W. (1987a). Short-term experimental acidification of a Welsh stream: comparing the biological effects of hydrogen ions and aluminium. <u>Freshw. Biol. 17</u>: 341-356.

Ormerod, S.J., Weatherley, N.S., French, P., Blake, S. and Jones, W.N. (1987b). The physiological response of brown trout, <u>Salmo trutta</u>, to induced episodes of low pH and elevated <u>aluminium</u> in a Welsh hill-stream. <u>Annis. <u>Soc. r. sool. Belg</u>; Suppl. 1, proc., "Ecophysiology of Acid Stress in Aquatic Organisms", Antwerp, 1987, ed. by Witters, H. and Vanderborght, O.: 435-447.</u> Orr, P.L., Bradley, R.W., Sprague, J.B. and Hutchinson, N.J. (1986). Acclimation-induced change in toxicity of aluminium to rainbow trout (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>). <u>Can. J. Pish. Aquat.</u> <u>Sci. 43</u>: 243-246.

Paces, T. (1978). Reversible control of aqueous aluminium and silica during the irreversible evolution of natural waters. <u>Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta</u>. 42: 1487-1493.

Pagenkopf, G.K. (1983). Gill surface interaction model for trace metal toxicity to fishes: role of complexation pH, and water hardness. <u>Environ. Sci. Toxdicol.</u> <u>17</u>: 347-352.

Palmer, R.E., Klauda, R.J. and Lewis, T.E. (1988). Comparative sensitivities of bluegill, channel catfish and fathead minnow, to pH and aluminium. <u>Env. Toxicol.</u> <u>Chem. 7</u>: 505-516.

Pegon, Y. (1978). Dosage de l'aluminium dans les liquides biologique par absorption atomique sans flamme. <u>Anal.</u> <u>Chim. Acta, 101</u>: 385-391.

Perkin-Elmer, (1977). Analytical methods using the HGA graphite furnace, Norvalk, CT. USA.

Perkin-Elmer,(1984). Analytical methods for furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. Pub. B332-A3-H694/1.84. W. Germany.

Personn, J.A., Frech, W. and Cedergren, A. (1977). Investigations of reactions involved in flameless atomic absorption procedures. IV. A theoretical study of factors influencing the determination of aluminium. Anal. Chim. Acta, <u>92</u>: 85-93.

Phillips, N.J. and Saleh, N.A.N. (1987). Aluminium in tropical aquatic environments and its toxicity to <u>Oreochromis aureus</u>. <u>Proc. Int. Symp. Tilepie Aqua.II</u> (Bangkok, Thailand), (in press)

Plankey, B.J., Patterson, H.H. and Cronan, C.S. (1986). Kinetics of aluminium fluoride complexation in acidic waters. <u>Environ. Sci. Technol</u>. <u>20</u>: 160-165. Plankey, B.J. and Patterson, H.H. (1987). Kinetics of aluminium-fulvic acid complexation in acidic waters. <u>Environ. Sci. Technol</u>. <u>21</u>: 595-601.

Porcella, D.P. (1987). The lake acidification mitigation program, (LAMP). <u>80th Ann. Neet. APCA</u>, New York, New York, June, <u>87-35.4</u>: 1-12.

Pott, D.B., Alberts, J.J. and Elzerman, A.W. (1985). The influence of pH on the binding capacity and conditional stability constants of aluminium and naturally-occurring organic matter. <u>Chem. Geol. 48</u>: 293-304.

Potts, W.T.W. (1986). pH and aluminium effects on salmon. Paper presented at the Society for Experimental Biology Conference, Nottingham, March 1986.

Rainwater, F.H. and Thatcher, L.L. (1960). Nethods for collection and analysis of water samples. U.S. Geol. Surv. Wat. Suppl. Pap. No.1454, 97p.

Ramamoorthy, S. (1988). Effect of pH on speciation and toxicity of aluminium to rainbow trout, (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>). <u>Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.</u> <u>45</u>: 634-642.

Reader, J.P., Dalziel, T.R.K. and Morris, R. (1988). Growth, mineral uptake and skeletal calcium deposition in brown trout, <u>Salmo trutta</u>, L. yolk sac fry exposed to aluminium and manganese in soft acid water. J. Pish. Biol. 32: 607-624.

Roberson, C.E. and Hem, J.D. (1969). Solubility of aluminium in the presence of hydroxide, fluoride and sulphate. U.S. Geol. Surv. Wat. Suppl. Pap. No. 1827C.

Robinson, J.W. and Deano, P.H. (1985). The synergistic effects of acidity and aluminium on fish (Golden Shiners) in Louisiana. <u>J. Environ. Sci. Health</u>, <u>A20</u>: 193-204.

Robinson, J.W. and Deano, P.M. (1986). Acid rain: The effects of pH, aluminium and leaf decomposition products on fish survival. <u>Am. Lab. July</u>: 17-26.

Robinson, D.H. and Sullivan, C.W. (1987). How do diatoms make silicon biominerals. <u>Trends Biochem</u>. <u>Sci. 12</u>: 151-154. Rodgers, D.W. (1984). Ambient pH and calcium concentrations as modifiers of growth and calcium dynamics of brook trout, (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>). <u>Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 41</u>: 1774-1780.

Rogeberg, E.J.S. and Henriksen, A. (1985). An automatic method for fractionation and determination of aluminium species in freshwaters. <u>Vatten</u>, <u>41</u>: 48-53.

Rosseland, B.O. and Skogheim, O.K. (1986). Neutralisation of acidic brook-water using a shell sand filter or sea-water: Effects on eggs, alevins and smolts of salmonids. <u>Aqua</u>. <u>58</u>: 99-110.

Rosseland, B.O., Skogheim, O.K., Abrahamsen, H. and Hatsow, D. (1986). Limestone slurry reduces physiological stress and increases survival of Atlantic salmon, (<u>Salmo</u> <u>salar</u>), in an acidic Norwegian river. <u>Can. J. Fish Aquat</u>. <u>Sci. 43</u>: 1888-1893.

Sackett, W. and Arrhenius, G. (1962). Distribution of aluminium species in the hydrosphere. 1. Aluminium in the ocean. <u>Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta</u>, <u>26</u>: 955-968.

Sadler, K. and Lynam, S. (1987). Some effects on the growth of brown trout from exposure to aluminium at different pH levels. <u>J. Fish. Biol</u>. <u>31</u>: 209-219.

Savory, J. and Wills, H.R. (1986). Analytical methods for aluminium measurement. <u>Kid. Int</u>. 29: Suppl. 18: \$24-\$27.

Scheuhammer, A.N. (1987). The chronic toxicity of aluminium, cadmium, mercury and lead in birds: A review. <u>Env. Poll. 46</u>: 263-295.

Schierl, R. (1985). Al speciation in soil solutions: <sup>27</sup>Al NMR spectroscopy.

Schofield, C. and Trojnar, J.R. (1980). Aluminium toxicity to brook trout, <u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>, in acidified waters. In "Polluted Rain", ed. by Tonbara, T.V., Miller, M.W. and Horrow, P.E., Plenum Press, New York: 341-362. Schramel, P., Wolf, Y.A. and Klose, B.J. (1980). Direktbestimmung von aluminium in serumproben mittels inductively coupled plasma (ICP) - emissions - spektral analyse. J. Clin. Chem. Clin. Biochem., 18: 591-593.

Schreiber, R.K. and Hartman, W.A. (1987). Lake and stream mitigation research: Progress in the federal state program. <u>80th Ann. Neet. APCA</u> New York, New York, June, <u>87-35.1</u>: 1-13.

Schwarz, K. and Hilne, D.B. (1972). Growth promoting effects of silicon in rats. <u>Nature (London)</u>, <u>239</u>: 333-334.

Segner, H., Marthaler, R. and Linnenbach, H. (1988). Growth aluminium uptake and mucous cell morphometrics of early life stages of brown trout, <u>Salmo trutta</u>, in low pH water. <u>Env. Biol. Fishes</u>. <u>21</u>: 2: 153-159.

Seip, H.N., Muller, L. and Naas, A. (1984). Aluminium speciation: Comparison of two spectrophotometric analytical methods and observed concentrations in some acidic aquatic systems in southern Norway. <u>Mat., Air,</u> <u>Soil, Poll.</u>, <u>23</u>: 81-95.

Siddens, L.K., Siem, W.K., Curtis, L.R. and Chapman, G.A. (1986). Comparison of continuous and episodic exposure to acidic, aluminium contaminated waters to brook trout, (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>). <u>Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.</u> <u>43</u>: 2036-2040.

Siegel, N. and Haug, A. (1983). Aluminium interaction with calmodulin: Evidence for altered structure and function from optical and enzymatic studies. <u>Biochim. Biophys. Acta</u>, <u>744</u>: 36-45.

Sjoberg, S. and Onman, L-O. (1985). Equilibrium and structural studies of silicon (IV) and aluminium (III) in aqueous solution. Part 13. A potentiometric and <sup>27</sup>Al nuclear magnetic resonance study of speciation and equilibria in the aluminium (III)-oxalic acid-hydroxide system. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans: 2665-2669.

Skogheim, O.K. and Rosseland, B.O. (1986). Nortality of smolt of Atlantic salmon <u>Salmo</u> <u>salar</u> L., at low levels of aluminium in acidic soft water. <u>Bull.Environ.</u> <u>Contam. Toxicol.</u> <u>37</u>: 258-265. Skogheim, O.K., Rosseland, B.O., Hoell, E. and Kroglund, F. (1986). Base additions to flowing acidic water: Effects on smolts of Atlantic salmon, (<u>Salmo salar</u> L.). <u>Wat., Air,</u> <u>Soil, Poll.</u> <u>30</u>: 587-592.

Skogheim, O.K., Rosseland, B.O., Kroglund, F. and Hagenlund, G. (1987). Addition of NaOH limestone slurry and fine-grained limestone to acidified lake water and the effects on smolts of Atlantic salmon, (<u>Salmo salar</u> L.). <u>Wat. Res</u>. <u>21</u>: 4: 435-443.

Slavin, C. (1986). Alkalis and dosing systems for treating acidic water. Presented at Scottish Fish Farming Conference, Inverness.

Slavin, W., Manning, D.C. and Carnrick, G.R. (1981). Effect of graphite furnace substrate materials on analyses by furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. <u>Anal. Chem.</u> <u>53</u>: 1504-1509.

Slavin, W., Carnrick, G.R. and Manning, D.C. (1982). Magnesium nitrate as a matrix modifier in the stabilised temperature platform furnace. <u>Anal. Chem. 54</u>: 621-623.

Smith, R.W. (1971). Relations among equilibrium and non-equilibrium aqueous species of aluminium hydroxy complexes. <u>Adv. Chem. Ser.</u> <u>106</u>: 250-279.

Smith, R.W. and Hem, J.D. (1972). Effect of ageing on aluminium hydroxide complexes in dilute aqueous solutions. <u>U.S. Geol. Surv. Wat. Suppl. Rep. No.1827D.</u>

Sokal, R.R. and Rohlf, F.J. (1987). Introduction to Biostatistics. Second Edition. W.H. Preeman, 363p.

Somme, I.D. (1942). Orretboka, (The trout). Jacobs Dybwads forlag. 591p.

Sorenson, J.R.J. Campbell, I.R., Tepper, L.B. and Lingg, R.D. (1974). Aluminium in the environment and human health. <u>Environ. Health Perspect.</u>, <u>0</u>: 3.
Sprague, J.B. (1969). Heasurement of pollutant toxicity to fish. 1. Bioassay methods for acute toxicity. <u>Mat. Res</u>. <u>3</u>: 793-821.

Staurnes, N., Sigholt, T. and Reite, O.B. (1984). Reduced carbonic anhydrase and Na-K-ATPase activity in gills of salmonids exposed to aluminium-containing acid water. <u>Experientia</u>, <u>40</u>: 226-227.

Stoner, J.H., Gee, A.S. and Wade, K.R. (1984). The effects of acidification on the ecology of streams in the Upper Tywi catchment in West Wales. <u>Environ. Poll.</u> <u>35A</u>: 125-157.

Sturgeon, R.F., Chakrabarti, C.L. and Langford, C.H. (1976). Studies on the mechanism of atom formation in graphite atomic absorption spectroscopy. <u>Anal. Chem.</u> <u>48</u>: 1792-1807.

Styris, D.L. and Redfield, D.A. (1987). Nechanisms for graphite furnace atomisation of aluminium by molecular beam sampling mass spectrometry. <u>Anal. Chem. 59</u>: 2891-2897.

Sullivan, D.M., Kehoe, D.F. and Smith, R.L. (1987). Measurement of trace levels of total aluminium in foods by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. <u>J. Assoc.</u> <u>Off. Anal. Chem.</u> 70: 1: 118-120.

Sunde, S.E. (1926). In Piskeri-inspektorensinnberetning til landbruksdepartementet for aret 1926. (Annual report from the fishery inspector to the department of agriculture for the year 1926): 5-6.

Sverdrup, H. (1983). Kalkningsstrategier for rennende vann. Dept. of Chem. Eng. Lund Institute of Technology, Sweden.

Sverdrup, H. (1984). Calcite dissolution and acidification mitigation strategies. In: Lake and Reservoir Management, U.S. EPA, Washington, D.C. Tam, S.C. and Williams, R.J.P. (1986). One problem of acid rain: Aluminium. <u>J. Inorg. Biochem.</u> <u>26</u>: 35-44.

Taylor, A. (1986) (ed). Aluminium and other Trace Elements in Renal Disease. 367p. Bailliere. Tindall.

Tervet, D.J. and Harriman, R. (1988). Changes in pH and calcium after selective liming in the catchment of Loch Dee, a sensitive and rapid turn-over loch in south-west Scotland. Aqua. Fish. Mang. 19: 191-203.

Thomas, A. (1915). Effects of certain metallic salts upon fishes. <u>Trans. Am. Fish. Soc</u>. <u>44</u>: 121-

Thomas, A.W. and Tai, A.P. (1932). The nature of "aluminium oxide" hydrosols. J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>54</u>: 841-855.

Thompson, K.C. Godden, R.G. and Thomerson, D.R. (1975). Method for the formation of pyrolytic graphite coatings and enhancement by Ca addition techniques for graphite rod flameless AAS. Anal. Chim. Acta, 74: 289-297.

Thomsen, A. Korsgaard, B., and Joensen, J. (1988). Effect of aluminium and calcium ions on survival and physiology of rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u> (Richardson), eggs and larvae exposed to acid stress. <u>Aquat</u>. <u>Toxicol</u>, <u>12</u>:291-300.

Thurman, R.B. and Gerba, C.P. (1988). Characterisation of the effect of aluminium metal on poliovirus. J.Ind. <u>Microbiol.</u> 3: 33-38.

Thurston, R.V., Russo, R.C. and Emerson, K. (1979). Aqueous ammonia equilibrium - tabulation of percent unionised ammonia. <u>Environ. Prot. Agency Ecol. Res. Ser</u>. EPA-600/3-79-091.

Tietge, J.E., Johnson, R.D. and Bergman, H.L. (1988). Horphometric changes in gill secondary lamellae of brook trout, (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>), after long term exposure to acid and aluminium. <u>Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.</u>, <u>45</u>: 1643-1648. Tipping, E. and Backes, C.A. (1988). Organic complexation of Al in acid waters: Nodel-testing by titration of a stream water sample. <u>Wat. Res. 22</u>: 5: 593-595.

Tipping, E., Woof, C., Backes, C.A. and Ohnstad, N. (1988a). Aluminium speciation in acidic natural waters: testing of a model for Al-humic complexation. <u>Wat. Res</u>. <u>22</u>: 3: 321-326.

Tipping, E., Backes, C.A. and Hurley, H.A. (1988b). The complexation of protons, aluminium and calcium by aquatic humic substances: A model incorporating binding site heterogeneity and macroionic effects. <u>Wat. Res.</u> 22: 5: 597-611.

Tipping, E., Woof, C., Witters, P.B. and Ohnstad, H. (1988c). Conditions required for the precipitation of aluminium in acidic natural waters. <u>Wat. Res</u>. <u>22</u>: 5: 585-592.

Torgersen, H. (1934). Forsok med oppa rbeidelse av sure fisketomme vann. (Attempts to restock acid, barren lakes). Strangfiskeren, 38-46.

Volcani, B.E. (1981) in Silicon and Siliceous Structures in Biological Systems, by Simpson, T.L. and Volcani, B.E., Springer-Verlag.:157-200.

Wada, S.I. and Wada, K.J. (1980). Formation, composition and structure of hydroxy-aluminosilicate ions. <u>J. Soil,</u> <u>Sci</u>. <u>31</u>: 457-467.

Walker, R.L., Wood, C.H. and Bergman, H.L. (1988). Effects of low pH and aluminium on ventilation in the brook trout, (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>). <u>Can. J. Fish.</u> <u>Aquat. Sci</u>. <u>45</u>: 1614-1622.

Walker, W.J., Cronan, C.S. and Patterson, H.H. (1988). A kinetic study of aluminium adsorption by aluminosilicate clay minerals. <u>Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta</u>. <u>52</u>: 55-62.

Weis, C. and Haug, A. (1987). Aluminium-induced conformational changes in calmodulin after the dynamics of interaction with melittin. <u>Arch. Biochem. Biophys</u>. 254: 1: 304-312.

Willey, J.D. (1975a). Reactions which remove dissolved alumina from seawater. <u>Mar. Chem</u>. <u>3</u>: 227-240.

Willey, J.D. (1975b). Silica-alumina interactions in seawater. <u>Har. Chem</u>. <u>3</u>: 241-251.

Wilson, A.D. and Sergeant, G.A. (1963). The colourimetric determination of aluminium in minerals by pyrocatechol violet. <u>Analyst</u>, <u>88</u>: 109-112.

Witters, H.E. (1986). Acute acid exposure of rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u> Richardson: effects of aluminium and calcium on ion balance and haematology. <u>Aquat</u>. <u>Toxicol</u>. <u>8</u>: 197-210.

Witters, H.E., Vangenechten, J.H.D., Van Puymbroeck, S. and Vanderborght, O.L.J. (1987). Ionoregulatory and haematological responses of rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u> Richardson, to chronic acid and aluminium stress. <u>Annls.</u> <u>Soc. r. zool. Belg</u>., Suppl. 1, proc. "Ecophysiology of Acid Stress in Aquatic Organisms", Antwerp, 1987, ed. by Witters, H. and Vanderborght, O.: 411-420.

Witters, H.E., Vangenechten, J.H.D., Van Puymbroeck, S. and Vanderborght, O.L.J. (1988). Internal or external toxicity of aluminium in fish exposed to acid water. Comm. Eur. Communities, (Rep.) Eur. Air Pollution Ecosystems. <u>Proc. Int. Symp.</u>, Grenoble, Prance, 18-22 May 1987, ed. by Mathy, P., p.965-970.

Wood, C.N. (1986). The physiological problems of fish in acid waters. Paper presented at the Society for Experimental Biology conference, Nottingham, March 1986.

Wood, C.M., McDonald, D.G., Tin, G.C., Ingersoll, C., Hount, D. and Landsberger, S. (1986). Evaluation of acid/ aluminium stress to early life stages of brook trout by instrumental neutron activation analysis, (INAA). Poster presented at the Society for Experimental Biology Conference, Nottingham, March 1986.

Wood, C.N. and McDonald, D.G. (1987). The physiology of acid/aluminium stress in trout. <u>Annals. Soc. r.</u> <u>zool. Belg., 117 Suppl.1, proc. "Ecophysiology of Acid Stress in Aquatic Organisms", Antwerp, 1987, ed. by Witters, H. and Vanderborght, O.: 399-410.</u>

Wood, C.M., Playle, R.C., Simons, B.P., Goss, G.G. and McDonald, D.G. (1988a). Blood gases, acid-base status, ions and haematology in adult brook trout, (<u>Salvelinus</u> <u>fontinalis</u>), under acid/aluminium exposure. <u>Can. J.</u> <u>Fish. Aquat. Sci.</u> 45: 1575-1586.

Wood, C.H., McDonald, D.G., Booth, C.E., Simons, B.P., Ingersoll, C.G. and Bergman, H.L. (1988b). Physiological evidence of acclimation to acid/aluminium stress in adult brook trout (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>). 1. Blood composition and net sodium fluxes. <u>Can. J. Fish. Aquat.</u> <u>Sci. 45</u>: 1587-1596. Wood, C.H., Simons, B.P., Nount, D.R. and Bergman, H.L. (1988c). Physiological evidence of acclimation to acid/aluminium stress in adult brook trout, (<u>Salvelinus</u> <u>fontinalis</u>). 2. Blood parameters by cannulation. <u>Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci</u>. <u>45</u>: 1597-1605.

Woolfson, A.D. and Gracey, G.M. (1987). Matrix effects in the determination of aluminium in dialysis fluids by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. <u>Analyst</u>, <u>112</u>: 1387-1389.

Wright, P.A. and Wood, C.H. (1985). An analysis of branchial ammonia excretion in the freshwater rainbow trout: Effects of environmental pH change and sodium uptake blockade. <u>J. Exp. Biol</u>. <u>114</u>: 329-353.

Wright, P., Heming, T. and Randall, D. (1986). Downstream pH changes in water flowing over the gills of rainbow trout. J. Exp. Biol. 126: 499-512.

Wright, P.A. and Randall, D.J. (1987). The interaction between ammonia and carbon dioxide stores and excretion rates in fish. <u>Annls. Soc. r. xool. Belg.</u>, Suppl. 1, proc. "Ecophysiology of Acid Stress in Aquatic Organisms", Antwerp, 1987, ed. by Witters, H. and Vanderborght, O.: 321-329.

Wright, R.F. and Gjessing, E.T. (1976). Changes in the chemical composition of lakes. Ambio, 5: 219-223.

You-Han, Xu (1986). The inhibition of calmodulindependent  $Ca^{2+}_{M}g^{2+}_{A}$  ATPase in erythrocyte membrane by aluminium ions. <u>Acta. Biochim. Biophys. Sinica.</u> 18:4: 367-371.

Young, S.D. and Bache, B.W. (1985). Aluminium-organic complexation: formation constants and a speciation model for the soil solution. <u>J. Soil Sci. 36</u>: 261-269.

Youson, J.H. and Neville, C.N. (1987). Deposition of aluminium in the gill epithelium of rainbow trout, (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>),Richardson, subjected to sublethal concentrations of the metal. <u>Can. J. Zool. 65</u>: 647-656.